

April 8, 2013

Via E Mail and FedEx

Mr. Mostafa Mehran Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

Re: Whirlpool Corporation, Fort Smith, Arkansas Revised Risk Management Plan

Dear Mr. Mehran:

As discussed during our March 19, 2013 meeting in your office, ENVIRON International Corporation (ENVIRON) has prepared the attached Revised Risk Management Plan (RRMP) in accordance with the Letter of Agreement for ADEQ consideration. This further revised RRMP will replace the Risk Management Plan submitted on November 30, 2012. This RRMP takes into account ADEQ written comments and the meeting in ADEQ offices on March 19, 2013 to develop and implement an active site remedy.

The attached RRMP has also incorporated a revised Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) as an appendix. As requested by ADEQ during the March 19, 2013 meeting, the potential off-site drinking water pathway is addressed in this revised HHRA.

If you have any questions or comments please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Damara R. House - Knight

Tamara R. House-Knight, PhD Senior Associate / Toxicologist (501) 907-9437 THouseKnight@environcorp.com

cc: Tammie Hynum - ADEQ Robert Karwowski – Whirlpool Corporation



Revised Risk Management Plan FT. Smith, Arkansas

Prepared for: Whirlpool Corporation Benton Harbor, MI

Prepared by: ENVIRON International Corporation Little Rock, Arkansas

> Date: November 2012 Revised April 2013

> > Project Number: 2131344A



Page

Contents

4	References	28
3.7	Proposed Schedule and Completion of CAS Program	26
3.6	Public Involvement Plan	26
3.5.3	Five Year Review	26
3.5.2	Annual Monitoring Report	25
3.5.1	Quarterly	25
3.5	Performance Reviews	25
3.4	Contingency Plans	25
3.3.1	Performance Monitoring	24
3.3	Performance Criteria	24
3.2.1	Final CSM – Exposure Pathways	23
3.2	Final Remedy Selection	22
3.1	Evaluation of Final Corrective Measure Alternatives	17
3	Risk Management Plan	17
2.6.6	Summary of Corrective Measures Retained for Further Analysis	14
2.6.5	Institutional Controls	13
2.6.4	In-Situ Treatment	10
2.6.3	Removal	9
2.6.2	Containment	7
2.6.1	No Action	7
2.6	Identification and Screening of Corrective Measures	6
2.5	Remedial Action Criteria	6
2.4	Corrective Action Objective	5
23	Conceptual Site Model	+ 5
22	Description of Site Conditions	4
∠ 21	Introduction and Purpose	4
2	Pamady Selection	1
1.2	Objectives and Technical Approach	3
1.1.3	Summary of Previous Site Assessments and Risk Evaluations	2
1.1.2	Site Operations	1
1.1.1	General Site Description	1
1.1	Background and Objectives	1

Contents

List of Tables

Table 1:	Corrective	Measure	Summaries

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Site Location Map
Figure 2:	Site Layout Map
Figure 3:	Limits of Soil
Figure 4:	Limits of Groundwater
Figure 5:	CSM Scenarios for Potential Human Exposure
Figure 6:	CSM after Remedy Implementation

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Human Health Risk Assessment

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ADEQ:	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
CAS:	Corrective Action Strategy
cis-1,2-DCE:	cis-1,2-dichloroethylene
COC:	Constituent of Concern
CSM:	Conceptual Site Model
CVOC:	Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds
1,1-DCE:	1,1-dichloroethylene
HHRA:	Human Health Risk Assessment
LOA:	Letter of Agreement
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MIP:	Membrane Interface Probe
PCE:	Tetrachloroethylene
RER:	Risk Evaluation Report
RMP:	Risk Management Plan
RRMP:	Revised Risk Management Plan
TCE:	Trichloroethylene
trans-1,2-DCE:	trans- 1,2-dichloroethylene
UST:	Underground Storage Tank
USEPA:	United States Environmental Protection Agency

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and Objectives

1.1.1 General Site Description

The Whirlpool Fort Smith site is located at 6400 Jenny Lind Road on the south side of Fort Smith, Arkansas (Figure 1). The site manufactured side-by-side household refrigerators and trash compactors. The site had been operated by Whirlpool for over 45 years and ceased production in June 2012.

The property is approximately 153 acres and includes the main manufacturing building (approximately 1.3 million square feet), separate warehouse and administrative offices, and approximately 21 acres of undeveloped land (Figure 2). Additional buildings located on the north side of the property include a water treatment plant and boiler house. The majority of the property surrounding the buildings is covered with concrete or asphalt service roads and parking. Some gravel parking areas are also present.

1.1.2 Site Operations

The manufacturing processes at the Whirlpool-Fort Smith property involved metal fabrication, plastic thermoforming and assembly operations. All storage of hazardous waste containers was limited to 90 days or less, no hazardous waste treatment activities were conducted on-site. Constituents in the soil and groundwater identified during investigations are the result of historical practices prior to 1981, as described below.

Dating back to approximately 1967, a vapor degreasing system utilizing trichloroethylene (TCE) was operated in the former degreaser building located near the northwestern corner of the main manufacturing building, west of the boiler house. The degreasing equipment consisted of a tank and parts rack. The degreasing operations involved placing parts into the parts rack positioned over the tank. The TCE tank was then heated, creating a TCE vapor in the area where the parts were placed. Following degreasing activities, the vapor was condensed and returned to the tank below the parts rack.

The use of TCE was discontinued in 1981. There are no historical records that document any spills or other release incidents from the degreaser building. It is possible that historical leaks from the tank or surface spills in the vicinity of the degreaser building may have occurred, resulting in releases to the soil and groundwater.

A series of soil and groundwater investigations were initiated at the site as part of a project to remove one underground storage tank (UST) previously containing fuel. There was no evidence of a release of petroleum hydrocarbons from the UST, but the analytical data showed the presence of TCE and other solvents in the shallow groundwater. Subsequent investigations, including soil sampling to assess the potential source area have been conducted to delineate affected soil and groundwater. Based on historical process knowledge, and recent analytical data, the primary constituent of concern (COC) is TCE. Tetrachloroethylene (PCE), and TCE daughter products (including cis-1,2-dichloroethylene (cis-1,2-DCE) and trans- 1,2-dichloroethylene (trans-1,2-DCE), 1,1-dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE), and vinyl chloride) resulting

from the natural degradation of PCE and TCE have also been periodically detected in monitoring wells.

1.1.3 Summary of Previous Site Assessments and Risk Evaluations

To address the impacts from historical releases, Whirlpool entered into a Letter of Agreement (LOA) with the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), dated July 19, 2002. Under the LOA, Whirlpool is following the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (US EPA's) Corrective Action Strategy (CAS) that includes the development of a site conceptual model and other documents describing environmental conditions at the site. To date Whirlpool has completed the following activities and submitted documents as required by Items F and G, of the LOA:

Item F – RMS Corrective Action and Reporting Requirements:

•	Notice of Intent (NOI)	August, 2002
•	Scoping Meeting	August, 2002
•	RMS Work Plan	August, 2002
•	Corrective Action Strategy (CAS)	June, 2004
•	CAS Work Plan Addendum	August, 2006
•	Facility Activities	September, 2006
•	Risk Evaluation Report	June, 2007
•	Remedy Selection	March, 2008
•	Risk Management Plan	March, 2008
•	Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report	June, 2012
•	Revised Risk Management Plan	November, 30 2012

Item G – Interim/Stabilization Measures:

•	Interim Measure Work Plan	March, 2008
•	Interim Measure Status Report	February, 2010
•	Interim Measure Status Report	July, 2010

Results of the various investigations are included in a series of reports listed below:

- Supplemental Site Investigation, December 2000;
- On-Site ChemOx Pilot Study Report, August, 2002
- Conceptual Site Model (CSM) Report, August 2002;
- CSM Report Addendum, August 2002
- CAS Work Plan, June 2003;
- Off-site Delineation Phase A (installation and sampling of three off-site wells), July 2003;
- Off-site Delineation Phase B (ten Geoprobe[™] borings, membrane interface probe (MIP) field screening, and installation and sampling of four off-site wells), November 2003;
- Interim Status Report and Revised CAS Work Plan, June 2004;
- Off-site Delineation Phase C (seven Geoprobe[™] borings and installation and sampling of four off-site wells), November 2004;

- Interim Status Report for Off-Site Investigations, March 2005;
- Off-site Delineation Phase D (five Geoprobe[™] borings and installation and sampling of four off-site wells), April 2005;
- Interim Status Report for Off-Site Investigations, June 2005;
- Off-site Delineation Phase E (installation and sampling of two off-site monitoring wells), April 2006;

In addition to the above reports, a series of Annual Groundwater Monitoring Reports have been produced since March 2000 documenting the results of semi-annual groundwater sampling events. The last semi-annual groundwater monitoring event was conducted during September 2012.

All of these investigations, reports, and monitoring events were used to create a full understanding of the site background, delineation of COCs in soil and groundwater, potential exposure pathways for evaluated in the risk assessment, and remedial approach, which are all detailed in this Revised Risk Management Plan (RRMP).

1.2 Objectives and Technical Approach

A Risk Management Plan (RMP) for the site was submitted in March of 2008. Since that time, Whirlpool has completed additional investigation activities and implemented two interim measure pilot studies. These activities have provided extensive, valuable data that has led Whirlpool to reevaluate the site strategy and associated final remedy defined in the 2008 RMP. Therefore, at the request of the ADEQ, ENVIRON is preparing this RRMP on Whirlpool's behalf. The intent of the RRMP is to assimilate over 15 years of data, with an emphasis on data collected since 2008, to identify and select a corrective measure that is protective of human health and the environment. The final remedy will take into account the documented site specific conditions identified during the interim measure pilot studies and the results and effectiveness of these activities. As required by the LOA, the remedy selection process has been conducted "in general accordance with the remedy evaluation standards and general decision factors contained in Chapter IV of the EPA guidance document entitled RCRA Corrective Action Plan (Final), May 1994..." (CAP Guidance).

The objective of this RRMP is to present a current CSM, define remedial action criteria, screen and select a corrective measure to meet the remedial action criteria, establish performance monitoring criteria, and present a schedule for implementation. The CSM presented in this RRMP has evolved to incorporate new information available since the CSM presented in the Risk Evaluation Report (RER) (ERM, 2007). Whirlpool did not revise the RER as part of this submittal. However, ENVIRON has completed a Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) that incorporates all site data and is consistent with current risk assessment practices and guidance. This HHRA is presented in Appendix A.

2 Remedy Selection

2.1 Introduction and Purpose

The purpose of the remedy selection process is to identify and screen potential remedies for consideration at the site. The RRMP evaluates each potential remedy for its relative appropriateness and practicability to meet a goal that is protective of human health and the environment. As shown on Figures 3 and 4, impacted soil and groundwater are present within the fenced boundary of the Whirlpool site (on-site) and impacted groundwater is present beneath a portion of the residential area north of the site (off-site). Given the nature of the impacted media and differences in land use, there are differences in potentially complete exposure pathways for on-site versus off-site areas. As a result, the RRMP identifies and screens potential remedial technologies separately for on-site versus off-site areas.

2.2 Description of Site Conditions

The CSM characterizes the site conditions and summarizes the basis for the exposure scenarios evaluated. Key components of the CSM include site, land use and exposure, physical, release, ecological, and risk management profiles. A summary of the current site conditions is provided below.

On-Site Current Conditions

The site was operated by Whirlpool for the manufacture of refrigerators and trash compactors until June 2012. Currently, there are no on-site manufacturing operations. In the future, site activities will be restricted to non-residential uses through restrictive covenants to be recorded with the property deed(s). It is presumed all future uses at the site will be non-residential.

Based on the data collected to date, the known area of impacted soil is within the property boundaries and security fencing (Figure 3) and thus entirely on-site. The "source area" is understood to be a localized area near and immediately to the west of the former degreaser building where elevated concentrations of TCE were detected in soil and groundwater. The area of impacted soil is an approximately 50 by 250-foot area west of the former degreaser building. The groundwater plume extends approximately 1,000 feet to the south southwest from the source (Figure 4).

Off-Site Current Conditions

Land use down-gradient (north) of the site is residential. Residential properties to the north include single-family homes and multi-family units. A recreational facility is located over 500 feet northeast of the Whirlpool property boundary, adjacent to the residential area. No agricultural properties are located in the vicinity of the site.

Groundwater with detected concentrations of TCE above drinking water criteria extends into the residential neighborhood north of the site. The recreational facility to the northeast is located over 1,000 feet north of the impacted groundwater area. The extent of the off-site groundwater plume is shown on Figure 4. There are currently no known uses of groundwater within or near the off-site groundwater plume. The highest TCE concentrations in groundwater (i.e., greater

than 1 mg/L) are generally limited to a gravel containing portion of the shallow saturated unit. While the aquifer is mostly comprised of clayey material, the gravel containing zone contains some gravel and sandy gravel that varies in thickness from about 6 to 7 feet thick near the source area on-site and thins to be nonexistent immediately north of Jacobs Avenue. Additional details on the site geology and hydrogeology are documented in multiple previous reports and work plans.

2.3 Conceptual Site Model

The site and the surrounding area are connected to the municipal water supply and there are currently no known uses of on- and/or off-site groundwater within or near the impacted groundwater. Currently, there is no ordinance or restriction prohibiting use of groundwater in the impacted area.

Potential Human Exposure Pathways

The scenarios for potential human exposure under current and reasonably expected future conditions at and around the site are summarized in the CSM, Figure 5 and the HHRA (Appendix A: Table 1) and include:

- On-site routine workers who could contact soil during outdoor activities or could inhale soil or groundwater-derived vapors in outdoor or indoor air;
- On-site maintenance workers who could contact soil or groundwater during small-scale construction or maintenance activities;
- On-site construction workers who could contact soil or groundwater during large-scale construction activities;
- Off-site residents or off-site routine workers who could inhale wind-blown vapors and particulates from on-site soil during outdoor activities, or could inhale groundwaterderived vapors in outdoor or indoor air where the groundwater plume has migrated offsite, or could ingest or contact groundwater if water use wells are installed in the area of impacted groundwater; and
- Off-site maintenance or construction workers who could contact groundwater during small-scale construction or maintenance activities that encounter groundwater where the groundwater plume has migrated off-site.

2.4 Corrective Action Objective

The HHRA (Appendix A) quantitatively evaluated risks associated with the identified exposures presented in Section 2.3. Under current land and groundwater use, the HHRA shows that cumulative risk estimates using maximum detected concentrations for all chemicals meeting USEPA's acceptable excess cancer risk and noncancer hazard index (HI) levels for exposure to on-site soil and off-site groundwater. The HHRA shows that cumulative risk estimates calculated using maximum detected concentrations for all chemicals in on-site groundwater are below USEPA's acceptable excess cancer risk level of 10⁻⁴ and exceed USEPA's noncancer HI level of 1 for the maintenance worker and construction worker via direct contact and the routine

worker via vapor intrusion under current on-site land and groundwater use. The HHRA also shows that off-site groundwater concentrations in the area of impacted groundwater would result in unacceptable exposure if water use wells were installed and groundwater with concentrations of TCE above the maximum contaminant level (MCL) is used as a potable source.

Based on the identified exposure pathways that require risk management from the HHRA, the corrective action objectives for the site are as follows:

- Eliminate or reduce the lateral extent or concentration of the groundwater plume both off-site and on-site.
- Eliminate or reduce the potential for unacceptable risk that may result if there are future changes in land or groundwater uses either off-site or on-site.
- Eliminate or reduce to acceptable levels the risk from certain potential on-site groundwater contact exposures identified in the HHRA which could pose an unacceptable risk.

2.5 Remedial Action Criteria

Potential significant exposures are those where the cumulative cancer risk or HI estimates exceed USEPA risk limits of 10⁻⁴ or 1, respectively, for both soil and groundwater, and off-site areas where groundwater concentrations are above the MCLs and no water well restriction exists, as discussed in the HHRA in Appendix A. As summarized in Section 2.4 and presented in the HHRA (Appendix A), potential exposures to chemicals detected in on-site soil and off-site groundwater under current land and groundwater uses do not present potentially significant risks to on-site and off-site receptors, respectively. Under current on-site land and groundwater uses, potential risks exist for certain on-site contact exposures with groundwater. In the hypothetical scenario in which water use wells are installed in the area of impacted off-site groundwater, exposures could potentially result from use of the groundwater. Therefore, the remedial action criterion for on-site groundwater is to reduce concentrations in groundwater plume which will ultimately reduce the concentrations in off-site groundwater. The remedial action criterion for off-site groundwater is preventing use of groundwater. The remedial action criterion for off-site groundwater is preventing use of groundwater.

2.6 Identification and Screening of Corrective Measures

In order to develop a plan to address the impacted soil and groundwater at the site, multiple candidate corrective measures were identified. Each corrective measure was evaluated on a screening-level basis to assess whether the measure should be retained for more detailed consideration.

The potential corrective measures are grouped into one of five categories:

- No Action;
- Containment;

- Removal;
- Treatment; and
- Institutional Controls.

A description of each category and the specific technologies within the categories are presented below along with the discussion of how the corrective measures were screened. Measures eliminated from further consideration are noted, along with the reasons for their elimination. In general, measures which:

- Are not currently available commercially;
- Have not been proven to be effective on similar contaminants of concern (COCs); or
- Are proven to be less effective than other technologies that could achieve the same results, were eliminated from further consideration.

It should be noted that some technologies must be combined with others to address the site conditions. Table 1 summarizes the technologies considered for each of the above general measures that are further discussed in the following sections.

2.6.1 No Action

The No Action measure represents a baseline against which other alternatives are compared. This measure would entail continuation of the current groundwater monitoring program but with no remedial activities to address affected soil or groundwater, either on-site or off-site. This measure would not limit risk posed by COCs.

Screening of the No Action Measure

The No Action measure is screened out from further consideration because it will not address the potential risks associated with affected groundwater if a drinking water well were to be installed, either off-site or on-site. No Action will not reduce concentrations, control mobility, or reduce the extent of impacted media. However, groundwater monitoring will be retained for consideration to be combined with other corrective measures.

2.6.2 Containment

Containment involves placing a physical barrier which impedes movement of COCs, thereby providing a means to reduce or eliminate an exposure pathway. Containment technologies can effectively isolate soil and/or groundwater, and are separated into the following groups:

- Horizontal barriers, and
- Vertical barriers.

Horizontal barrier technologies (i.e., capping) include:

- Topsoil/clay and vegetative covers;
- Asphalt covers;

- Concrete covers; and
- Soil covers with synthetic/geotextile composite liner.

Horizontal barriers prevent contact between impacted surface soil and surface water runoff, thereby reducing the potential for COC migration via infiltration into groundwater. The covers may also be engineered to prevent human exposure to impacted soil and to limit vapor migration.

Much of the on-site area where impacted soil is present is covered by asphalt and concrete which is a very effective horizontal barrier. Continued regular maintenance of the existing cover will reduce the potential for future leaching of COCs from the impacted soil to groundwater. The limited areas where impacted on-site soil is not currently paved (in the northwestern portion of the main building) could be paved to increase the effective surface area of cover. Impacted soil is not present off-site; therefore, applying a horizontal barrier as a corrective measure off-site will have no benefit.

Vertical barrier technologies include:

- Slurry wall;
- Cement-bentonite cutoff wall;
- Grout curtain;
- Sheet pile wall; and
- Interceptor trenches and recovery well systems.

Vertical barriers are typically used to limit or redirect the lateral flow of groundwater from or around an impacted area, to isolate impacted subsurface soil, or to contain an impacted groundwater plume. Such barriers are generally keyed into an existing confining layer. For the Whirlpool site, vertical barriers would be keyed into the lower McAllester Shale at a depth of about 35 feet. Prior to final design, a series of geotechnical soil borings would need to be drilled on 20 to 50 foot intervals along the proposed barrier trench centerline to obtain detailed stratigraphic information and other design data. Depth to water, depth to the "key" layer, soil type, and the potential presence of gravel or flowing sand are important data for barrier design. Compatibility testing may be required to evaluate the impact of COCs on the permeability of the barrier material. Construction of vertical barriers requires a significant working area, typically at least 50-ft wide, along the entire length of the barrier. A material mixing area would also be needed.

Screening of the Containment Measure

A containment-based corrective measure would not remove the impacts from the site but would provide protection of human health and the environment by reducing migration of, or exposure to, COCs in soil and/or groundwater. Containment technologies are proven, commercially available, and readily implemented. Due to the highly intrusive nature of the construction method, residential areas with homes and underground utilities are generally not good

candidates for these types of controls. Therefore, containment could be applied on-site and used to control both on-site exposure and the off-site migration of constituents.

Capping of impacted on-site soil was retained as a viable measure to be used in conjunction with other technologies. The use of vertical barriers is screened out from further consideration due to physical space constraints and the extensive design investigative requirements at portions of the target area.

2.6.3 Removal

Removal of impacted soil or groundwater involves excavation or collection of the media for treatment or disposal. Removal technologies must be combined with a treatment or disposal technology to form a complete corrective measure. Treatment technologies will be addressed as part of the design specification if this measure is chosen and will not be discussed as part of this RRMP.

Two proven removal technologies were considered as potentially applicable for the Whirlpool site:

Soil Excavation

Excavation is a proven technology for direct mass removal and is technically feasible for small to moderate soil volumes. Excavation achieves a direct means of reducing the amount of COC mass in the environment which could pose a risk to human health or act as a source to contamination of other media (e.g., groundwater), if such a source were to exist. An alternative to mass excavation is targeted area excavations at areas with higher COC concentrations, if localized high COC concentrations in soil were identified onsite.

Groundwater Extraction

Groundwater extraction is a removal technology that is also sometimes applied as a hydraulic barrier/control technology. Groundwater extraction removes groundwater to prevent down-gradient migration, which results in removal of dissolved and residual mass from the impacted transmissive zone. Groundwater may be extracted using either extraction trenches or extraction wells. It is not generally effective in fully restoring groundwater to residential or industrial cleanup standards, but can provide adequate protection from potential exposure pathways as an independent corrective measure or when coupled with other remedial options.

Groundwater may be extracted using either extraction trenches or extraction wells. An extraction trench is an open trench that is designed to collect and convey liquid discharges by gravity flow in a manner similar to a French drain. The trench could be installed in any of three basic configurations:

- 1. To intercept a plume down-gradient of the leading edge;
- 2. In conjunction with a groundwater cutoff barrier to prevent buildup of groundwater upgradient of the barrier; or
- 3. As a more active withdrawal system where drain(s) are installed within the groundwater plume perpendicular to the direction of groundwater flow.

Like vertical barriers discussed in previous section of this document, extraction trenches are commonly "keyed" into a confining layer. Extraction trenches are more effective than a line of groundwater wells when used to contain and/or recover impacted liquids in low transmissivity hydrogeological environments. Extraction trenches are considered a feasible technology except where access may be an issue.

Impacted groundwater can also be extracted from the ground by a system of recovery wells designed to control groundwater flow in a specific area and to remove dissolved and residual mass from the impacted transmissive zone. In addition, recovery wells may be used in conjunction with a physical vertical barrier to prevent hydraulic mounding behind the barrier.

Groundwater extraction can reduce dissolved phase concentrations in groundwater.

Screening of the Removal Measure

The removal measure for both soil and groundwater is a proven remedial approach and implemented at other similar sites. On-site, the greatest impact has been identified within the gravelly sand portion termed the transmissive zone, approximately 20 to 30 feet below ground surface. The area that would be targeted for removal is located between multiple buildings which limits the technical practicability of an active removal action. The presence of groundwater at approximately 10 to 12 feet below ground surface also limits the technical practicability of a removal action.

Groundwater pumping and treatment is the USEPA's presumptive remedy for VOC impacted groundwater. Furthermore, groundwater removal (via pumping and treatment) has the technical ability to reduce COC concentrations within the more transmissive portions of the gravel aquifer, providing near term protection to off-site residents. However subsurface geological, area hydrogeological characteristics, and off-site access in the residential area may limit the ability to install a trench and may also limit the number of recovery wells that could be installed. A pumping test conducted during a previous investigation indicated that the radius of influence of a recovery well and its ability to remove constituent mass in areas outside of the more transmissive portions of the gravel portions aquifer would likely be low. Thus, long term pumping would be required, or additional technologies may be needed to be effective in areas outside the more transmissive portions. In addition, the presence of buried utility lines in the residential area may make installation of a trench unfeasible.

Therefore, only the use of groundwater extraction by recovery wells is retained for further evaluation and use in the development of the final on-site and off-site corrective measure alternatives.

2.6.4 In-Situ Treatment

In-situ treatment technologies rely on the application of treatment methods in the subsurface to reduce constituent mass and concentrations without removing the impacted media. The technologies and options considered for this measure include:

Biological

Natural Attenuation

Enhanced Aerobic/Anaerobic Biodegradation

• Physical/Chemical

Vapor Extraction or Sparging

Permeable Treatment Beds

Chemical Oxidation

Soil Flushing

The technologies are described in the following paragraphs.

Biological

Natural Attenuation

The term "natural attenuation" refers to the reliance on natural attenuation processes to control or prevent migration and/or over time achieve site-specific remediation objectives (USEPA, 1989). Natural attenuation processes include a variety of physical, chemical, and biological processes that, under favorable conditions, reduce the mass, toxicity, mobility, volume, or COC concentrations in soil and/or groundwater.

The primary COCs in the off-site plume are TCE and cis-1,2-DCE, and the COCs in the on-site plume are TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, PCE, and vinyl chloride.. These chemicals can be degraded both anaerobically (via reductive dechlorination) or aerobically.

Enhanced Aerobic/Anaerobic Biodegradation

In-situ biological treatment includes the addition of nutrients, oxygen and/or acclimated microbes to enhance the natural degradation processes. Biodegradation in the saturated zone can be used for the remediation of both impacted soil and groundwater. To implement biodegradation in the saturated zone, a series of wells or trenches is used to inject water containing nutrients, microbes and/or oxygen. The treatment occurs as the water flows with the natural or induced gradient and is collected in down-gradient wells or trenches. Additional nutrients, microbes, or oxygen are added to the water and it is recirculated through the soil. Use of this technology may be limited in areas with clayey soil due to limited flow and reduced contact.

Physical/Chemical Treatment

Vapor Extraction or Sparging

Vapor extraction includes application of a vacuum on the subsurface soil to induce volatilization of organic constituents. This is accomplished by pulling a vacuum on a series of vertical or horizontal wells screened in the unsaturated soil zone. Sparging (stripping) of VOCs in groundwater via wells can also be performed to remove vapors. A low permeability cover may be installed above the treatment area to reduce air bypass. This technology works most efficiently in highly permeable, granular soils.

Permeable Treatment Beds

Implementation of permeable treatment beds would include construction of a down-gradient trench filled with a material which would either adsorb or chemically react with constituents in groundwater. As groundwater passes through the bed, COCs would be treated or removed. Treatment beds can include granular zero valent iron, mulch, and other media that create a strong reducing environment to treat dissolved chlorinated hydrocarbons (chlorinated solvents) to nontoxic end products. This technology could potentially be used alone or together with other technologies to control the migration of affected groundwater.

Chemical Oxidation

In-situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) involves decomposition and in-situ destruction using chemical oxidation technologies. In contrast to other remedial technologies, reduction in COCs can be seen in short time frames (e.g. weeks or months). Chemical oxidation technologies are predominantly used to address in-situ groundwater and soil in the source area saturated zone and capillary fringe.

Understanding the site hydrogeologic conditions is important when considering the use of chemical oxidation or reduction technologies because these conditions often determine the extent to which the chemical oxidants or reducing agents may come into contact with the COCs. Soil reactivity with chemical oxidants or reducing agents is also important when considering the costs of chemical oxidation. Excessive loss of a chemical oxidant or reducing agent that is reacting with organics in soil, instead of reacting with the COCs, may preclude the use of the technology as an economically viable approach to site remediation.

Permanganate was evaluated in an on-site field scale test in 2002. This test was conducted in an area where the shallow groundwater is predominately located in a transmissive gravel zone. The results indicated that ISCO was effective in treating the COCs within the treatment zone and over 20 feet outside the treatment zone in the transmissive gravel portion only; however, the subsurface shallow groundwater is predominantly composed of clayey materials. After the test was completed, COC concentrations rebounded to pre-test levels.

Because chemical oxidation requires that the oxidant comes into direct contact with the contaminant, movement of the oxidant throughout the subsurface is extremely important. Although the transmissive gravel zone exists below ground surface near the former greaser building on-site, it does not extend throughout the residential area north of the site. Permanganate was evaluated in off-site tests in April and June of 2009. Specifically, permanganate was applied to 8 injection wells and has been, and is currently being, monitored. As evidence of very slow to no movement of groundwater underneath the residential properties in the area, permanganate was still present in some off-site monitoring wells during the October 2012 groundwater sampling event. This shows that permanganate is not uniformly being distributed throughout the subsurface to treat impacted groundwater. Findings associated with these tests conclude that ISCO is effective in reducing COC concentrations when effectively distributed in the subsurface, which to date has only been achieved at the transmissive zone. In late 2010 and early 2011, a groundwater extraction well was used to better move the permanganate through the subsurface, however this effort was only marginally successful due to the tight clays.

These past tests demonstrate that while chemical oxidation can be effective in localized areas, a different oxidant and/or oxidant delivery method, as well as repeated injections, may be appropriate at this Site.

Soil Flushing

Soil flushing involves the use of a cosolvent or surfactant where an injection or infiltration process moves the cosolvent or surfactant through the impacted soil with the intent of removing COCs from the soil. Extraction fluids would be recovered after moving through the impacted area (i.e., down-gradient of the impacted area). The recovered fluids may need to be treated prior to discharge and/or reinjection.

Screening of the In-Situ Treatment Measure

In-situ treatment technologies are proven remediation methods, readily implemented, and have been used at other similarly impacted sites. Furthermore, in-situ treatment has the technical ability to rapidly reduce groundwater concentrations, providing near term protection to off-site residents. Thus, in-situ treatment via enhanced aerobic/anaerobic biodegradation and chemical oxidation were retained as corrective measures for further consideration at on-site areas where they could be used to rapidly reduce the highest concentration of COCs. This on-site reduction in COCs would then further protect off-site residents.

Natural Attenuation is screened out as an independent corrective measure because it does not appear to be effective in reducing the mass of COCs in a predictable time frame. Based on site data, reductive dechlorination of TCE is occurring given the presence of the breakdown components including vinyl chloride. Natural attenuation may be feasible in combination with some other technology based on the site data.

2.6.5 Institutional Controls

Applying institutional controls as a remedial measure entails the implementation of legally enforceable restrictions on land use or groundwater use to prevent exposure to impacted media. Institutional controls will not directly remediate the site (reduce concentrations and/or limit migration). However, by preventing exposure (ingestion, direct contact, etc.), institutional controls are proven to effectively protect human health on a short and long-term basis. Institutional controls can be applied to both impacted soil and groundwater.

Institutional controls are usually deed recorded wherein a metes and bounds description of impacted media, a description of the impacts (e.g., constituent concentrations and distribution), and all land or groundwater use restrictions are entered into the deed for the impacted property. Institutional controls can be applied via property acquisition, easement or through the use of a legal covenant.

Other institutional controls include Municipal Setting Designations (MSDs) where a city or other municipal entity establishes a prohibition on the installation of groundwater wells and/or the use of groundwater in an area that is impacted. MSDs are often instituted in areas that are fully serviced by municipal water supplies and private groundwater wells are not needed or used.

Screening of Institutional Control Measure

The use of institutional controls has been approved by ADEQ as a remedial measure on other sites and can readily be applied to impacted areas within the limits of Whirlpool's property. Applying institutional controls such as MSDs and/or deed recordation in the off-site area would require the cooperation and approval of residents, property owners, and the City of Fort Smith.

Whirlpool will institute restrictive covenants on its property and options such as MSDs, deed restrictions and restrictive covenants are all feasible options to address off-site issues. For these reasons, the Institutional Control measure is retained as an option to be used in combination with another option.

2.6.6 Summary of Corrective Measures Retained for Further Analysis

Four of the five general corrective measures discussed above were retained, in whole or in part, for potential inclusion in the Whirlpool Revised Risk Management Plan. No Action was completely screened out as a candidate approach. In some cases, a given remedial measure should not be implemented as a "stand alone" remedy or could be applied on a contingency basis, while others could be applied on a broader basis.

To help focus the selection of final corrective measures (presented in Section 3), the retained corrective measures were subjected to a second screening and a "short list" of surviving approaches was identified as summarized in the table below.

Corrective Measures Retained for Further Analysis			
General Remedial Measure	Media	Exposure Pathway Applicability	Retained for Potential Inclusion in the RMP?
Containment - Horizontal Barrier	On-Site Soil	Interrupt the soil-to- groundwater pathway by extending the existing asphalt and concrete coverage to reduce infiltration and limit potential leaching from affected on-site soils. Also limits direct contact.	Yes.
Containment – Vertical Barrier	Groundwater	Interrupt the residential groundwater exposure pathway by limiting migration from on-site "source area".	No, implementation constraints (physical and design).
Removal – Excavation	On-Site Soil	Interrupt the soil-to- groundwater exposure pathway by removing constituents from soil.	No, current data indicates higher soil concentrations within the groundwater zone below practical excavation depths.

Corrective Measures Retained for Further Analysis			
General Remedial Measure	Media	Exposure Pathway Applicability	Retained for Potential Inclusion in the RMP?
Removal – Extraction	Groundwater	Interrupt the groundwater exposure pathway by removing constituents from groundwater. Interrupt potential vapor intrusion to indoor air exposure pathway by decreasing concentrations to levels below concern for volatilization.	Yes, for on-site and off- site plume. May also be considered as a contingency action if performance monitoring indicates a need for secondary measures to protect off-site groundwater.
In-situ Treatment – Chemical Oxidation	Groundwater	Interrupt the groundwater exposure pathway by removing constituents from groundwater. Interrupt potential vapor intrusion to indoor air by decreasing concentrations to levels below concern for volatilization.	Yes, for on-site plume.
In-situ Treatment – Permeable Treatment Beds	Groundwater	Interrupt the groundwater exposure pathway by removing constituents from groundwater. Interrupt potential vapor intrusion to indoor air by decreasing concentrations to levels below concern for volatilization.	No, due to implementation constraints.
In-situ Treatment – Enhanced Aerobic/Anaerobic Biodegradation	Groundwater	Interrupt the groundwater exposure pathway by removing constituents from groundwater. Interrupt potential vapor intrusion to indoor air by decreasing concentrations to levels below concern for volatilization.	No, for on-site plume due to limited flow and reduced contact in clayey soil as well as COC levels in on-site soil.

Corrective Measures Retained for Further Analysis			
General Remedial Measure	Media	Exposure Pathway Applicability	Retained for Potential Inclusion in the RMP?
Institutional Controls	On-Site Soil	Interrupt potential for worker direct contact to subsurface soil by restricting access.	Yes.
Institutional Controls	Groundwater	Eliminate groundwater exposure pathway by restricting access.	Yes, for both on-site and off-site.

Based on the second screening performed, the remedial measures retained for potential inclusion in the RRMP are:

- On-Site Soils: Containment via a horizontal barrier and institutional controls;
- On-Site Groundwater: Groundwater extraction, in-situ treatment via chemical oxidation, and institutional controls; and
- Off-Site Groundwater: Groundwater extraction and Institutional controls (including monitored natural attenuation).

3 Risk Management Plan

Grouping different remedial measures into an alternative allows the remedial plan to focus on the specific exposure pathways that pose a potentially significant risk. Based on the environmental setting at the Whirlpool site, two corrective measure alternatives were identified as having a high potential to address the exposure pathways of concern:

• Alternative 1:

On-Site: Soil Containment, Groundwater Extraction, and Institutional Controls; and

Off-Site: Groundwater Extraction

• Alternative 2:

On-Site: Groundwater In-situ Treatment via Chemical Oxidation and Institutional Controls; and

Off-Site: Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) and Institutional Controls

For the first alternative, the direct contact pathway is addressed by adding additional cover to the existing asphalt and concrete in the area where impacted soil is present on-site. Further protection is provided with the first alternative by reducing groundwater concentrations using groundwater extraction. Decreasing groundwater concentrations reduces the potential for future off-site migration. Additionally, applying institutional controls limits on-site access to the impacted soil and groundwater.

The second alternative consists of a combination of in-situ treatment onsite via chemical oxidation coupled with institutional controls. In-situ treatment of on-site groundwater will be conducted via in-situ chemical oxidation (using permanganate or other appropriate oxidant¹). Institutional controls will be used to limit access to on-site impacted soil and both on-site and off-site groundwater.

3.1 Evaluation of Final Corrective Measure Alternatives

As specified in the CAP guidance, and in accordance with the LOA, the components of the two corrective measures alternatives described above were evaluated against the following performance criteria:

- Protection of human health and the environment;
- Attainment of remedial action criteria;
- Control of the source of releases;
- Compliance with applicable standards for management of waste;
- Short and long-term reliability and effectiveness;
- Reduction in toxicity, mobility, or volume of impacted media;

¹ Oxidant will be determined by a treatability study and/or pilot study.

- Implementability; and
- Cost.

The results of the evaluation are summarized as follows:

Alternative 1		
On-Site: Soil Containment, Groundwater Extraction, and Institutional Controls		
	Containment reduces the potential for exposure to impacted soil and limits potential for infiltration through impacted soil and into groundwater	
Protection Of Human Health And The Environment	Extraction reduces the potential for exposure to impacted groundwater by reducing concentrations	
	Institutional Controls eliminate the potential for exposure to impacted soil and groundwater	
	Containment will not modify concentrations in soil, but can limit the continued migration of COC to groundwater	
Attainment Of Remedial Action Criteria	There is a limited potential for extraction to attain MCLs. The tight soil conditions and demonstrated low transmissivity at the site may require long term operation and maintenance of a mechanical system.	
	Institutional Controls will not modify concentrations in soil or groundwater	
	Containment creates a physical barrier to isolate the soil source from the environment	
Control Of The Source Of Releases	Extraction controls the plume coming from the source by hydraulic control and may be able to achieve control on-site. The tight soil conditions and demonstrated low transmissivity at the site may require long term operation and maintenance of a mechanical system.	
	Institutional Controls will not physically isolate the source of releases from the environment	

Alternative 1		
	Containment is not applicable to this criterion since the measure does not involve management of wastes.	
Compliance With Applicable Standards For Management Of Waste	Extraction can be conducted in a manner consistent with applicable standards.	
	Institutional Controls are not applicable to this criteria since it does not involve management of wastes	
	Containment can be applied in a reasonably short time frame and Institutional controls to ensure the containment is maintained would provide long-term effectiveness.	
Short And Long-Term Reliability And Effectiveness	Extraction can be applied in a reasonably short time frame but will be required to be active in the long term to slow groundwater movement.	
	Institutional Controls can be applied in a short time frame and provide long-term effectiveness	
	Containment will help reduce mobility, but will not affect reductions in toxicity or volume	
Reduction In Toxicity, Mobility, Or Volume Of Impacted Media	Extraction will help reduce toxicity and volume by direct removal and will reduce mobility by hydraulic control	
	Institutional Controls will not reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume of impacted media	
	Containment is readily implemented	
Implementability	Extraction is readily implemented	
	Institutional Controls are readily implemented	
	Containment has low to moderate initial and low long term cost	
Cost	Extraction has high initial and moderate to high long term cost	
	Institutional Controls are low cost in the short and long term	
Of	f-Site: Groundwater Extraction	
Protection Of Human Health And The Environment	Extraction may reduce the potential for exposure to impacted groundwater and by reducing concentrations in groundwater may eliminate the vapor intrusion pathway.	
Attainment Of Remedial Action Criteria	There is a limited potential for extraction to attain MCLs. The tight soil conditions and demonstrated low transmissivity off-site may result in a substantial system that may require long term operation and maintenance with a low potential for achieving criteria. Access to all applicable off-site areas is unlikely given existing utilities, structures and land uses.	
Control Of The Source Of Releases	Extraction controls the plume through hydraulic control; however, achieving control of the off-site groundwater plume may be difficult due to low transmissivity and access issues.	

Alternative 1		
Compliance With Applicable Standards For Management Of Waste	Extraction can be conducted in a manner consistent with applicable standards	
Short And Long-Term Reliability And Effectiveness	Extraction can be applied in a reasonably short time frame but will be required to be implemented long term to achieve effectiveness due to low transmissive conditions and access issues.	
Reduction In Toxicity, Mobility, Or Volume Of Impacted Media	Extraction will help reduce toxicity and volume by direct removal and will reduce mobility by hydraulic control	
Implementability	Extraction is readily implemented but may be limited by off-site access issues	
Cost	Extraction has high initial and moderate to high long term cost	

Alternative 2				
On-Site: In-situ Chemical Oxidation and Institutional Controls				
Protection Of Human Health And The Environment	In-situ chemical oxidation reduces the potential for exposure to impacted groundwater by reducing concentrations Institutional Controls eliminate the potential for exposure to impacted soil and groundwater			
Attainment Of Remedial Action Criteria	There is a potential for in-situ chemical oxidation to attain MCLs. Based on subsurface conditions at the Site, repeated injections may be necessary. Institutional Controls will not modify concentrations in soil or groundwater			
Control Of The Source Of Releases	In-situ chemical oxidation controls the plume coming from the source by decomposition of contaminants. Institutional Controls will not physically isolate the source of releases from the environment			
Compliance With Applicable Standards For Management Of Waste	In-situ chemical oxidation can be conducted in a manner consistent with applicable standards Institutional Controls are not applicable to this criteria since it does not involve management of wastes			
Short And Long-Term Reliability And Effectiveness	In-situ chemical oxidation can be applied in a reasonably short time frame but may need to be repeated to ensure long term effectiveness Institutional Controls can be applied in a short time frame and provide long-term effectiveness			

Alternative 2				
Reduction In Toxicity, Mobility, Or Volume Of Impacted Media	In-situ chemical oxidation will help reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume by direct removal Institutional Controls will not reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume of impacted media			
Implementability	In-situ chemical oxidation is readily implemented Institutional Controls are readily implemented			
Cost	In-situ chemical oxidation has moderate initial and low long term cost			
Off-Site: MNA & Institutional Controls				
Protection Of Human Health And The Environment	MNA reduces the potential for exposure to impacted groundwater by reducing concentrations Institutional Controls eliminate the potential for exposure to impacted soil and groundwater			
Attainment Of Remedial Action Criteria	There is a potential for MNA to attain MCLs over time Institutional Controls will not modify concentrations in soil or groundwater			
Control Of The Source Of Releases	MNA controls the plume by decomposition of contaminants Institutional Controls will not physically isolate the source of releases from the environment			
Compliance With Applicable Standards For Management Of Waste	MNA can be conducted in a manner consistent with applicable standards Institutional Controls are not applicable to this criteria since it does not involve management of wastes			
Short And Long-Term Reliability And Effectiveness	MNA will be most effective in the long term Institutional Controls can be applied in a short time frame and provide long-term effectiveness			
Reduction In Toxicity, Mobility, Or Volume Of Impacted Media	MNA will reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume of impacted media over time Institutional Controls will not reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume of impacted media			
Implementability	MNA is readily implemented Institutional Controls are readily implemented			
Cost	MNA is low cost in the short term and depending upon length of monitoring period could be low to moderate in the long term Institutional Controls are low cost in the short and long term			

Г

The evaluation of alternatives indicates that both in-situ groundwater treatment and institutional controls could be effective in addressing on-site and off-site groundwater pathways, given that institutional controls can be guaranteed to limit exposure at the off-site areas and in-situ groundwater treatment will help reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume by direct removal. Groundwater recovery is not an action that may be applicable to all off-site areas due to access and transmissivity issues. Years of historical data including the two completed ISCO pilot studies have proven that low transmissivity conditions severely limit the ability of an active corrective action involving extraction/capture of the impacted groundwater. Therefore, the probability that impacted groundwater could be effectively addressed with a groundwater extraction scenario is far less likely than implementation of institutional controls. Coupling a low probability for success with an extraction system, area geology and hydrogeology limitations and off-site access issues with the high initial and long term operating costs suggests this is not an ideal solution.

Institutional controls however will immediately eliminate the potential for exposure to impacted soil and groundwater. Institutional controls also do not have the issue of coverage that groundwater recovery will have due to access and transmissivity issues, because the controls will cover the full area of concern. Institutional controls are also readily implemented, providing faster protection than groundwater recovery.

The evaluation of alternatives illustrates that in-situ groundwater treatment in combination with institutional controls will immediately eliminate the potential for exposure to impacted soil and groundwater and will begin to reduce toxicity, mobility, and volume of the source. This alternative is more cost effectively than the other alternative components in both the immediate, short term, and long term.

3.2 Final Remedy Selection

The Final Remedy Selection is Alternative 2: On-Site Chemical Oxidation, Off-Site MNA, and On-Site and Off-Site Institutional Controls. All the various delineation and interim measure activities undertaken at the site to date have resulted in a well delineated and understood site. Whirlpool has used this knowledge to develop a recommended plan for final corrective measure that can be effectively and efficiently implemented. The Final Remedy Selection will meet the remedial action criteria defined in Section 2.4 of:

- Eliminate or reduce the lateral extent or concentration of the groundwater plume both off-site and on-site.
- Eliminate or reduce the potential for unacceptable risk that may result if there are future changes in land or groundwater uses either off-site or on-site.
- Eliminate or reduce to acceptable levels the risk from certain potential on-site groundwater contact exposures identified in the HHRA which could pose an unacceptable risk.

3.2.1 Final CSM – Exposure Pathways

The selected final remedy will eliminate all exposure pathways associated with impacted on-site and off-site areas (Figure 6). The exposure pathways will be eliminated both on and off-site via the use of institutional controls such as deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, or a City ordinance. Exposure pathways will further be eliminated by demonstrating that off-site vapor intrusion does not pose an unacceptable risk to potential receptors. Lastly, the reduction of source COCs on-site via chemical oxidation and the reduction of off-site COCs via MNA will assist in reducing risks posed by the COCs.

3.2.1.1 On-Site Groundwater

On-site groundwater will be treated in-situ with a chemical oxidant to reduce source area concentrations. This action is also expected to affect the off-site groundwater concentrations by reducing the toxicity and volume of COCs moving off-site.

Use of on-site groundwater will also be restricted via the use of institutional controls within the defined impact area. In addition, institutional controls in the form of deed restrictions will be put into place to prohibit groundwater use on-site, require appropriate health and safety precautions be enforced during construction or maintenance activities that involve excavation into impacted groundwater, and require the future building use comply with OSHA requirements and include CVOCs in impacted groundwater as part of the hazard communication program.

Final Remedy Performance Monitoring Section 3.3.1 includes groundwater and soil vapor monitoring on-site to monitor final remedy effectiveness during the performance monitoring period defined by this RRMP.

3.2.1.2 On-Site Soil

On-site soil will be addressed through Institutional Controls (restrictive covenants) to eliminate access to affected on-site soil. Whirlpool will record restrictive covenants when the property changes ownership. In the interim Whirlpool will continue to operate in accordance with existing site Environmental Health and Safety protocols already in place.

3.2.1.3 Off-Site Groundwater

The selected final remedy includes the use of institutional controls and MNA to control unacceptable risks posed by COCs. These institutional controls may take the form of deed restrictions, restrictive covenants or a City ordinance. MNA will assist in reducing concentrations off-site. In addition to addressing groundwater via institutional controls and MNA, Whirlpool is also proposing to complete an on-site remedial action as discussed in section 3.2.1.2 below.

Potential off-site vapor intrusion exposure to groundwater derived vapors meets USEPA risk limits. See the HHRA, attached as Appendix A.

Final Remedy Performance Monitoring Section 3.3.1 includes groundwater and soil vapor monitoring of off-site areas to monitor final remedy effectiveness during the performance monitoring period defined by this RRMP.

3.3 Performance Criteria

The primary elements of the final remedy include institutional controls that maintain existing onsite soil restriction, off-site and on-site groundwater restriction, source reduction on-site via chemical oxidation, and monitoring off-site for natural recovery. Performance monitoring will be implemented to ensure the primary elements continue to be protective of human health are presented in the following sections.

3.3.1 Performance Monitoring

3.3.1.1 Institutional Controls

In order to meet obligations associated with restrictive covenants, Whirlpool will rely on the future owners of the property to adhere to the recorded restrictive covenants. In the interim Whirlpool will continue to operate in accordance with existing site Environmental Health and Safety protocols already in place. In order to meet obligations associated with the off-site restrictions, Whirlpool will rely on the City of Fort Smith for enforcement.

3.3.1.2 Chemical Oxidation Monitoring

Whirlpool will implement a tiered monitoring program to address chemical reductions completed as part of the on-site in-situ chemical oxidation effort. The final work plan will specify the number of wells to be monitored and frequency of monitoring as these factors are dependent upon the quantity of injectant used, number of injection points and frequency of injection event(s). At a minimum it is expected that monitoring will be completed at the following intervals post injection; one month, three months, six months, and one year.

3.3.1.3 Soil Gas Monitoring

Whirlpool will implement a program of soil gas monitoring for a three-year period on an annual basis to monitor the soil gas concentrations to confirm that groundwater derived vapors are not migrating and that vapor intrusion continues to be an incomplete pathway. The program will use the existing soil gas sampling points augmented with additional soil gas sampling points to be incorporated into the performance monitoring program. The soil gas monitoring will include analysis of CVOCs that have inhalation toxicity values and where the detected concentration in groundwater exceeds the MCL at or near the soil gas sampling point. The additional soil gas sampling locations will be specified in a final work plan.

3.3.1.4 Groundwater Monitoring - MNA

Whirlpool will implement a program of annual groundwater monitoring for a five year period to verify indicators of natural recovery, as well as, plume stability and/or decreasing groundwater impact. A limited number of existing wells will be incorporated into the performance monitoring program. The groundwater monitoring program will include, in addition to MNA indicators, analysis of the key constituents of concern including TCE, PCE, 1,1-DCE, 1,1-DCA, cis-1,2-DCE and vinyl chloride. Specific wells to be incorporated into the performance monitoring system will be specified in the final work plan.

3.4 Contingency Plans

If at the end of five years of monitoring, if the Remedial Action Criteria is not being met, Whirlpool will implement a revised sampling program and determine data impacts to the HHRA that forms the basis for this RRMP. Whirlpool will notify ADEQ within three months of the last annual sampling event of any findings not in accordance with this RRMP.

3.5 Performance Reviews

In accordance with the LOA Whirlpool will complete the following required Performance Reviews.

3.5.1 Quarterly

Whirlpool will prepare quarterly Remedial Action and Operation and Maintenance Status Reports as required in the LOA.

The quarterly status reports will contain the following:

- Description of work completed,
- Summaries of all findings in the reporting period,
- Summaries of problems encountered during the reporting period and actions taken to address problems,
- Deviations from any approved work plans or schedules including justification for any delays with revised projected completion date(s), and
- Projected work for the next reporting period.

3.5.2 Annual Monitoring Report

Whirlpool will prepare annual performance monitoring reports that summarize the results of the annual groundwater and soil gas monitoring activities. The annual monitoring report will contain the following:

- Summaries of the annual groundwater and soil gas monitoring results with comparisons to remedial action criteria;
- Summaries of groundwater level elevation data; and
- Copies of the laboratory analytical reports.

Whirlpool will submit annual monitoring reports with data summaries for current and previous annual submittals. In the event the five year groundwater monitoring program in Section 3.3.1 of this RRMP indicates that the performance criteria have been met, Whirlpool will propose that all performance monitoring cease.

3.5.3 Five Year Review

Consistent with the 2005 Arkansas Groundwater Remediation Level Interim Policy, five years after initiating the Final Remedy Whirlpool will submit a five-year technical review of the status of the Whirlpool site final remedy and assess the need for further actions if necessary.

3.6 Public Involvement Plan

As specified in the LOA, Whirlpool will seek public comment on the Administrative Record (AR) and the proposed corrective measures for the corrective actions to be implemented for the Fort Smith site with ADEQ participation. The public involvement plan will consist of:

- Establishing a local repository for project documents;
- Compiling a copy of the AR for public access at the repository;
- Providing public notice of the availability of the AR and a request for comments on the AR and the proposed corrective measures within 30 days; and
- Completing a Public Meeting for all residents and City Leaders to review the final remedy.

Whirlpool will establish a local document repository where the public will have access to the AR (i.e. the collection of documents forming the basis for the final remedy). The location of the document repository, typically a local library, will be determined in cooperation with the ADEQ.

Whirlpool will provide a copy of relevant site documents to the repository that will provide the public the basis to understand the selection of the final remedy. Whirlpool will then work with ADEQ to place a public notice in a local newspaper advertising the availability of the AR and asking for public comments on the selection of the final corrective measure. The public will be directed to provide comments to the ADEQ. The public comment period will be for a maximum of 30 calendar days. During the 30 day period Whirlpool will complete a public meeting to present the remedy and solicit feedback from invited residents and city leaders. Following receipt of comments and direction from ADEQ, Whirlpool will update the AR, as necessary. Once the AR is complete and fully approved, the RMP will be implemented.

3.7 Proposed Schedule and Completion of CAS Program

The RRMP implementation schedule is presented below and represents Whirlpools current estimate of the timing for completion of each of the outlined tasks. The schedule reinforces Whirlpools commitment to an efficient, expeditious implementation of the final remedy following notification to proceed from the ADEQ.

The schedule will be reviewed on quarterly basis as part of the Performance Plan (Section 3.5). Any schedule revisions will be addressed in the quarterly, annual and five year reports discussed in previous sections of the RRMP.

Activity	Start	End	Comment
Implement Public Involvement Plan	June 1, 2013	September 30, 2013	Includes 30 day comment period, addressing comments with ADEQ, and issuance of RADD
Restricted Covenants / Deed Restrictions developed and Recorded for Whirlpool Property		September 1, 2013 *	* Whirlpool will record restrictive covenants in accordance with Arkansas regulations at the time property(ies) transfer(s) occur.
Prepare and Submit Final Remedy Work Plan To ADEQ	May 1, 2013	August 15, 2013	
Install Additional Soil Gas Sampling Locations	June 1, 2013	August 30, 2013	Assumes ADEQ approval of Work Plan on or before June 30, 2013
Annual Performance (Groundwater / Soil Gas) Monitoring		June 30, 2018 (soil gas will cease in 2016)	Assumes ADEQ approval of Final Remedy Work Plan on or before June 30, 2013
Quarterly Performance Reports		June 1, 2018	
Five Year Review		June 1, 2018	

4 References

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality. (ADEQ). 2002. "Letter of Agreement to Implement a Risk Management Strategy Between The Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality and Whirlpool Corporation," July 19.

Environmental Resources Management (ERM). 2007. "Risk Evaluation Report", June 14.

Environmental Resources Management (ERM). 2008. "Risk Management Plan," March 27.

- ENVIRON International Corporation (ENVIRON). 2012. "Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA)", November 30.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1994. "RCRA Corrective Action Plan (Final)", May.

2131344A\WP\34698v1

Tables

TABLE 1

Corrective Action Measures Summaries

Whirlpool Corporation

Fort Smith, Arkansas

General Corrective Action	Remedial Technology	Process Option	Description	
NO ACTION	None	No Action	No action, represents base line conditions. Includes semi-annual ground water monitoring.	
CONTAINMENT	Horizontal Barriers	Topsoil/Clay and Vegetation	Placement of topsoil/clay and seeding to vegetate	
		Asphalt	Placement of asphalt over affected soil	
		Concrete	Mixing and placement of concrete over affected soil	
		Engineered Soil Cover w/Synthetic Liner	Construction of combination soil cover and synthetic liner	
		Slurry Wall	Installation of trench filled with soil/bentonite slurry	
		Cement-Bentonite Wall	Installation of trench filled with cement/bentonite slurry	
	Vertical Barriers	Grout Curtain	Injection of clay-cement grout into voids where piles were driven and extracted	
		Sheet Pile Wall	Construct of containment wall by driving sheet piling	
		Interceptor Trenches	Installation of gravel filled trench used to isolate affected area	
REMOVAL	Soil Excavation	Excavation	Excavation - Removing media via backhoe for treatment or disposal	
	Ground Water Extraction	Extraction Trenches	Trench, either open or backfilled with porous media, to allow seepage and collection of groundwater and oils	
		Extraction Wells	Series of wells to extract impacted groundwater	
TREATMENT - In situ		Enhanced Aerobic/Anaerobic Biodegradation	Addition of bacteria, oxygen and nutrients to promote biodegradation of chemicals	
	Biological	Natural Attenuation	Long-term monitoring physical, chemical and biological processes that reduce chemicals of concern naturally	
		Vapor Extraction	Application of a vacuum on the soil	
	Physical/Chemical	Permeable Treatment Beds	Impacted groundwater is intercepted in a downgradient trench filled with materials to treat or absorb the chemicals	
	,	Chemical Oxidation	Saturated soils and groundwater are oxidized by injection of oxidants	
		Soil Flushing	A cosolvent or surfactant is used to flood the area	
INSTITUTIONAL ACTIONS	Access Restrictions	Deed Recordation	Surveying and filing of deed recordation, restricting groundwater use	

Figures


Li\Loop Project Files\00_CAD FILES\21\Whintpool Risk Mgmt Plan & Remedy 21-31344A\01_Site Location Map.dwg



L:\Loop Project Files\00_CAD FILES\21\Whirlpool Risk Mgmt Plan & Remedy 21-31344A\02_Site Layout dwg









Appendix A

Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA)



Revised Risk Management Plan Appendix A -Human Health Risk Assessment Whirlpool Fort Smith Facility 6400 Jenny Lind Avenue Fort Smith, Arkansas

> Prepared for: Whirlpool Corporation Benton Harbor, Michigan

Prepared by: ENVIRON International Corporation Princeton, New Jersey

> Date: November 2012 Revised April 2013

> > Project Number: 21-31344A



Page

Contents

1	Introd	luction	1
2	Data (Collection and Preparation	1
3	Expos	sure Assessment	2
3.1	Conceptual Site Model		2
3.2	Exposure Concentrations		3
	3.2.1	Soil	3
	3.2.2	Groundwater	3
	3.2.3	Soil Gas	4
3.3	Fate and Transport Models		4
	3.3.1	Soil and Groundwater Vapor Intrusion	4
	3.3.2	Vapor Emission from Exposed Soil	6
	3.3.3	Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air	7
	3.3.4	Dust Emission	8
	3.3.5	Air Dispersion	9
3.4	Expos	sure Factors	9
4	Toxic	ity Values	10
5	Physi	cal and Chemical Parameters	10
6	Risk E	Estimation	10
6.1	Cance	er Risk and Noncancer Hazard Index	10
6.2	Estimating Risks to On-Site Routine Workers		11
	6.2.1	Contact with Outdoor Soil	11
	6.2.2	Soil Volatilization into Indoor Air	12
	6.2.3	Groundwater Volatilization into Indoor Air	13
	6.2.4	Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air	13
6.3	Estima	ating Risks to On-Site Maintenance Workers	13
	6.3.1	Contact with Soil	13
	6.3.2	Groundwater Contact	14
6.4	Estima	ating Risks to On-Site Construction Workers	15
	6.4.1	Contact with Soil	15
	6.4.2	Groundwater Contact	15
6.5	Estima	ating Risks to Off-Site Residents	15
	6.5.1	Inhalation of Soil-Derived Vapors and Particulates	15
	6.5.2	Groundwater Volatilization into Indoor Air	16
	6.5.3	Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air	16
	6.5.4	Groundwater Use	17
6.6	Estimating Risks to Off-Site Routine Workers		17
	6.6.1	Inhalation of Soil-Derived Vapors and Particulates	17
	6.6.2	Groundwater Volatilization into Indoor Air	17

8	Summary and Conclusions References		23
7			22
	6.8.5	Risk Characterization	21
	6.8.4	Toxicity Values	21
	6.8.3	Exposure Factors	20
	6.8.2	Fate and Transport Models	19
	6.8.1	Exposure Concentrations	18
6.8	Uncertainty Analysis		18
	6.7.1	Groundwater Contact	18
6.7	Estimating Risks to Off-Site Maintenance Workers		18
	6.6.4	Groundwater Use	18
	6.6.3	Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air	17

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Site Location Map
Figure 2:	Sample Location Map

List of Tables

Table 1:	Conceptual Site Model – Scenarios for Potential Human Exposure
Table 2:	Upper-Bound Cumulative Risk Estimates for On-Site Soil
Table 3:	Upper-Bound Cumulative Risk Estimates for Groundwater
Table 4:	Evaluation of TCE in Soil Gas
Table 5:	Evaluation of PCE in Soil Gas
Table 6:	Groundwater Screening Summary

List of Attachments

Attachment 1:	Risk Calculation Inputs
Attachment 2:	Routine Worker Risk Calculations
Attachment 3:	Maintenance Worker Risk Calculations
Attachment 4:	Construction Worker Risk Calculations
Attachment 5:	Off-Site Resident Risk Calculations

1 Introduction

This human health risk assessment evaluates the potential health significance of data for soil, groundwater, and soil gas that were collected at and around the Whirlpool facility in Fort Smith, Arkansas (site) to support remedy selection per the letter of agreement (LOA) with the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), dated July 19, 2002. The site and adjacent properties are shown on **Figure 1**. As discussed in the Revised Risk Management Plan (ENVIRON 2012), the risk assessment uses these data to quantify risks from reasonable maximum exposures (RME) to soil, groundwater, and soil gas under current and reasonably expected future land and groundwater use at and the site.

The methods and assumptions used in the risk assessment are consistent with United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) human health risk assessment guidance. The results of the risk assessment are compared to the acceptable risk limits used by Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ 2005) to identify where a release of hazardous substances from the site may cause reasonable maximum exposures to be significant enough to warrant remediation. The scope of the human health risk assessment is summarized in the conceptual site model (CSM) presented in **Table 1**, which is based on current and reasonably anticipated land use at the site. The CSM identifies the potentially exposed populations, the environmental media to which they could be exposed, and the potential routes of exposure. These exposure scenarios are discussed further in Section 3.

The remainder of this report is organized as follows:

- Section 2 discusses the preparation of data used in the risk assessment.
- Section 3 discusses the scenarios for potential human exposure that are evaluated in the risk assessment.
- Section 4 discusses the toxicity values used in the risk calculations.
- Section 5 discusses the physical and chemical parameters used in the risk calculations.
- Section 6 discusses the significance of the risk estimates for the potential exposures discussed in Section 3. Uncertainties associated with the risk estimates are also discussed in this section.
- Section 7 summarizes the findings and conclusions of the risk assessment.

2 Data Collection and Preparation

All valid soil, groundwater, and soil gas data collected at and around the site to support remedy selection were considered for use in the risk assessment. The locations where these data were collected are shown on **Figure 2**.

The following procedures, which are based on USEPA's Risk Assessment Guidance (RAGS) Part A (USEPA 1989), were used to prepare the data for quantitative assessment of RME risks:

- Concentrations qualified as not detected (i.e., U or UJ-qualified data) are evaluated as non-detects.
- The concentrations of 1,3-dichloropropene (total) and xylenes (total) in a sample are the sums of the concentrations of the detected isomers and half the quantitation limits of isomers not detected in the sample but detected in the same matrix at the site. If no isomer is detected in a sample, the chemical is considered to be not detected in the sample.

As a conservative assumption, all concentrations of chemicals are assumed to be site-related. All detected chemicals are included in the risk assessment, regardless of their detection frequency.

3 Exposure Assessment

3.1 Conceptual Site Model

The site is an industrial facility located at 6400 Jenny Lind Avenue, Fort Smith, Arkansas. The site has been operated by Whirlpool for over 35 years to manufacture household appliances. The site is approximately 150 acres and includes the main manufacturing building, adjoining warehouse and administrative offices, manufacturing support buildings, and approximately 20 acres of undeveloped land. The majority of the on-site area surrounding the buildings is covered with concrete, asphalt, or gravel for parking.

The area north of the site is residential and the areas east, south, and west of the site are industrial/commercial. The nearest residence is located to the north, adjacent to the site.

The site and the surrounding area are connected to a municipal water supply and there is no known use of groundwater within or near the area of impacted groundwater. Currently, there is no ordinance or restriction that would prohibit use of groundwater in the impacted area.

The scenarios for potential human exposure under current and potential future conditions at and around the site are summarized in the conceptual site model (CSM) on **Table 1**. The receptors and potential pathways included for quantitative evaluation in the risk assessment are as follows:

- On-Site Routine Workers
 - Soil incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during outdoor activities; inhalation of vapors in indoor air
 - Groundwater inhalation of vapors in outdoor air; inhalation of vapors in indoor air
- On-Site Maintenance Workers
 - Soil incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during smaller-scale surface and subsurface maintenance activities
 - Groundwater incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during smaller-scale subsurface maintenance activities that encounter groundwater

- On-Site Construction Workers
 - Soil incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during larger-scale/short-term (i.e., 1 year) construction activities
 - Groundwater incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during largerscale/short-term (i.e., 1 year) subsurface construction activities that encounter groundwater
- Off-Site Residents
 - Soil -inhalation of wind-blown vapors and particulates from on-site soil
 - Groundwater inhalation of vapors in outdoor air; inhalation of vapors in indoor air; and ingestion or dermal contact with groundwater
- Off-Site Routine Workers
 - Soil inhalation of vapors and particulates from on-site soil during off-site outdoor activities
- Groundwater inhalation of vapors in outdoor air; inhalation of vapors in indoor air; and ingestion or dermal contact with groundwater
- Off-Site Maintenance Workers
 - Groundwater incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during smaller-scale/shorter duration subsurface maintenance activities that encounter groundwater

3.2 Exposure Concentrations

3.2.1 Soil

Risk estimates for RME are conservatively estimated in this risk assessment by first using the maximum detected concentrations at any depth from the available soil data to calculate upperbound estimates of cumulative cancer and noncancer risks. If these upper-bound estimates of RME risks do not exceed the acceptable risk levels, i.e., cumulative site-related cancer risk of 10⁻⁴ and noncancer HI of 1, then further calculations such as 95% upper confidence limits (95% UCLs) on the mean are not necessary. The use of maximum detected concentrations, rather than 95% UCLs, for the chemicals evaluated in this risk assessment introduces more conservatism than necessary for RME estimates because it assumes constant, simultaneous worst case exposure to all detected chemicals, when the RME generally would not have all chemicals at worst case concentrations at all times.

3.2.2 Groundwater

To assess potential exposures to groundwater under current and future conditions on- and off-site, the highest detected concentration for each chemical from all monitoring wells sampled since 2008, i.e., the past five (5) years, were used to calculate upper-bound estimates of cumulative cancer and noncancer risks representative of current conditions. On-site and off-site groundwater data from the last ten years of semi-annual groundwater monitoring conducted under the LOA, indicate the plume boundary, defined by concentrations in groundwater that are higher than the Federal maximum contaminant level (MCL), is not expanding and that concentrations of trichloroethene (TCE) in groundwater are not increasing, although some

contaminant mass may have been re-distributed following the permanganate injections in 2009. Groundwater data from 2012 show that concentrations of TCE have further decreased by at least a factor of 2.4 from the maximum concentrations detected during the last five years. As discussed above, the use of maximum detected concentrations introduces more conservatism than necessary for RME estimates.

3.2.3 Soil Gas

Soil gas data were collected in May 2012 from two locations that are between 30 and 80 feet away from nearby groundwater wells, as shown on **Figure 2**, to confirm the results of the groundwater vapor intrusion risk calculations discussed in Section 3.3.1. The evaluation of the soil gas data and potential uncertainties in the groundwater vapor intrusion risk estimates are discussed in Section 6.8.2.

3.3 Fate and Transport Models

The following models are used in the risk assessment to estimate exposure concentrations for the exposure scenarios discussed in Section 3.1. These models are used by USEPA and state regulatory agencies for screening level analysis. The following are descriptions of the models.

3.3.1 Soil and Groundwater Vapor Intrusion

Indoor air concentrations resulting from soil or groundwater vapor intrusion into a building are estimated using the following relationships described by Johnson and Ettinger (1991), which USEPA recommends for screening level evaluations (USEPA 2004a):

$$C_{building} = \alpha C_{source}$$

where C_{source} is the source vapor concentration, and α is an attenuation coefficient that is given by the following equation:

$$\alpha = \frac{\left[\frac{D_T^{eff} A_B}{Q_{building} L_T}\right] \exp\left(\frac{Q_{soil} L_{crack}}{D^{crack} A_{crack}}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{Q_{soil} L_{crack}}{D^{crack} A_{crack}}\right) + \left[\frac{D_T^{eff} A_B}{Q_{building} L_T}\right] + \left[\frac{D_T^{eff} A_B}{Q_{soil} L_T}\right] \left[\exp\left(\frac{Q_{soil} L_{crack}}{D^{crack} A_{crack}}\right) - 1\right]}$$

Derivation of this equation and definition of the equation parameters can be found in Johnson and Ettinger's 1991 journal article, and therefore, are not repeated here.

The effective diffusion coefficient term D_T^{eff} in the equation for the attenuation coefficient (α) is calculated based on a "silty clay" soil, the predominant unsaturated soil type at and around the site. The soil-water profile in the vadose zone is estimated using the van Genuchten soil-water retention equation with default water retention parameters appropriate for silty clay (USEPA 2004a).

The distance between on-site groundwater and the foundation of a slab-on-grade building (L_T) is estimated to be approximately 3.5 m, which is the difference between the typical depth to

groundwater on-site of 3.7 m (12 ft) and a conservatively assumed building foundation thickness of 15 cm. The cracks in the building foundation are conservatively assumed to be filled with dry sand. The remaining parameters in the equation for the attenuation coefficient (α), which relate to building characteristics, are based on USEPA values for assessing chronic vapor intrusion into residential buildings (USEPA 2004a and 2012a) and default values presented in the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality technical support document for assessing chronic vapor intrusion into commercial buildings (MDEQ 1998)¹. Residential structures surrounding the Site have not been observed to have basements and as such, evaluation of vapor intrusion into structures with basements was not performed. The rationale for these inputs is discussed in the USEPA guidance and MDEQ guidance, and therefore, is not repeated here.

The source vapor concentration (C_{source}) for a chemical in soil is calculated from the chemical's concentration in soil (C_{soil}), as follows:

$$C_{source} = C_{soil} \left(\frac{K_d}{H} + \frac{\theta_w}{\rho_b H} + \frac{\theta_a}{\rho_b} \right)^{-1}$$

where K_d is the equilibrium-partitioning coefficient, H is the Henry's law constant (adjusted to the estimated subsurface temperature in the Fort Smith, Arkansas area of 16.7 °C (USEPA 2004a)), θ_w is the water-filled soil porosity, ρ_b is the soil bulk density, and θ_a is the air-filled soil porosity.

The soil vapor intrusion risk calculations included a mass balance check to ensure that the assumed mass of a chemical infiltrating into the building over the assumed exposure period does not exceed an upper-bound estimate of the chemical's mass in the vadose zone soil underlying the building. The upper-bound estimate of the chemical's mass in the vadose zone soil was conservatively estimated using the highest concentration of the chemical from any depth in soil at the site and assuming that this concentration represents the concentration in soil from ground surface to the water table. The attenuation coefficient α_{ML} used in the mass balance check is given by the following equation:

$$\alpha_{ML} = \left(\frac{\rho_b \cdot K_d}{H} + \frac{\theta_w}{H} + \theta_a\right) \cdot \left(\frac{A_B \cdot \Delta H}{Q_{building} \cdot ED}\right)$$

where A_B is the area of the building footprint, ΔH is the contaminant thickness (the distance between water table and a building foundation (L_{T-gw})), and $Q_{building}$ is the air flow rate through the building. These parameters are shown in **Attachment 2** and **Attachment 5**.

The source vapor concentration for a chemical in groundwater is calculated from the chemical's concentration in groundwater (C_{gw}), as follows:

¹ Factors for assessing this pathway for commercial/industrial buildings, including assumptions regarding building characteristics, are not available from ADEQ or USEPA.

$$C_{source} = C_{gw} \cdot H$$

The computation of the single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 2**. The cumulative risk and HI estimates for vapor intrusion from soil and groundwater are summarized on **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively.

In assessing the significance of releases, potential exposure of routine workers to chemicals in soil and groundwater via potential vapor intrusion is also evaluated by dividing the highest estimated concentrations of chemicals in indoor air resulting from vapor intrusion by occupational indoor air standards, and then summing the resulting ratios. This approach is consistent with the approach described in Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations at 29 CFR 1910.1000(d)(2)(i) for assessing compliance with inhalation exposure limits for a mixture of air contaminants, which uses an equivalent exposure for the mixture (E_m) given by the following:

$$E_m = \sum_i \frac{C_{building,i}}{L_i}$$

where $C_{\text{building, i}}$ and L_i are the indoor air concentration (calculated as described above) and exposure limit for chemical i, respectively. Exposure is within acceptable limits when E_m does not exceed 1. In applying this approach to assess the significance of contributions from vapor intrusion to indoor air exposures, the contribution to E_m due to vapor intrusion should be much less than 1 (e.g., less than 0.01).

The exposure limits L_i in the above equation are the permissible exposure limits (PELs) established by OSHA (OSHS 2007), threshold limit values (TLVs) recommended by the American Conference of Government Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH 2005) for chemicals without PELs, or NIOSH recommended exposure limits (RELs) for chemicals without a PEL or TLV. The inhalation limits for chemicals evaluated in the risk assessment are shown in **Attachment 1**.

3.3.2 Vapor Emission from Exposed Soil

The potential exposure of outdoor receptors (i.e., routine workers and residents) to vapors that are emitted from soil are assessed based on the normalized average vapor flux J_v of a chemical from unsaturated soil. The average flux is conservatively estimated using an unsteady-state model derived by Jury et al. (1983). This model conservatively assumes that volatile chemicals are present in the soil to a finite depth. The equation for J_v is given by:

$$J_{\nu} = \frac{C_{s,0}}{T} \left(2 \left(\exp\left(\frac{-Z_1^2}{4D_E T}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-Z_2^2}{4D_E T}\right) \right) \sqrt{\frac{D_E T}{\pi}} - Z_1 \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{Z_1}{2\sqrt{D_E T}}\right) + Z_2 \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{Z_2}{2\sqrt{D_E T}}\right) \right) \right)$$

where:

$$D_E = \frac{D_G H + D_L}{\rho_b K_d + \theta_w + \theta_a H}$$
$$D_G = D_{air} \cdot \frac{\theta_a^{10/3}}{n^2}$$
$$D_L = D_{water} \cdot \frac{\theta_w^{10/3}}{n^2}$$

where ρ_b is the soil dry bulk density, D_E is the effective diffusion coefficient in soil, T is the averaging period, D_G is the gas-phase diffusion coefficient, H is the Henry's law constant, D_L is the liquid-phase diffusion coefficient, K_d is the soil-water distribution coefficient, θ_w is water-filled porosity, θ_a is the air-filled porosity, D_{air} is the diffusion coefficient in air, n is the soil porosity, D_{water} is the diffusion coefficient in water and Z_1 and Z_2 are the top and bottom depths of the soil contamination. Derivation of this equation can be found in the Jury et al. 1983 journal article and therefore, is not repeated here. Finite depth volatilization models are also discussed in the Soil Screening Guidance (USEPA 1996). Soil parameters used in this assessment were obtained from USDA's ROSETTA Model V1.0 (1999); parameters for silty clay were selected as representative of the soil observed in the site. The values for chemical-specific parameters and soil parameters for calculating J_V are included in **Attachment 2**.

3.3.3 Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air

Potential exposures to vapor emissions from groundwater that migrate through the vadose zone into outdoor air are assessed using a normalized average vapor flux (J) of a chemical from groundwater, which is calculated by using the steady-state diffusion equation in one-dimension with a constant source concentration and the maximum concentration gradient, as follows:

$$J = D_e \cdot \frac{C_{source}}{L}$$

where D_e is the effective diffusion coefficient of the chemical in the vapor phase, C_{source} is the vapor concentration in equilibrium with the groundwater concentration, and L is the distance from groundwater to the ground surface.

The effective diffusion coefficient for the vapor phase is calculated as follows:

$$D_e = D_v + \frac{D_w}{H}$$

where D_v is the gas phase diffusion coefficient, D_w is the liquid phase diffusion coefficient, and H is the Henry's law constant (adjusted to a subsurface temperature of 16.7°C). The gas and

liquid-phase diffusion coefficients are calculated using the Millington-Quirk tortuosity model, as follows:

$$D_{v} = D_{air} \cdot \frac{\theta_{a}^{10/3}}{\theta_{t}^{2}}$$
$$D_{w} = D_{water} \cdot \frac{\theta_{w}^{10/3}}{\theta_{t}^{2}}$$

where D_{air} is the diffusion coefficient in air, D_{water} is the diffusion coefficient in water, θ_a is the airfilled soil porosity, θ_w is the water-filled soil porosity, and θ_t is the total soil porosity. The values of the porosities used in these calculations are the same as those discussed in Section 3.3.1 for calculating vapor flux from silty clay vadose zone soil.

The normalized vapor flux (J_L) of a chemical from exposed groundwater into outdoor air (e.g., in an excavation) is estimated using an overall mass transfer coefficient (K_L) recommended by USEPA (1995):

$$J_{L} = K_{L} = \left(\frac{1}{k_{l}} + \frac{1}{H k_{g}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{m}{10^{2} cm}\right) \left(\frac{10^{3} L}{m^{3}}\right)$$

where H is the Henry's law constant (adjusted to a subsurface temperature of 16.7°C) and k_1 and k_q are the liquid-phase and gas-phase mass transfer coefficients given by the following:

$$k_{l} = \left(\frac{MW_{o}}{MW}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{T}{298K}\right) k_{l,o}$$
$$k_{g} = \left(\frac{MW_{w}}{MW}\right)^{0.335} \left(\frac{T}{298K}\right)^{1.005} k_{g,w}$$

where MW, MW_o, and MW_w are the molecular weights of the chemical, oxygen, and water, T is the absolute temperature of the groundwater, $k_{l,o}$ is the liquid-phase mass transfer coefficient for oxygen, and $k_{g,w}$ is the gas-phase mass transfer coefficient for water vapor.

3.3.4 Dust Emission

Potential exposures to particulate emissions from uncovered soil are assessed using a normalized average particulate flux (J_{10}) of a chemical from surface soil. This particulate flux is conservatively estimated using the "unlimited reservoir" model that USEPA has adapted for screening-level analysis of respirable particulate emissions from soil (USEPA 1996). This model assumes that particulate emissions are created by wind erosion. The equation for J_{10} is given by:

$$J_{10,w} = 0.036 \cdot (1 - G) \cdot \left(\frac{u_m}{u_t}\right)^3 \cdot F(x) \frac{g}{m^2 h r} \cdot \frac{h r}{60^2 \sec} \cdot \frac{10^{-3} kg}{g}$$

where G is fraction of ground/vegetative cover, u_m is the mean annual wind speed at the nearest weather station which is located at Fort Smith, Arkansas (NOAA 2010), u_t is the equivalent threshold wind speed at the anemometer height at which u_m was measured, and F(x) is a function dependent on u_m/u_t . The details of this model can be found in USEPA guidance (1996), and are not repeated here. The values for default parameters recommended in the 1996 USEPA guidance are used in conjunction with the wind speed for Fort Smith, Arkansas.

Emission and dispersion modeling were not used to estimate airborne dust concentrations for excavation activities, because such activities are generally required to ensure that dust does not exceed acceptable levels. Emission of respirable soil particulates during maintenance activities is conservatively set at the former annual average National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM₁₀ of 50 micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³). The PM₁₀ level of 50 ug/m³ is based on a time weighted average over an exposure frequency of 30 days per year assuming that maintenance workers spend 5 days per year excavating into the subsurface and 25 days per year conducting other activities that do not involve excavation into the subsurface. In the time-weighted average calculation, the 24-hour average NAAQS for PM₁₀ of 150 ug/m³ was used as the maximum PM₁₀ concentration for the time spent excavating into the subsurface and a PM₁₀ concentration during non-excavation activities is expected to be less than 1 ug/m³. It was conservatively assumed that the PM₁₀ concentration would be at these limits every day for the entire assumed periods of exposure.

3.3.5 Air Dispersion

Potential exposure of receptors to vapors and particulates in ambient air are estimated based on emission estimates presented above and using the empirical correlations presented in USEPA's Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites (USEPA 2002). The normalized air concentration (or air dispersion factor, C/Q) is estimated using the empirical equations presented in USEPA's *Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites* (USEPA 2002). The calculations are performed, assuming the source area is the area of the site (approximately 150 acres) for routine workers and residents, and a 15 ft by 15 ft excavation for maintenance and construction workers, and using correlation coefficients for Little Rock, Arkansas, which is the closest city for which correlation coefficients are available. Derivation of the equations and definition of the equation parameters for C/Q can be found in Appendix D of the 2002 USEPA Supplemental Soil Screening Guidance, and therefore, are not repeated here.

3.4 Exposure Factors

Standard default exposure factors recommended by USEPA for estimating reasonable maximum exposures are used where available and appropriate. Where standard default exposure factors are not available or not appropriate for an exposure scenario, the evaluation is conducted using similarly conservative exposure factors based on professional judgment. The exposure factors used in the derivation of the risk estimates are presented in **Attachment 1**.

4 Toxicity Values

According to USEPA (2003), the hierarchy of sources for toxicity values used in quantitative risk computations is:

- 1. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS);
- 2. Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTV); and
- 3. Other Toxicity Values (e.g., historical HEAST, NCEA provisional values and ATSDR).

When a toxicity value is not available from the first two tiers of the hierarchy, other USEPA and non-USEPA sources of toxicity values can be consulted. Route-to-route extrapolation of toxicity values was made following USEPA guidance (USEPA 2004b, 2009). The toxicity values and their sources used in the risk assessment are summarized in **Attachment 1**. For evaluating construction worker exposures, subchronic noncancer toxicity values are used instead of chronic values, since their exposure duration is one year. The toxicity values in **Attachment 1** are current as of October 2, 2012.

5 Physical and Chemical Parameters

The physical and chemical parameters used in the risk assessment are based on the hierarchy USEPA used in the Soil Screening Guidance (USEPA 1996). The values used in the risk assessment and their sources are presented in **Attachment 1**.

6 Risk Estimation

6.1 Cancer Risk and Noncancer Hazard Index

For the ingestion and dermal exposure routes, estimates of cancer risk and noncancer hazard quotient (HQ) are calculated as follows:

$$Risk = LADD \cdot SF$$
$$HQ = \frac{ADD}{RfD}$$

where LADD is the lifetime average daily dose, SF is the cancer slope factor, ADD is the average daily dose, and RfD is the reference dose.

For the inhalation route, the inhalation cancer risk and noncancer HQ are calculated using the chemical concentration in air (C_{air}), as follows:

$$Risk = C_{air} \cdot URF \cdot \frac{ET \cdot ED \cdot EF}{AT_c}$$
$$HQ = \frac{C_{air}}{RfC} \cdot \frac{ET \cdot ED \cdot EF}{AT_{nc}}$$

where URF is the inhalation unit risk, RfC is the reference concentration, ET is the exposure time, EF is the exposure frequency, ED is the exposure duration, AT_c is the averaging time for carcinogens, and AT_{nc} is the averaging time for non-carcinogens.

The potential cancer risk and noncancer effects that may result from exposure to a combination of chemicals is conservatively estimated according to USEPA guidance (1989), as follows:

Cumulative Risk =
$$\sum_{i} Risk_{i}$$

HI = $\sum_{i} HQ_{i}$

where HI is the hazard index. For chemicals with different and unrelated noncancer health effects, summing their HQs would overestimate the significance of their combined effects. Where such summation of HQs indicates a potential for unacceptable risk, the HQs may be segregated by target organ and/or critical health effects (USEPA 1989).

6.2 Estimating Risks to On-Site Routine Workers

6.2.1 Contact with Outdoor Soil

On-site routine workers could be exposed to outdoor surface soil via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during routine activities. Risk estimates are calculated using the approach discussed in Section 6.1. The receptor specific calculations are discussed below.

The LADDs for soil ingestion (LADD_{ing}) and soil dermal contact (LADD_{derm}) are calculated as follows, using the exposures factors for routine worker soil contact shown in **Attachment 1**:

$$LADD_{ing} = C_{soil} \cdot \frac{IR \cdot FC \cdot EF \cdot ED}{BW \cdot AT_{c}}$$
$$LADD_{derm} = C_{soil} \cdot \frac{SA \cdot AF \cdot ABS_{derm} \cdot FC \cdot EF \cdot ED}{BW \cdot AT_{c}}$$

where C_{soil} is the concentration of chemicals in soil, IR is the incidental soil ingestion rate, FC is the fraction of soil that is contaminated, BW is the body weight, SA is the exposed skin surface area, AF is the soil-to-skin adherence factor recommended by USEPA (2004b), and ABS_{derm} is the chemical-specific dermal absorption factor recommended by USEPA (2004b).

The ADDs for soil ingestion (ADD_{ing}) and soil dermal contact (ADD_{derm}) are calculated as follows:

$$ADD_{ing} = C_{soil} \cdot \frac{IR \cdot FC \cdot EF \cdot ED}{BW \cdot AT_{nc}}$$

$$ADD_{derm} = C_{soil} \cdot \frac{SA \cdot AF \cdot ABS_{derm} \cdot FC \cdot EF \cdot ED}{BW \cdot AT_{nc}}$$

For the inhalation route, the exposure concentration (EC) for cancer and noncancer risk estimates are calculated using the equations shown in Section 6.1.

The air concentrations (C_{air}) of vapor and particulates from soil are calculated as follows:

$$C_{air} = J \cdot C / Q$$

where the product $J \cdot C/Q$ is an air concentration that is normalized to unit concentration in soil. The J term is the normalized, time-average vapor or particulate flux (discussed in Sections 3.3.4 and 3.3.2, respectively). The C/Q term is a dispersion factor, as discussed in Section 3.3.5.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site soil, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for routine workers are 1×10^{-8} and 0.004, respectively, as shown in **Table 2**. These risk estimates for potential routine worker exposures do not exceed ADEQ's risk limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate these upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI.

6.2.2 Soil Volatilization into Indoor Air

The cancer risk and HQ estimates for vapor intrusion from soil are calculated for hypothetical exposure of routine workers via assumed vapor intrusion from soil using the modeling approach and input parameter values discussed in Section 3.3.1, as follows:

$$Risk = C_{building} \cdot URF \cdot \frac{ET \cdot EF \cdot ED}{AT_c}$$
$$HQ = \frac{C_{building}}{RfC} \cdot \frac{ET \cdot EF \cdot ED}{AT_{nc}}$$

As shown in **Table 2**, the routine worker's upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for soil vapor intrusion based on the maximum detected concentrations in soil among the sampled locations are 3×10^{-7} and 0.1, respectively, which do not exceed USEPA's cancer risk and HI limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate these upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 2**.

The significance of potential exposure via vapor intrusion is also assessed using occupational inhalation limits. As shown on **Table 2**, the sum of the ratios of the estimated indoor air concentrations via vapor intrusion from soil to the occupational indoor air standards is much lower than 1, which shows that vapor intrusion from soil does not result in unacceptable exposure for workplaces subject to these occupational inhalation limits.

6.2.3 Groundwater Volatilization into Indoor Air

The calculation of cancer risk and HQ estimates for exposure of routine workers via assumed vapor intrusion from groundwater is the same as the soil vapor intrusion calculations discussed above, except using source concentrations from on-site groundwater.

As shown in **Table 3**, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for on-site indoor routine worker's exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site groundwater via vapor intrusion are 1×10^{-5} and 3, respectively. The cumulative cancer risk estimate for routine worker exposure to on-site groundwater via inhalation of vapors in indoor air does not exceed ADEQ's risk limit. However, the noncancer HI estimate for routine worker exposure to on-site groundwater via above ADEQ's limit. The HI of 3 for potential vapor intrusion from groundwater is largely the result of a TCE concentration of 81 mg/L from a sample collected in 2010. The maximum detected concentration of TCE from on-site monitoring wells in 2012 is 29 mg/L, which corresponds to an HQ of 1.

The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate these upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 2**.

The significance of potential exposure via vapor intrusion is also assessed using occupational inhalation limits. As shown on **Table 3**, the sum of the ratios of the estimated indoor air concentrations via vapor intrusion from groundwater using the maximum detected on-site concentrations (calculated as described in Section 3.3.1) to the occupational indoor air standards is much lower than 1, which shows that vapor intrusion from soil does not result in unacceptable exposure for workplaces subject to these occupational inhalation limits.

6.2.4 Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air

On-site routine workers could inhale vapors from groundwater that migrate into outdoor air. The computation of risk and HI for vapor inhalation in outdoor air is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.2.1, except the J value is calculated as described in Section 3.3.3.

As shown in **Table 3**, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for outdoor routine worker's exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site groundwater via vapor inhalation are 4×10^{-7} and 0.1, respectively, which do not exceed ADEQ's risk limits.

The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 2**.

6.3 Estimating Risks to On-Site Maintenance Workers

6.3.1 Contact with Soil

On-site maintenance workers could contact unsaturated soil via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during occasional subsurface activities (e.g., utility maintenance or small scale excavations). The computation of risk and HI for these exposures is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.2.1, except exposure factors for maintenance workers are used and the airborne dust concentrations are estimated

as discussed in Section 3.3.4. The calculation of the risk and HI for each route of exposure is provided in **Attachment 4**.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site soil, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for maintenance workers are 1×10^{-9} and 0.001 (**Table 2**), respectively, which meet ADEQ's risk limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate these upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 3**.

6.3.2 Groundwater Contact

Maintenance workers could contact groundwater via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during occasional subsurface activities (e.g., utility maintenance or small scale excavations) that extend to the groundwater. The computation of risk and HI for these exposures is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.2.1 for routine worker exposures to soil, except the exposure factors are for maintenance workers and the dermal dose, C/Q, and normalized vapor flux are calculated as discussed below.

The LADD and ADD for groundwater dermal contact are calculated as follows:

$$LADD_{derm} = C_{gw} \cdot \frac{SA \cdot EF \cdot ED \cdot FC}{BW \cdot AT_{c}} \cdot DA$$

$$ADD_{derm} = C_{gw} \cdot \frac{SA \cdot EF \cdot ED \cdot FC}{BW \cdot AT_{m}} \cdot DA$$

where DA is the chemical-specific dermal absorption dose.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site groundwater, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for maintenance workers are 5x10⁻⁵ and 30, respectively. The cumulative cancer risk estimate for maintenance worker exposure to on-site groundwater does not exceed ADEQ's risk limits. The noncancer HI estimate for maintenance worker exposure to on-site groundwater is above ADEQ's limit. The HI of 30 for potential inhalation of vapors from exposed groundwater is largely the result of a TCE concentration of 81 mg/L from a sample collected in 2010. The maximum detected concentration of TCE from on-site monitoring wells in 2012 is 29 mg/L at MW-37, which corresponds to a HQ of 10. The detected concentrations of TCE in 2012 from on-site monitoring wells ITMW-17, ITMW-18, ITMW-19, and MW-25 also result in HQs above 1. All other detected concentrations of TCE in 2012 from on-site monitoring wells correspond to HQs less than 1, which meets ADEQ's risk limits.

The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 3**.

6.4 Estimating Risks to On-Site Construction Workers

6.4.1 Contact with Soil

Construction workers could contact unsaturated soil via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during construction activities (e.g., site redevelopment). The computation of risk and HI for these exposures is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.3.1, except the exposure factors for construction workers are used and subchronic noncancer toxicity values are used instead of chronic values. The calculation of the risk and HI for each route of exposure is provided in **Attachment 4**.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site soil, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for construction workers are $4x10^{-9}$ and 0.0009 (**Table 2**), respectively, which do not exceed ADEQ's risk limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate these upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 3**.

6.4.2 Groundwater Contact

Construction workers could contact groundwater via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during construction activities (e.g., site redevelopment) that extend to the groundwater. The computation of risk and HI for these exposures is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.3.2 for maintenance worker exposures to groundwater, except the exposure factors are for construction workers and subchronic noncancer toxicity values are used instead of chronic values.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site groundwater, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for construction workers are 5x10⁻⁶ and 6, respectively. The cumulative cancer risk estimate for construction worker exposure to on-site groundwater does not exceed ADEQ's risk limit. The noncancer HI estimate for construction worker exposure to on-site groundwater is above ADEQ's limit. The HI of 6 for potential inhalation of vapors from exposed groundwater is largely the result of a TCE concentration of 81 mg/L from a sample collected in 2010. The maximum detected concentration of TCE from on-site monitoring wells in 2012 is 29 mg/L at MW-37, which corresponds to an HQ of 2. The next highest detected concentration of TCE in 2012 from on-site monitoring wells is 18 mg/L, which corresponds to an HQ of 1, which does not exceed ADEQ's risk limits.

The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 3**.

6.5 Estimating Risks to Off-Site Residents

6.5.1 Inhalation of Soil-Derived Vapors and Particulates

Off-site residents could inhale wind-blown vapors and particulates from on-site unsaturated soil. The computation of risk and HI for these exposures is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.2.1 for unsaturated vadose zone soil, except the exposure factors for residents are used.

For carcinogens with a mutagenic mode of action, cancer risk for exposures from 0 to 2 years of age and from 2 to 16 years of age are multiplied by the USEPA-recommended age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs), as follows:

$$Inhalation \ Risk = C_{air} \cdot URF \cdot \left(\frac{ET \cdot ED_{0-2} \cdot EF}{AT_c} \cdot ADAF_{0-2} + \frac{ET \cdot ED_{2-16} \cdot EF}{AT_c} \cdot ADAF_{2-16} \right) + \frac{ET \cdot ED_{16-30} \cdot EF}{AT_c} + \frac{ET \cdot ED_{16-30} \cdot EF}{AT_c} \right)$$

where ED is the value for the identified age-group (**Attachment 1**); $ADAF_{0-2}$ is 10; and $ADAF_{2-16}$ is 3 (USEPA 2005). For trichloroethene (TCE) the ADAF is applied only to the portion of the toxicity value representative of kidney effects, as explained in USEPA's IRIS toxicological review (USEPA 2011) and applied in USEPA's Regional Screening Levels (USEPA 2012b).

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site soil via vapor and particulate inhalation off-site, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for residents are $6x10^{-8}$ and 0.01 (**Table 2**), respectively, which meet cancer risk and HI limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 3**.

6.5.2 Groundwater Volatilization into Indoor Air

Off-site residents could inhale vapors from groundwater that migrate into indoor air. The computation of risk and HI for vapor intrusion is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.2.3, except the C_{air} value is calculated as described in Section 3.3.1. The assumptions used and the calculation of the risk and HI are provided in **Attachment 3**.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in off-site groundwater via vapor intrusion, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for residents are $6x10^{-6}$ and 1 (**Table 3**), respectively, which do not exceed cancer risk and HI limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 5**.

6.5.3 Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air

Off-site residents could inhale vapors from groundwater that migrate into outdoor air. The computation of risk and HI for vapor inhalation in outdoor air is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.5.1, except the C_{air} value is calculated as described in Section 3.3.3.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in off-site groundwater via inhalation of vapor in outdoor air, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for residents are $5x10^{-8}$ and 0.01 (**Table 3**), respectively, which meet cancer risk and HI limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 5**.

6.5.4 Groundwater Use

Off-site residents could ingest or contact groundwater if drinking waterwells are installed in the area of impacted groundwater. These hypothetical future uses, which could include potable or nonpotable uses, were conservatively evaluated by comparing detected concentrations in groundwater to Federal MCLs, which are the permissible levels in public water. As shown on **Table 6**, the maximum detected concentrations of TCE and vinyl chloride in off-site monitoring wells exceed the Federal MCLs. As such, use of groundwater from the impacted off-site area, shown on **Figure 4** of the RRMP, could result in potentially significant exposures.

6.6 Estimating Risks to Off-Site Routine Workers

6.6.1 Inhalation of Soil-Derived Vapors and Particulates

Off-site routine workers residents could inhale wind-blown vapors and particulates from on-site unsaturated soil. The computation of risk and HI for these exposures is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.2.1 for unsaturated vadose zone soil.

For off-site exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in on-site soil, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for routine workers are 1×10^{-8} and 0.004, respectively, as shown in **Table 2**. These risk estimates for potential routine worker exposures do not exceed ADEQ's risk limits. The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate these upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI.

6.6.2 Groundwater Volatilization into Indoor Air

The cancer risk and HQ estimates for vapor intrusion from groundwater are calculated for exposure of routine workers via assumed vapor intrusion from groundwater as discussed above for on-site groundwater.

As shown in **Table 3**, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for off-site indoor routine worker exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in off-site groundwater via vapor intrusion are $2x10^{-7}$ and 0.06, respectively, which do not exceed ADEQ's cancer risk and HI limits.

The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate these upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 2**.

6.6.3 Groundwater Volatilization into Outdoor Air

Off-site routine workers could inhale vapors from groundwater that migrate into outdoor air. The computation of risk and HI for vapor inhalation in outdoor air is analogous to the computations discussed in Section 6.2.4, except the C_{air} value is calculated as described in Section 3.3.3.

As shown in **Table 3**, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for off-site outdoor routine worker exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in off-site groundwater via vapor inhalation are 7×10^{-9} and 0.002, respectively, which do not exceed ADEQ's cancer risk and HI limits.

The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 2**.

6.6.4 Groundwater Use

Off-site routine workers could ingest or contact groundwater if drinking waterwells are installed in the area of impacted groundwater. These hypothetical future uses would be similar to those evaluated in Section 6.5.4. As shown on **Table 6**, the maximum detected concentrations of TCE and vinyl chloride in off-site monitoring wells exceed the Federal MCLs. As such, use of groundwater from the impacted off-site area, shown on **Figure 4** of the RRMP, could result in potentially significant exposures.

6.7 Estimating Risks to Off-Site Maintenance Workers

6.7.1 Groundwater Contact

Maintenance workers could contact groundwater via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during occasional subsurface activities (e.g., utility maintenance or small scale excavations) that extend to the groundwater. The computation of risk and HI for these exposures is the same as those discussed in Section 6.3.2.

For exposure to the maximum detected concentrations in off-site groundwater, the upper-bound cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for maintenance workers are $9x10^{-7}$ and 0.5 (**Table 3**), respectively, which do not exceed ADEQ's risk limits.

The single-chemical cancer risk and noncancer HQ estimates, which were summed to estimate the media-specific cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI, are shown in **Attachment 3**.

6.8 Uncertainty Analysis

6.8.1 Exposure Concentrations

As discussed in Section 3.2.1, all exposure concentrations for soil in this risk assessment are based on the highest concentrations detected at the site; more representative exposure concentrations (i.e., 95% UCLs) are not calculated. This approach streamlines the risk assessment by avoiding calculation of 95% UCLs that would not materially affect risk assessment conclusions. The use of maximum concentrations for all chemicals introduces more conservatism than necessary for RME estimates because it assumes simultaneous worst-case exposure to these chemicals, when the RME generally would not reflect having all chemicals at worst-case concentrations at all times.

Most exposure concentrations that are based on mathematical modeling of chemical transfer from soil or groundwater to air are conservative for the same reasons discussed above, since the model estimates are based on the use of maximum concentrations in soil or groundwater. In addition, the model estimates are conservative because they generally do not account for the reduction of chemical concentrations in the soil or groundwater as chemicals transfer from these media. As a result, risk estimates that are based cross media transfer are more conservative than necessary for RME estimates.

6.8.2 Fate and Transport Models

The groundwater volatilization to indoor air risk estimates for residential exposure are calculated using a central tendency air exchange rate (0.45/hr) identified by USEPA (2012a) and high end inputs for both the groundwater concentration (maximum detected concentration from the last five years) and exposure factors (24 hours of exposure for 350 days/year for 30 years). The combination of these values is believed to give RME risk estimates. The cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for residents are 6x10⁻⁶ and 1, respectively, as discussed in Section 6.5.2 (Table 3). These risk estimates meet ADEQ and USEPA cancer risk and HI limits. Using the lower air exchange rate of 0.25/hr recommended as a default by USEPA (2004a) would increase the cumulative cancer risk and noncancer HI estimates for residents to 1x10⁻⁵ and 2, respectively, which is slightly higher than the HI limit of 1. However, using an air exchange rate that is lower than the central tendency value of 0.45/hr overestimates the exposure concentration (i.e., the indoor air concentration), which according to USEPA risk assessment guidance is supposed to be an estimate of the average concentration to which receptors are exposed (USEPA 1989). Using the lower air exchange rate is particularly unwarranted because it is combined with the highest detected groundwater concentration over the past five years (which is higher than the most recent data and expected future groundwater concentrations). By overestimating the exposure concentration via a combination of a lower than average air exchange rate and higher than average groundwater concentration, the resulting risk estimates are believed to overestimate RME risks, and as such, are not appropriate for determining whether an unacceptable risk exists.

Further, as discussed in Section 3.2.3, soil gas data were collected to confirm that the results of the volatilization to indoor air calculations, discussed in Section 3.3.1. Specifically, data were collected at two locations that are between 30 and 80 feet from nearby groundwater monitoring wells, as shown on Figure 2, and from two different depth intervals at each location. Specifically, soil gas sampling locations SV-01 and SV-02 were located near on-site monitoring well MW-33, which had the highest detected concentration of TCE at the property boundary, and soil gas sampling locations SV-03 and SV-04 were located near off-site monitoring well MW-70, which had the highest detected concentration of TCE off-site where access was readily attainable. The measured concentration of TCE in shallow soil gas collected 7 ft bgs (the sample depth closest to building foundation depths), was conservatively combined with USEPA's 95th percentile subslab soil gas attenuation factor of 0.03 (USEPA 2012a) to estimate the potential for significant vapor intrusion into indoor air. As shown of **Table 4**, the TCE concentrations in shallow soil gas would result in acceptable risk estimates for indoor exposure. These risk estimates are believed to be more conservative than necessary because attenuation in the approximately 7 ft of silty clay soil between the soil gas sample depth and subslab depth was ignored. Accounting for such attenuation, which may be substantial (i.e., a factor of 10 or more based on observed attenuation between the deep and shallow soil gas concentrations), would result in even lower risk estimates than those shown in Table 4.

The cancer risk and HQ from groundwater vapor intrusion into a residential building calculated using the most recent concentration of TCE in groundwater at MW-70 (0.33 mg/L) and the approach described in Section 6.5.2 is 1×10^{-6} and 0.3, respectively. The single-chemical risk and HQ from soil gas vapor intrusion into a residential building calculated using the measured shallow soil gas concentration at SV-03 and USEPA's default subslab to indoor air attenuation

factor of 0.03 are 2 x 10⁻⁷ and 0.04, respectively. As shown in **Table 4**, the conservatively calculated risks from shallow soil gas are at least 6 times lower than the risks estimated by the groundwater vapor intrusion model. Therefore, the approach described in Section 6.5.2 results in risk estimates that are overly conservative. The degree of model overestimation is particularly large when considering the fact that the risk estimates based on the shallow soil gas data shown in **Table 4** may be 10 times too high because they ignore the soil gas attenuation between the shallow soil gas sample and the slab of the building, as discussed above. As shown in **Table 4**, the same evaluation was performed for on-site locations MW-33 and SV-01, which conservatively assumed groundwater and soil gas concentrations at these locations were to migrate off-site with no attenuation. The results of this evaluation are similar to those discussed above, except the overestimation of residential vapor intrusion risk by the groundwater vapor intrusion model relative to the estimates based on soil gas is even greater.

As discussed in Section 1.1.2 of the Revised Risk Management Plan, TCE is the predominant contaminant at the Site and was detected in groundwater at significantly greater concentrations and frequency than tetrachloroethene (PCE). However, PCE was reported in the deep soil gas sample collected on-site at SV-02. The measured concentration of PCE in deep soil gas collected 15 ft bgs, was conservatively combined with USEPA's 95th percentile subslab soil gas attenuation factor of 0.03 (USEPA 2012a) to estimate the potential for significant vapor intrusion into residential indoor air. As shown on **Table 5**, the PCE concentrations in deep soil gas would result in acceptable risk estimates for residential indoor exposure. These risk estimates are believed to be more conservative than necessary because attenuation in the approximately 15 ft of silty clay soil between the soil gas sample depth and subslab depth was ignored. Accounting for such attenuation, which may be substantial (i.e., a factor of 100 or more based on observed attenuation for TCE), would result in even lower risk estimates than those shown in **Table 5**. These risk estimates are consistent with the groundwater volatilization to indoor air risk estimates that show PCE risks are insignificant compared to those calculated for TCE.

These results indicate that the model used to evaluate the potential for significant vapor intrusion from groundwater is conservative. Measured soil gas concentrations indicate that the modeling approach in Section 6.5.2 over-predicts exposure risks from groundwater.

6.8.3 Exposure Factors

Most of the exposures in the risk assessment were evaluated using factors, presented in **Attachment 1**, that are high-end (i.e., 90th to 95th percentile) estimates of the magnitude, frequency, and duration of potential exposures. When several such high-end factors are multiplied, the resulting estimates of dose will be higher than the 90th percentile of the distribution of exposures in the potentially exposed population and could be higher than the exposure to the maximally exposed individual, particularly when such exposure factors are combined with exposure concentrations that are based on maximum concentrations.

6.8.4 Toxicity Values

RfDs and RfCs used in the risk assessment typically incorporate several safety factors² to account for uncertainties in their derivation, which in combination often result in overall uncertainty factors of 1,000 or more. Furthermore, for many chemicals, there is scientific debate about the validity of these RfDs and RfCs, and the association of these doses and concentrations to potential adverse health consequences (USEPA 1995, USEPA 1989). The use of such RfDs and RfCs in the risk evaluation could introduce uncertainty, including overstating, the resultant estimate of noncancer effects.

Oral SFs and URFs used in the risk assessment represent 95% upper confidence bounds on the probability of getting cancer over a lifetime per unit dose. As recognized by USEPA, there is significant scientific evidence that some of the SFs and URFs may be overly conservative and may ignore the potential existence of threshold doses (USEPA 2005a). The use of such SFs and URFs in the risk evaluation could introduce uncertainty, including overstating, the resultant cancer risk estimates.

The dermal toxicity values used in the risk assessment are oral toxicity values that were extrapolated to the dermal route without chemical-specific judgment regarding whether such extrapolation might be appropriate for a particular chemical. This is an appropriately conservative approach, which is also the current state of the practice, to ensure that potential risk via the dermal route is not overlooked (USEPA 2004b). However, some chemicals might exhibit different degrees of toxicity for the dermal route relative to the oral route. For such chemicals, the extrapolation approach used in the risk evaluation could introduce uncertainty to the resultant risk estimates.

The use of surrogate toxicity values was applied conservatively to ensure that potential risk to these detected chemicals is not overlooked. However, some chemicals might exhibit different degrees of toxicity than their surrogate chemicals. For such chemicals, the extrapolation approach used in the risk evaluation could introduce uncertainty to the resultant risk estimates.

6.8.5 Risk Characterization

The summation of cancer risks and HQs for multiple chemicals is based on USEPA guidance (1989) to assume dose additivity, which means that chemicals in a mixture are assumed to have no synergistic or antagonistic interactions and each chemical has the same mode of action and elicits the same health effects. In general, this approach can introduce uncertainty. However, the majority of the cumulative cancer risk and HI estimates in this risk assessment are dominated by contributions from no more than a few chemicals, so that the cumulative risk estimates are nearly the same as those for the few key chemicals.

² According to USEPA, safety factors between 3 and 10,000 may be included depending on the source studies (USEPA. *Reference Dose (RfD): Description and Use in Health Risk Assessments, Background Document 1A* March 15, 1993).

7 Summary and Conclusions

The risk assessment evaluated the significance of potential exposure for the following receptors and potential pathways identified for current and potential future land use and groundwater use described in **Table 1**:

- On-Site Routine Workers
- Soil incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during outdoor activities; inhalation of vapors in indoor air
- Groundwater inhalation of vapors in outdoor air; inhalation of vapors in indoor air
- On-Site Maintenance Workers
 - Soil incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during smaller-scale surface and subsurface maintenance activities
 - Groundwater incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during smaller-scale subsurface maintenance activities that encounter groundwater
- On-Site Construction Workers
 - Soil incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors and particulates during larger-scale/short-term (i.e., 1 year) construction activities
 - Groundwater incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during largerscale/short-term (i.e., 1 year) subsurface construction activities that encounter groundwater
- Off-Site Residents
 - Soil –inhalation of wind-blown vapors and particulates from on-site soil
 - Groundwater inhalation of vapors in outdoor air; inhalation of vapors in indoor air; and
 ingestion or contact if water use wells are installed in the area of impacted groundwater
- Off-Site Routine Workers
- Soil inhalation of vapors and particulates from on-site soil during off-site outdoor activities
- Groundwater inhalation of vapors in outdoor air; inhalation of vapors in indoor air; and ingestion or contact if water use wells are installed in the area of impacted groundwater
- Off-Site Maintenance Workers
 - Groundwater incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors during smaller-scale/shorter duration subsurface maintenance activities that encounter groundwater

The significance of potential exposure to chemicals in soil, groundwater, and soil gas was evaluated for each of these exposures. As discussed in Sections 6.2 to 6.5, the risk estimates using maximum detected concentrations for all chemicals meet cancer risk and noncancer HI levels of 1×10^{-4} and 1, respectively, for exposure to on-site soil and off-site groundwater under current land and groundwater uses. Under current on-site land and groundwater uses, the risk

estimates using maximum detected concentrations for all chemicals in on-site groundwater meet USEPA's cancer risk level of 1×10^{-4} and exceed USEPA's noncancer HI level of 1 for maintenance worker and construction worker contact and routine worker vapor intrusion. In the hypothetical scenario in which drinking water wells are installed in the area of impacted off-site groundwater, potentially significant exposures could result from use of the groundwater.

8 References

- American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH). 2005. 2005 TLVs and BEIs, Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents, Biological Exposure Indices. ISBN: 1-882417-40-2.
- Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). 2005. Ground Water Remediation Level Interim Policy and Technical Guidance. Memorandum from Marcus Devine, Director, to Ellen Carpenter, Legal Division Chief. July 12.
- ENVIRON International Corporation (ENVIRON). 2012. Revised Risk Management Plan, Ft. Smith, Arkansas. November.
- Johnson, P. C., and R. A. Ettinger. 1991. Heuristic model for predicting the intrusion rate of contaminant vapors into buildings. Environ. Sci. Technol. 25(8):1445-1452.
- Jury, W.A, W.F. Spencer and W.J. Farmer. 1983. Behavior Assessment Model for Trace Organics in Soil: I. Model Description. J. Environ. Qual. 12(4):448-64.
- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ). 1998. Environmental Response Division. Part 201, Generic Groundwater and Soil Volatilization to Indoor Air Inhalation Criteria: Technical Support Document. August 31.
- Occupational Safety and Health Standards Toxic and Hazardous Substances, Title 29 Code of Federal Regulations, Pt. 1910 Subpart Z. 2007 ed.
- Stanek, Edward J. III et al. 1997. Soil Ingestion in Adults—Results of a Second Pilot Study. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. Volume 36. Pages 249-257. April.
- Song, S., Ramacciotti, F., Schnorr, B., Bock, M., Stubbs, C. 2011. "Evaluation of EPA's Empirical Attenuation Factors Database." EM, Air & Waste Management Association.
 February; 16-21.United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1989. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume I, Human Health Evaluation Manual. Washington, DC. EPA/540-1-89-002. OSWER Directive 9285.7 01a. December.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1989. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Vol. I, Human Health Evaluation Manual. Washington, D.C. EPA/540-1-89-002. OSWER Directive 9285.7-01a. December.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1995. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. Guidelines for predictive baseline emissions estimation procedures for Superfund Sites, ASF-21. EPA-451/R-96-001. November.

- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1996. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER). Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document, 2nd Ed. EPA/540/R95/128. May.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2002. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites. Washington, DC. OSWER Directive 9355.4-24. December.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2003. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER). Human Health Toxicity Values in Superfund Risk Assessments. OSWER Directive 92857.7-53. December.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2004a. User's Guide for Evaluating Subsurface Vapor Intrusion into Buildings. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington D.C., February.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 2004b. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment). EPA/540/R/99/005. September.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2005. Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens. USEPA/630/R 03/003F. March.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2009. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part F, Supplemental Guidance for Inhalation Risk Assessment). USEPA/540/R/070/002. January.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2011. Toxicological Review of Trichloroethylene. September.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2012a. EPA's Vapor Intrusion Database: Evaluation and Characterization of Attenuation Factors for Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds and Residential Buildings. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington D.C. EPA 530-R-10-002. March.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2012b. Regional Screening Levels. May.

Figures



Li\Loop Project Files\00_CAD FILES\21\Whintpool Risk Mgmt Plan & Remedy 21-31344A\01_Site Location Map.dwg
L:\Loop Project Files\00_CAD FILES\21\Whirlpool Risk Mgmt Plan & Remedy 21-31344A\02_Sample Location Map.dwg



Tables

		Table 1: Conceptual Site Model - Scenar	ios for Pot	ential Huma	an Exposure
		Whirlpool: Fort Smith Facility	. Fort Smit	th. Arkansa	S
	_		Potential	Potential	
Receptor	Exposure	Exposure	Current	Future	Comments
Population	Medium	Route	Exposure?	Exposure?	
	ļ	On-Sit	e		<u>+</u>
Routine Workers	surface soil	incidental indestion of and dermal contact with surface soil	Yes	Yes	Exposure to surface soil through incidental ingestion and dermal contact is possible in
		inhalation of soil-derived vapors and airborne particulates (wind erosion) in	Yes	Yes	areas without ground cover or where ground cover may be removed. Exposure
		outdoor air			through inhalation of vapors from constituents that could volatilize and migrate into
		inhalation of soil-derived vapors that migrate through building foundations into indoor air	Yes	Yes	indoor or outdoor air is also possible.
	subsurface soil	inhalation of soil-derived vapors in outdoor air	Yes	Yes	
		inhalation of soil-derived vapors that migrate through building foundations into indoor air	Yes	Yes	
	groundwater	ingestion of and dermal contact with groundwater and inhalation of groundwater	- No	No	Potable water in Ft. Smith is obtained from the municipality. There are no production
	3	derived vapors during use of groundwater for drinking water		-	wells currently on Site. Potential exposure of routine workers to groundwater-derived
		incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with groundwater and inhalation of	No	No	vapors that migrate through cracks in building foundations into indoor air is possible in
		groundwater-derived vapors during use of groundwater for purposes other than drinking water			areas where contaminated groundwater is present.
		inhalation of groundwater-derived vapors in outdoor air	Yes	Yes	4
		inhalation of groundwater-derived vapors that migrate through building foundations into indoor air	Yes	Yes	
Maintenance	surface and	incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with soil; inhalation of soil-derived	Yes	Yes	Exposure to soil and groundwater during occasional excavations to the depth of
Workers	subsurface soil	vapors and airborne particulates in work-space air			existing or planned utilities is possible. The average depth to water at the Site is
	groundwater	incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with exposed groundwater; inhalation	Yes	Yes	approximately 12 feet bgs.
	-	of vapors from exposed groundwater in work-space air			
Construction	surface and	incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with soil; inhalation of soil-derived	Yes	Yes	Exposure to soil and groundwater is possible where redevelopment of the site could
Workers	subsurface soil	vapors and airborne particulates in work-space air			occur.
	groundwater	incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with exposed groundwater; inhalation of vapors from exposed groundwater in work-space air	Yes	Yes	
Residents	various	various	No	No	The Site is zoned for commercial/industrial uses.
		Off-Sit	e		
Residents	surface and	inhalation of soil-derived vapors and airborne particulates (wind erosion) in	Yes	Yes	Off-Site exposure via inhalation of airborne vapors and dust from exposed on-Site soil
	subsurface soil	outdoor air			is possible.
	groundwater	ingestion of and dermal contact with groundwater and inhalation of groundwater	No	No	Potable water in Fort Smith is obtained from the municipality.
	°	derived vapors during use of groundwater for drinking water			
		incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with groundwater and inhalation of	No	No	
		groundwater-derived vapors during use of groundwater for purposes other than			
		drinking water			
		inhalation of groundwater-derived vapors in outdoor air	Yes	Yes	Exposure via inhalation of vapors that could volatilize and migrate into outdoor or
		inhalation of groundwater-derived vapors that migrate through building foundations into indoor air	Yes	Yes	indoor air is possible where contaminated groundwater has migrated off-Site.
Routine Workers	surface and	inhalation of soil-derived vapors and airborne particulates (wind erosion) in	Yes	Yes	Off-Site exposure via inhalation of airborne vapors and dust from exposed on-Site soil
	subsurface soil	outdoor air			is possible.
	groundwater	ingestion of and dermal contact with groundwater and inhalation of groundwater	No	No	Potable water in Fort Smith is obtained from the municipality.
		derived vapors during use of groundwater for drinking water			
		incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with groundwater and inhalation of	No	No	
		groundwater-derived vapors during use of groundwater for purposes other than			
		drinking water			
		inhalation of groundwater-derived vapors in outdoor air	Yes	Yes	Exposure via inhalation of vapors that could volatilize and migrate into outdoor or
		inhalation of groundwater-derived vapors that migrate through building	Yes	Yes	indoor air is possible where contaminated groundwater has migrated off-Site.
		foundations into indoor air			
Maintenance	groundwater	incidental ingestion of and dermal contact with exposed groundwater; inhalation	Yes	Yes	Exposure via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of vapors is possible
Workers		of vapors from exposed groundwater in work-space air			in excavations extending to groundwater in areas where contaminated groundwater
					has migrated off-site.

Table 2: U	Jpper-Bound Cumulative Risk Estii Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arka	mates for On Insas	-Site Soil	
Receptor	Exposure Type	Risk	HI	Occupational
On-Site Routine Worker	Direct contact	1E-08	4E-03	NA
On-Site Routine Worker	Vapor intrusion to indoor air	3E-07	1E-01	2E-06
On-Site Maintenance Worker	Direct contact	1E-09	1E-03	NA
On-Site Construction Worker	Direct contact	4E-09	9E-04	NA
Off-Site Resident	Vapor and particulate inhalation	6E-08	1E-02	NA
Off-Site Routine Worker	Vapor and particulate inhalation	1E-08	4E-03	NA
Notes:				
1. None of the cumulative can	cer risk and HI estimates are in exces	ss of ADEQ's	risk limits (1E-4 and 1,
respectively).				
Cumulative cancer risk and	HI estimates are calculated using the	e maximum de	etected con	centrations from
onsite locations from any dept	h.			
3. NA = Not applicable. Occup	pational air standards are only applica	able for routine	e worker va	por intrusion
exposures.				

Page: 1 of 1

	Table 3: Upper-Bound Cumula Whirlpool, Fo	tive Risk Esti ort Smith, Ark	mates for ansas	Groundwater		
			On-Si	te	Off	-Site
Receptor	Exposure Type	Risk	HI	Occupational	Risk	HI
Routine Worker	Vapor intrusion to indoor air	1E-05	3E+00	8E-04	2E-07	6E-02
Routine Worker	Vapor inhalation in outdoor air	4E-07	1E-01	NA ⁴	7E-09	2E-03
Maintenance Worker	Direct contact	5E-05	3E+01	NA ⁴	9E-07	5E-01
Construction Worker	Direct contact	5E-06	6E+00	NA ⁴	NA^4	NA ⁴
Resident	Vapor intrusion to indoor air	NA ³	NA ³	NA ⁴	6E-06	1E+00
Resident	Vapor inhalation in outdoor air	NA ³	NA ³	NA ⁴	5E-08	1E-02
Notes:						
1. Cumulative cancer	risk and HI estimates in excess of AD	EQ's risk limit	s (1E-4 and	1, respectively) a	re shaded i	n bold.
2. Cumulative cancer	risk and HI estimates are calculated u	ising the maxi	mum detec	ted concentrations	from all we	ells and
sample dates.						
3. NA = Not applicable	e. Receptor is not reasonably expecte	d to be preser	nt in this are	ea.		
4. NA = Not applicable	e. Occupational air standards are only	applicable for	r routine wo	orker vapor intrusio	n exposure	s.

			Table 4:	Evaluation	of TCE in Soi	l Gas									
			Whir	pool, Fort	Smith, Arkans	as									
		Soil Gas				Groundwat	er								
Sample Location	Sample Depth (ft)	TCE Concentration (mg/m ³)	Risk (2)	HQ (2)	Nearby Monitoring Well	Most Recent TCE Concentration (mg/L)	Risk (3)	HQ (3)							
SV01	SV01 7 ND (4.1E-5) 3E-09 6E-04 MW-33 1.00E+00 4E-06 8E-01 SV02 15 2.27E-01 4 -4 -4 -4 -4														
SV02	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $														
SV03	7	2.90E-03	2E-07	4E-02	MW/-70	3 30E-01	1E-06	3E-01							
SV04	12	3.98E-02	4	 ⁴	10100-70	3.30E-01	4	4							
Notes:															
1. ND = No	on-detect.														
2. Residen	itial risk and	HQ are calculate	d using USEF	PA's default at	tenuation factor fo	r subslab gas to indoor	r air of 0.03.								
3. Residen	itial risk and	HQ are calculate	d using the a	pproach descr	ibed in Section 6.	5.2 of the report.									
4. Risk and	d HQ estima	ates are calculated	d only for con	centrations of	TCE in shallow so	il gas.									

Ta	able 5: Ev Whirlpo	valuation of PO ol, Fort Smith	CE in Soil (, Arkansas	Gas
Sample Location	Sample Depth (ft)	PCE Concentration (mg/m ³)	Risk (1)	HQ (1)
SV02	15	1.1E-01	3E-07	8E-02
Note:				
1. Resider attenuation	tial risk and factor for s	HQ are calculate	d using USEI	PA's default

		Та	ble 6: Grou Whirlpoo	ndwat ol, For	er Scr t Smit	eenin h, Ark	g Sun ansas	nmary			
Area	Chem	Chamical	CASEN	Meas	Carc	nalyzed	etected	Min Detected	Max Detected	MCL FED	Ratio of Maximum Concentration
On-Site	VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	T		4 10/	17	2 70E-03	(IIIg/L)	(IIIg/L)	
On-Site	VOC	Ronzono	71 /2 2	T		194	5	2.70L-03	6.53E-01	5 0E 02	1 25,01
On-Site	VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	T	R2	194	3	5.42E-02	6.79E-02	3.0E-03	8.5E-01
On-Site	VOC	Bromoform	75-27-4	T	B2	194	3	4 43E-02	7.67E-02	8.0E-02	9.6E-01
On-Site	VOC	Bromomethane	76 25 2	T	ID	194	3	4 74E-02	6.13E-02	0.02 02	5.0E 01
On-Site	VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	T		194	3	9.87E-02	1.06E-01		
On-Site	VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	T		194	3	3.59E-02	6.52E-02		
On-Site	VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	Ť	LC	194	3	5.89E-02	6.69E-02	5.0E-03	1.3E+01
On-Site	VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	T	D	194	7	1 20E-03	6.86E-02	1.0E-01	6.9E-01
On-Site	VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	Ť	IC	194	3	4 80E-02	7 23E-02	1.02 01	0.02 01
On-Site	VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	T	=0 B2	194	33	9 40 E-04	6.64E-02	8 0E-02	8.3E-01
On-Site	VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	Ť	D	194	3	4 21E-02	9.00E-02	0.02 02	0.02 01
On-Site	VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	T	C	194	3	4 82E-02	7 13E-02	8 0E-02	8.9E-01
On-Site	VOC	1.1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	Ť	SC	194	36	1.60E-03	6.59E-02	0.02 02	0.02 01
On-Site	VOC	1.2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	T	B2	194	4	1.20E-03	6.61E-02	5.0E-03	1.3E+01
On-Site	VOC	1 1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	Т	C	194	76	2 20E-03	2 50E-01	7 0E-03	3.6E+01
On-Site	VOC	1.2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	T	-	194	130	1.40E-03	1.00E+01	7.0E-02	1.4E+02
On-Site	VOC	cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	T	ID	194	132	1.40E-03	1.00E+01	7.0E-02	1.4E+02
On-Site	VOC	trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	Т	ID	194	27	8.90E-04	5.99E-02	1.0E-01	6.0E-01
On-Site	VOC	1.2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	T	B2	194	3	6.03E-02	6.52E-02	5.0E-03	1.3E+01
On-Site	VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	Т	B2	194	3	1.05E-01	1.42E-01		
On-Site	VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	Т	D	194	8	1.50E-03	6.89E-02	7.0E-01	9.8E-02
On-Site	VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	Т	ID	194	6	4.80E-03	1.20E-01		
On-Site	VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	Т	ID	194	3	1.02E-01	1.20E-01		
On-Site	VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	Т	LC	194	27	2.20E-03	3.10E-01	5.0E-03	6.2E+01
On-Site	VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	Т		194	4	5.70E-04	6.83E-02	1.0E-01	6.8E-01
On-Site	VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	Т	LC	194	3	5.78E-02	6.40E-02		
On-Site	VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	Т	LC	194	45	1.20E-03	7.67E-02	5.0E-03	1.5E+01
On-Site	VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	Т	ID	194	17	1.10E-03	6.54E-02	1.0E+00	6.5E-02
On-Site	VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	Т	ID	194	11	5.70E-03	1.10E-01	2.0E-01	5.5E-01
On-Site	VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	Т	С	194	12	1.20E-03	6.59E-02	5.0E-03	1.3E+01
On-Site	VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	Т	HC	194	153	1.60E-03	8.10E+01	5.0E-03	1.6E+04
On-Site	VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	Т	A	194	45	1.00E-03	2.50E+00	2.0E-03	1.3E+03
On-Site	VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	Т	ID	194	8	2.70E-03	1.99E-01	1.0E+01	2.0E-02
Off-Site	VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	Т	ID	253	17	4.00E-03	8.50E-02		
Off-Site	VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	Т	B2	253	4	4.40E-03	2.40E-02	8.0E-02	3.0E-01
Off-Site	VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	Т	ID	253	1	9.50E-02	9.50E-02		
Off-Site	VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	Т	B2	252	1	3.00E-03	3.00E-03	5.0E-03	6.0E-01
Off-Site	VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	Т	С	252	22	1.00E-03	4.20E-03	7.0E-03	6.0E-01
Off-Site	VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	Т		253	111	1.40E-03	4.10E-02	7.0E-02	5.9E-01
Off-Site	VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	T	ID	253	113	1.40E-03	4.10E-02	7.0E-02	5.9E-01
Off-Site	VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	T	ID	253	1	4.00E-03	4.00E-03		
Off-Site	VOC	I richloroethene	79-01-6		HC	253	166	1.60E-03	1.60E+00	5.0E-03	3.2E+02
Off-Site	VOC	Vinyi Chloride	75-01-4	I	A	253	4	1.10E-03	3.00E-03	2.0E-03	1.5E+00
NOTES:	oludos dat	o from all manitaring walls	plad aires 200		ho rost	Evee					
Only constitu	unte dete		ipieu sirice 200	o (i.e., i	ne past	5 years	<i>.</i>				
The criterie		bloroothono (total) are the arite	ria provided by	the er	anov for	cic 1 0	Dichler	oothono			
The concent	rations for	the 1.3-Dichloropropone icom	na provided Dy			uis-1,2-		oeuriene.	ritoria for 1.2	Dichloropror	ene (total)
The concent	trations for	the Xylene isomers (m/p and c		na, wer		aring to	the crite	paring to the t			
Ratios of con	ncentration	to the criteria greater than 1 of	n were summe		e compa I	anny io			5 (iuiai).	-	
Chem Groun	- chemic	al droup		oiu.							
Meas Basis	- measure	d basis: T = total D = dissolve	h								
Carc Class	USEPA V	Veight-of-Evidence Cancer Cla	ssification								
2410 01455		. sight of Establice Galloef Old	Semourion	1	1				1	1	1

Attachment 1

Risk Calculation Inputs

Contents:

- Toxicity Values
- Physical and Chemical Properties
- Occupational Inhalation Limits
- High-End Exposure Factors
- Detected Source Concentrations

	Attachment 1: Toxicity Values Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas																																							
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Car Classi	ncer fication		AD	DAF	SF _{ora}	ı (mg/kg	/d) ⁻¹ SI	_{dermal} (m	g/kg/d) ⁻¹		URF ((mg/m ³)	-1		RfD _{oral}	(mg/kg/d))		RfD _{dermal}	(mg/kg/d)		RfC (mg/m ³)			SRfD _{oral}	(mg/kg/d))	s	SRfD _{derma}	(mg/kg/d)		SRf	; (mg/m ³)	
			Group	Ref	Y/N	fi	f _{ing} f _{inh} Val	lue	Ref	Notes Value	Ref	Note	s Val	ie I	Ref	Notes	Value	UF	Ref	Notes	Value	UF	Ref	Notes	Value	UF	Ref	Notes	Value	UF	Ref	Notes	Value	UF	Ref	Notes	Value	UF	Ref	Notes
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1	Ν												9.0E-01	1,000	1		9.0E-01	1,000	125	104	3.1E+0	1 100	129	111	2.0E+00	100	129	111	2.0E+00	100	125	104	3.1E+01	100	129	111
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A	1	Ν		5.5E	-02	1	68 5.5E-0	2 125	104	7.8E	03	1	60	4.0E-03	300	1		4.0E-03	300	125	104	3.0E-0	2 300	1		1.0E-02	100	126		1.0E-02	100	125	104	9.0E-02	100	1	110
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	1	N		6.2E	E-02	1	6.2E-0	2 125	104					2.0E-02	1,000	1		2.0E-02	1,000	125	104			126	90	2.0E-02	1,000	1	62	2.0E-02	1000	125	104	2.0E-02	300	126	
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	1	Ν		7.9E	-03	1	7.9E-0	3 125	104	1.1E	03	1		2.0E-02	1,000	1		2.0E-02	1,000	125	104			126	90	3.0E-02	100	126		3.0E-02	100	125	104			126	90
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	126	N												1.4E-03	1,000	1		1.4E-03	1,000	125	104	5.0E-0	3 100	1		5.0E-03	300	126		5.0E-03	300	125	104	1.0E-01	30	126	
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1	N												6.0E-01	1,000	1		6.0E-01	1,000	125	104	5.0E+0	0 300	1		2.0E+00	1,000	2		2.0E+00	1000	125	104	5.0E+00	300	1	62
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0			N												1.0E-01	100	1		1.0E-01	100	125	104	7.0E-0	1 30	1		1.0E-01	100	2	2	1.0E-01	100	125	104	7.0E-01	30	2	2
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	1	N		7.0E	E-02	1	7.0E-0	2 125	104	6.0E	03	1		4.0E-03	1,000	1		4.0E-03	1,000	125	104	1.0E-0	1 100	1		1.0E-02	300	1	110	1.0E-02	300	125	104	1.9E-01	30	129	111
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	1	N												2.0E-02	1,000	1		2.0E-02	1,000	125	104	5.0E-0	2 1,000	126		7.0E-02	300	126		7.0E-02	300	125	104	5.0E-01	100	126	
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	126	N				126	90	125	104			126	90	1.0E-01	3,000	126	116	1.0E-01	3,000	125	104	1.0E+0	1 300	1		1.0E-01	3,000	126		1.0E-01	3000	125	104	1.0E+01	300	1	62
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	1	N		1.9E	E-02	135	1.9E-0	2 125	104	2.3E	·02	1		1.0E-02	1,000	1		1.0E-02	1,000	125	104	5.0E-0	2 100	117		1.0E-01	100	129	111	1.0E-01	100	125	104	5.0E-02	100	117	
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	1	N																				9.0E-0	2 1,000	1										4.1E-01	300	129	111
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С	1	N		8.4E	E-02	1	8.4E-0	2 125	104					2.0E-02	1,000	1		2.0E-02	1,000	125	104			126	90	7.0E-02	300	126		7.0E-02	300	125	104			126	90
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	126	N				126	90	125	104			126	90	2.0E-01	3,000	126		2.0E-01	3,000	125	104	5.0E-0	1 1,000	2	3	2.0E+00	300	126		2.0E+00	300	125	104	5.0E+00	100	2	3
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	1	N		9.1E	E-02	1	9.1E-0	2 125	104	2.6E	·02	1		6.0E-03	10,000	126	114	6.0E-03	10,000	125	104	7.0E-0	3 3,000	126		2.0E-02	3,000	126		2.0E-02	3000	125	104	7.0E-02	300	126	
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	1	N												5.0E-02	100	1		5.0E-02	100	125	104	2.0E-0	1 30	1		5.0E-02	100	1	62	5.0E-02	100	125	104	2.0E-01	30	1	62
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0			N												2.0E-03	3,000	1	133	2.0E-03	3,000	125	104			1	90, 133	3 2.0E-02	300	1	110, 133	3 2.0E-02	300	125	104			126	90, 133
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1	N												2.0E-03	3,000	1		2.0E-03	3,000	125	104			1	90	2.0E-02	300	1	110	2.0E-02	300	125	104			126	90
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID	1	N												2.0E-02	3,000	1		2.0E-02	3,000	125	104			1	90	2.0E-01	300	1	110	2.0E-01	300	125	104			1	90
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2	2	N		3.6E	E-02	139	3.6E-0	2 125	104					9.0E-02	1,000	129	111	9.0E-02	1,000	125	104	4.0E-0	3 300	1		7.0E-02	1,000	129	111	7.0E-02	1000	125	104	1.3E-02	100	1	110
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1	N		1.0E	E-01	1	77 1.0E-0	1 125	104	4.0E	03	1		3.0E-02	100	1		3.0E-02	100	125	104	2.0E-0	2 30	1		4.0E-02	100	129	111	4.0E-02	100	125	104	3.6E-02	30	129	111
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D	1	N												1.0E-01	1,000	1		1.0E-01	1,000	125	104	1.0E+0	0 300	1		1.0E-01	1,000	1	62	1.0E-01	1000	125	104	9.0E+00	100	126	
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1	N												5.0E-03	1,000	1		5.0E-03	1,000	125	104	3.0E-0	2 3,000	1		5.0E-03	1,000	1	62	5.0E-03	1000	125	104	3.0E-01	300	1	110
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	1	N														1	90			125	104	3.0E+0	0 300	1				1	90, 62			125	104	3.0E+00	300	1	62
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	1	Y		1 1 2.0E	E-03	1	159 2.0E-0	3 125	104	1.0E	05	1	159	6.0E-03	30	1		6.0E-03	30	125	104	6.0E-0	1 30	1		6.0E-02	100	2	2	6.0E-02	100	125	104	1.0E+00	90	129	111
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5			N												2.0E-01	1,000	1	6	2.0E-01	1,000	125	104	1.0E+0	0 30	1		2.0E-01	1,000	1	6, 62	2.0E-01	1000	125	104	3.0E+00	10	2	
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC	1	N		2.0E	E-01	1	2.0E-0	1 125	104			1	90	2.0E-02	1,000	1		2.0E-02	1,000	125	104			1	90	5.0E-02	300	1		5.0E-02	300	125	104			126	90
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC	1	N		2.1E	E-03	1	2.1E-0	3 125	104	2.6E	04	1		6.0E-03	1,000	1		6.0E-03	1,000	125	104	4.0E-0	2 1,000	1		1.0E-01	100	2		1.0E-01	100	125	104	4.0E-02	1,000	1	62
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID	1	N												8.0E-02	3,000	1		8.0E-02	3,000	125	104	5.0E+0	0 10	1		8.0E-01	300	1	110	8.0E-01	300	125	104	5.0E+00	10	126	
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID	1	N												2.0E+00	1,000	1		2.0E+00	1,000	125	104	5.0E+0	0 100	1		7.0E+00	300	1		7.0E+00	300	125	104	5.0E+00	100	1	
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С	1	N		5.7E	E-02	1	5.7E-0	2 125	104	1.6E	02	1		4.0E-03	1,000	1		4.0E-03	1,000	125	104	2.0E-0	4 3,000	126	114	4.0E-03	1,000	126		4.0E-03	1000	125	104	2.0E-03	300	126	114
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1	Y	0.2	.202 0.2439 4.6E	E-02	1	159 4.6E-0	2 125	104	4.1E	03	1	159	5.0E-04	1,000	1		5.0E-04	1,000	125	104	2.0E-0	3 100	1		5.0E-04	1,000	1	62	5.0E-04	1000	125	104	5.4E-01	300	129	111
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A	1	N		7.2E	E-01	1	78 7.2E-0	1 125	104	4.4E	03	1	79	3.0E-03	30	1		3.0E-03	30	125	104	1.0E-0	1 30	1		3.0E-03	30	1	62	3.0E-03	30	125	104	1.0E-01	30	1	62
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID	1	N												2.0E-01	1,000	1		2.0E-01	1,000	125	104	1.0E-0	1 300	1		2.0E-01	1,000	1	110	2.0E-01	1000	125	104	3.0E-01	100	1	110
Reference	es:		Online								_		_																											
	2 USEPA. Integrated Risk Information S	pont Summa). Un-line		e.) EV-10	00711	Indata ERA 540/	/P_07_	036 104		-	-	-																											
1	17 USEPA NCEA 2003 Risk Assessm	nent Issue Pa	oper for: [). 1 1-13	vision	nal Subchronic an	d Chr	nic RfC	s for Chloroform	(CASRN	67-66-31	January	23																							+			
1	25 USEPA, 2004. Risk Assessment Guid	dance for Su	perfund V	olume I:	Human	n Heal	alth Evaluation Ma	inual (I	Part E. S	Supplemental Gu	dance fo	r Dermal	Risk Ass	essmer	nt) Final	. Julv.																					-			
1:	26 Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Va	alues for Sup	berfund (P	PRTV) D	atabase	e.		Ì							<i>,</i>	,																					-			
1:	29 ATSDR. 2012. Minimal Risk Levels. Fe	ebruary.																																						
1:	35 CalEPA. OEHHA. 2009. Technical S	Support Docu	ment for	Cancer F	Potency I	Facto	tors. Appendix H.	May.																	_		<u> </u>		_				-			L				
1:	39 CalEPA. OEHHA. 1999. Public Heal	Ith Goal for 1	,2-Dichloi	opropan	e in Drin	nking	g Water. February.																																	
Notoci																																								
Notes.	2 LISEPA adopted chronic value as sub	chronic value			_																																			
	3 HEAST Alternate Method																																				+			
	6 Under review, according to IRIS.																																				-			
	60 IRIS provides a range of 2.2E-6 to 7.8	E-6 (ug/m3)-	1 as the i	nhalatior	URF fo	or Ber	enzene.												1													1	1				+			
	62 ENVIRON used chronic value as a sur	rrogate for th	e subchro	onic valu	э.																																			
	68 IRIS provides a range of 1.5E-2 to 5.5	E-2 (mg/kg/d	d)-1 as the	e oral Slo	pe Facto	tor for	or Benzene.																																	
· · ·	77 IRIS provides an alternate slope factor	r of 5E-2; how	wever, US	SEPA do	es not re	ecom	nmend its use, due	e to the	e higher	uncertainty in the	delivere	d dose in	the supp	orting s	study.				<u> </u>								L		_				-			L				
<u> </u>	78 IRIS presents an oral slope factor for v	vinyl chloride	of 7.2E-1	(mg/kg/	d)-1 for (conti	inuous lifetime exp	posure	during	adulthood and a	wofold in	ncrease to	1.4 (mg	kg/d)-1	for con	tinuous	lifetime e	exposure f	rom birth					-	-															
	79 IRIS presents an inhalation URF for vir	inyl chloride o	or 4.4E-6	(ug/m3)-	1 for con	ntinuc	ious litetime expos	sure du	uring adu	uithood and a two	told incr	ease to 8.	8E-6 (ug	m3)-1 i	for cont	inuous li	retime ex	cposure fro	om birth.					-	-								-							
	Sumadequate data exist to derive a toxic	ny value, act	coraing to	ine indic	ated ref	ith the	ICE.		idanac	<u> </u>	_								-		-				-	-							-				+			
1	10 The value is based on discussion in the	non urar toxic	eference	regardin	ance Wi	incipo	al study LIGEDA	sed in	extrano	lating from subch	ronic to	chronic	-	_				+	1	-					+		+	-					1				+			
1	11 Value as published is an MRL in the in	idicated refer	rence.	sgaruiti	g uie pill	lincipa			олиаро			5.1101110.		+					1						+	1		1				1	1				+			
1	14 The only toxicity value available is from	m a PPRTV a	appendix.	but EPA	advises	s that	t such values do n	not qua	alify as a	source in OSWE	R Dir. 9	285.7-53	and shou	d not b	e used	as a prir	nary bas	is for site	cleanup o	decisions a	as they lack	k sufficien	t technic	al suppor	t.			1				1	1				+			
1	16 ENVIRON used subchronic value as a	a surrogate fo	or the chro	onic valu	э.				,								,							110	1	1	1	1				1	1				+			
1;	33 ENVIRON used the value for cis-1,2-D	Dichloroether	ne [CASR	N 156-59	9-2] from	n the i	indicated reference	ce as a	a surroga	ate.																														
1	59 Because the chemical has a mutageni	ic mode of a	ction acco	ording to	USEPA,	, the S	SF and URF are a	adjuste	ed by the	e following age-d	ependan	t adjustme	ent factor	s (ADA	Fs) befo	ore use:	10 for ac	es 0 to 2;	3 for age	es 2 to 16;	and 1 for a	ages 16 a	nd older	(USEPA :	2005).							1								

																Attachme V	nt 1: Ph /hirlpoc	ysical and Chol, Fort Smith,	emical Properties Arkansas																
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	MW	(g/mole)		K _{ow} (unitless)		K _{oc} (L/	kg)		H (unitle	ess)		s (mg/L)		VP (mm Hg)		D _{air} (m ² /	d) D _{water} ((m²/d)	K _p (cm/	/hr)	ABS _d (unition	ess) FA (uni	itless)	Δ٢	H _{v,b} (cal/m	ol)		T _c (Kel	vin)		T _B (Kelvin)		HENRY Ref Temp (°C)
1/00	A 1	va	alue	Ref Notes	s vai	UE RET NOTE	s value	e Ref	Notes	Value	Adjusted	Rer	Notes Value	Ret	Notes Value	Adjust Rei	Notes	value Ref	Notes Value R		tes value Ref	Notes	Value Rer	Notes Value Re	er NOT	tes value	Ref	Notes	value	Rer	Notes	value	Ref	Notes	value
VOC	Acetone	5/-64-1 5.85	E+01	50.1	5.8E	-01 44	5.8E-U	01 44	82	1.6E-03	1.1E-03	44	1.0E+0	0 44	2.3E+0.	2 1.6E+02 50.1	92	1.1E+00 44	9.8E-05 4	44	5.2E-04 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2 11	7 24E+03	44	118	5.08E+0	2 44	118	3.29E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2 7.05	E+01	50.1	1.35	+02 44	5.6E+0	01 44	111	2.3E-01	1.6E-01	44	1.6E+0	44	9.5E+0	1 0.4E+01 50.	92	7.6E-01 44	0.0E-05 2	44	1.5E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.34E+03	44	110	5.02E+0	2 44	110	3.53E+02	44	110	2.5E+01
VOC	Bromodichioromethane	75-27-4 1.66	E+02	50.1	1.3E	+02 44	5.5E+0	01 44	111	6.6E-02	4.5E-02	44	6.7E+0	3 44	5.0E+0	1 3.3E+01 50.	92	2.6E-01 44	9.2E-05 2	44	4.7E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 64	2	7.80E+03	44	118	5.86E+0	2 44	118	3.63E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Bromororm	75-25-2 2.55	E+02	50.1	2.2E	+02 44	8.7E+0	01 44	111	2.2E-02	1.3E-02	44	3.1E+0	3 44	5.5E+0	J 3.3E+00 50.	92	1.3E-01 44	8.9E-05 4	44	2.2E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 64	2	9.48E+03	44	118	6.96E+0	2 44	118	4.22E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9 9.55	E+01	50.1	1.5E	+01 44	1.0E+0	01 44	111	2.6E-01	2.0E-01	44	1.5E+0	44	1.6E+0	3 1.2E+03 50.1	92	6.3E-01 44	1.0E-04 4	44	2.8E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	5.71E+03	44	118	4.67E+0	2 44	118	2.77E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3 7.25	E+01	50.1	1.9E	+00 69	2.0E+0	00 69	111	2.3E-03	2.0E-03	50.1	92, 123 2.2E+0	5 50.2	9.5E+0	1 6.4E+01 50.1	92	7.0E-01 69	8.5E-05 6	69	9.6E-04 69	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.48E+03	70		5.37E+0	2 70		3.53E+02	70		2.0E+01
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0 7.6	E+01	50.1	1.0E	+02 44	4.6E+0	01 44	111	1.2E+00	9.3E-01	44	1.2E+0	3 44	3.6E+0	2 2.6E+02 50.1	92	9.0E-01 44	8.6E-05 4	44	1.2E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	6.39E+03	44	118	5.52E+0	2 44	118	3.19E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5 1.5E	E+02	50.1	5.4E	+02 44	1.7E+0	02 44	111	1.3E+00	8.8E-01	44	7.9E+0	2 44	1.2E+0	2 7.9E+01 50.1	92	6.7E-01 44	7.6E-05 4	44	1.4E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.13E+03	44	118	5.57E+0	2 44	118	3.50E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7 1.15	E+02	50.1	7.2E	+02 44	2.2E+0	02 44	111	1.5E-01	9.8E-02	44	4.7E+0	2 44	1.2E+0	1 7.5E+00 50.1	92	6.3E-01 44	7.5E-05 4	44	2.9E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	8.41E+03	44	118	6.32E+0	2 44	118	4.05E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3 6.5	E+01	50.1	2.7E-	+01 69	1.6E+0	01 69	111	3.6E-01	3.3E-01	50.1	92, 123 5.7E+0	3 50.1	92 1.0E+0	3 7.6E+02 50.1	92	2.3E+00 69	9.9E-05 6	69	6.1E-03 69	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	5.88E+03	70		4.60E+0	2 70		2.85E+02	70		2.0E+01
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3 1.25	E+02	50.1	8.3E	+01 44	4.0E+0	01 44	111	1.5E-01	1.1E-01	44	7.9E+0	3 44	2.0E+0	2 1.4E+02 50.7	92	9.0E-01 44	8.6E-05 4	44	6.3E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	6.99E+03	44	118	5.36E+0	2 44	118	3.34E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3 5.0E	E+01	50.1	8.3E-	+01 69	4.0E+0	01 69	111	3.6E-01	3.3E-01	50.1	92, 123 5.3E+0	3 50.1	92 4.3E+0	3 3.4E+03 50.1	92	1.1E+00 69	5.6E-05 6	69	1.5E-02 69	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	5.11E+03	70		4.16E+0	2 70		2.49E+02	70		2.0E+01
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1 2.1E	E+02	50.1	1.5E	+02 44	6.3E+0	01 44	111	3.2E-02	2.4E-02	44	2.6E+0	3 44	4.9E+0	0 3.5E+00 50.1	92	1.7E-01 44	9.1E-05 4	44	2.9E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	5.90E+03	44	118	6.78E+0	2 44	118	4.16E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3 9.98	E+01	50.1	6.2E	+01 44	3.1E+0	01 44	111	2.3E-01	1.7E-01	44	5.1E+0	3 44	2.3E+0	2 1.6E+02 50.1	92	6.4E-01 44	9.1E-05 4	44	6.7E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	6.90E+03	44	118	5.23E+0	2 44	118	3.31E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2 9.98	E+01	50.1	3.0E	+01 44	1.7E+0	01 44	111	4.0E-02	2.7E-02	44	8.5E+0	3 44	7.9E+0	1 5.2E+01 50.1	92	9.0E-01 44	8.6E-05 4	44	4.1E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.64E+03	44	118	5.61E+0	2 44	118	3.57E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4 9.75	E+01	50.1	1.3E	+02 44	5.8E+0	01 44	111	1.1E+00	8.1E-01	44	2.3E+0	3 44	6.0E+0	2 4.4E+02 50.1	92	7.8E-01 44	9.0E-05 4	44	1.2E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	6.25E+03	44	118	5.76E+0	2 44	118	3.05E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0 9.7E	E+01	50.1 133	7.2E·	+01 44 133	3.6E+0	01 44	133, 11	1 1.7E-01	1.2E-01	44	133 3.5E+0	3 44	133 2.0E+02	2 1.4E+02 50.1	92, 13	3 6.4E-01 44	133 9.8E-05 4	44 13	33 7.7E-03 44	133, 11	5 62	1.0E+00 62	2 11	17 7.19E+03	44	118, 133	5.44E+0	2 44	118, 133	3.34E+02	44	118, 133	2.5E+01
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2 9.75	E+01	50.1	7.2E	+01 44	3.6E+0	01 44	111	1.7E-01	1.2E-01	44	3.5E+0	3 44	2.0E+0	2 1.4E+02 50.1	92	6.4E-01 44	9.8E-05 4	44	7.7E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2 11	14 7.19E+03	44	118	5.44E+0	2 44	118	3.34E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5 9.75	E+01	50.1	1.2E	+02 44	5.2E+0	01 44	111	3.9E-01	2.8E-01	44	6.3E+0	3 44	3.3E+0	2 2.4E+02 50.1	92	6.1E-01 44	1.0E-04 4	44	1.1E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2 11	14 6.72E+03	44	118	5.17E+0	2 44	118	3.21E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5 1.1E	E+02	50.1	9.3E	+01 44	4.3E+0	01 44	111	1.2E-01	7.8E-02	44	2.8E+0	3 44	5.2E+0	1 3.4E+01 50.1	92	6.8E-01 44	7.5E-05 4	44	7.4E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.59E+03	44	118	5.72E+0	2 44	118	3.70E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6 1.18	E+02	50.1	1.0E-	+02 44	4.6E+0	01 44	111	7.3E-01	4.8E-01	44	2.8E+0	3 44	3.4E+0	1 2.2E+01 50.1	92	5.4E-01 44	8.6E-05 4	44	7.9E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.90E+03	44	118	5.87E+0	2 44	118	3.81E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4 1.1E	E+02	50.1	1.4E	+03 44	3.7E+0	02 44	111	3.2E-01	2.0E-01	44	1.7E+0	2 44	9.6E+0	0 8.1E+00 50.1	92	6.5E-01 44	6.7E-05 4	44	4.8E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	8.50E+03	44	118	6.17E+0	2 44	118	4.09E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6 1.0E	E+02	50.1	2.4E	+01 39	1.5E+0	01 39	111	3.8E-03	3.2E-03	68	1.8E+0	4 39	1.2E+0	1 9.8E+00 50.1	92	7.4E-01 52	7.6E-05 5	52	3.5E-03 39	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2 11	14									2.5E+01
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1 1.0E	E+02	50.1	1.5E	+01 62	1.0E+0	01 62	111	5.6E-03	4.7E-03	50.1	92, 123 1.9E+0	4 39	2.0E+0	1 1.2E+01 50.1	92	6.5E-01 40	6.7E-05	40	2.7E-03 62	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	8.24E+03	70		5.71E+0	2 70		3.90E+02	70		2.0E+01
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2 8.58	E+01	50.1	1.8E-	+01 44	1.2E+0	01 44	111	9.0E-02	6.6E-02	44	1.3E+0	44	4.3E+0	2 3.1E+02 50.1	92	8.7E-01 44	1.0E-04 4	44	3.5E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	6.71E+03	44	118	5.10E+0	2 44	118	3.13E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5 1.0E	E+02	50.1	8.7E	+02 44	7.8E+0	02 44	82	1.1E-01	7.0E-02	44	3.1E+0	2 44	6.1E+0	0 3.7E+00 50.1	92	6.1E-01 44	6.9E-05 4	44	3.6E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	8.74E+03	44	118	6.36E+0	44	118	4.18E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5 1.7E	E+02	50.1	2.5E	+02 44	9.4E+0	01 44	111	1.4E-02	8.7E-03	44	3.0E+0	3 44	4.6E+0	2.8E+00 50.7	92	6.1E-01 44	6.8E-05 4	44	6.9E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	9.00E+03	44	118	6.61E+0	2 44	118	4.20E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4 1.75	E+02	50.1	4.7E	+02 44	1.6E+0	02 44	111	7.5E-01	4.9E-01	44	2.0E+0	2 44	1.9E+0	1 1.2E+01 50.1	92	6.2E-01 44	7.1E-05 4	44	1.1E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	8.29E+03	44	118	6.20E+0	12 44	118	3.94E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3 9.28	E+01	50.1	5.6E	+02 44	1.8E+0	02 44	111	2.7E-01	1.8E-01	44	5.3E+0	2 44	2.8E+0	1 1.8E+01 50.1	92	7.5E-01 44	7.4E-05	44	3.2E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.93E+03	44	118	5.92E+0	12 44	118	3.84E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6 1.38	E+02	50.1	3.0E	+02 44	1.1E+0	02 44	111	7.1E-01	5.0E-01	44	1.3E+0	3 44	1.2E+0	2 8.5E+01 50.1	92	6.7E-01 44	7.6E-05 4	44	1.2E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.14E+03	44	118	5.45E+0	12 44	118	3.47E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5 1.3E	E+02	50.1	1.1E	+02 44	5.0E+0	01 44	111	3.7E-02	2.4E-02	44	4.4E+0	3 44	2.3E+0	1 1.5E+01 50.1	92	6.7E-01 44	7.6E-05	44	6.4E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	8.32E+03	44	118	6.02E+0	12 44	118	3.86E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6 1.38	E+02	50.1	5.1E	+02 44	1.7E+0	02 44	111	4.2E-01	2.9E-01	44	1.1E+0	3 44	7.3E+0	1 4.9E+01 50.1	92	6.8E-01 44	7.9E-05 4	44	1.8E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	7.51E+03	44	118	5.44E+0	12 44	118	3.60E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4 6.38	E+01	50.1	3.2E	+01 44	1.8E+0	01 44	111	1.1E+00	9.0E-01	44	2.8E+0	3 44	3.0E+0	3 2.4E+03 50.1	92	9.2E-01 44	1.1E-04 7	71	6.9E-03 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2	5.25E+03	44	118	4.32E+0	12 44	118	2.59E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7 1.18	E+02	50.1	1.5E	+03 44	3.9E+0	02 44	111	2.8E-01	1.7E-01	44	1.7E+0	2 44	8.0E+0	0 4.9E+00 50.1	92	6.7E-01 44	7.6E-05	44	5.0E-02 44	115	62	1.0E+00 62	2 11	14 8.57E+03	44	118	6.21E+0	J2 44	118	4.14E+02	44	118	2.5E+01
Referenc	ces:																													—					
39	CHEMFATE data base. Syracuse Res	search Corporation.																																	
40	Research Triangle Institute, Center for	r Environmental Analy	ysis. 1	995. Suppleme	ental Te	echnical Support Do	cument fo	or Hazard	ous Wast	e Identificati	on Rule: Ris	k Assess	sment for Human	and Ecolo	gical Receptors	Volume 1, TABLE	A-1. Nov	ember 1995.		1								1		1		1			
44	USEPA. 1996. Soil Screening Guidar	nce: Technical Backo	ground	d Document and	d User (Guide. Office of En	nergency	and Rem	edial Res	ponse. EPA	V540/R-95/1	28. May.			1															-					
50.1	USEPA. 1997. Superfund Chemical D	ata Matrix (SCDM).	Office	of Emergency	and Re	emedial Response.	Septembe	er 12.				1																		-					
50.2	USEPA. 2004. Superfund Chemical D	ata Matrix (SCDM).	Office	of Emergency	and Re	emedial Response.	January.																							-					
52	USEPA. 1997. CHEM9 Compound Pro	operties Estimation a	and Dat	ta. Version 1.00	0. Office	e of Air Quality Plan	ning and	Standard	ls. July.																					-					
62	2 USEPA. 2004. Risk Assessment Guid	ance for Superfund V	/olume	e I: Human Hea	alth Eva	aluation Manual (Pa	rt E, Supp	lemental	Guidance	for Dermal	Risk Assess	ment) Fir	nal. July.															1		1		1			
68	B PHYSPROP data base. Syracuse Res	search Corporation.										Í																							
69	USEPA. 2004. WATER9. Version 2.0.	0. Office of Air Quality	ty Plan	ning and Stand	dards. J	July.																								-					
70	USEPA, 2003, User's Guide for Eval	uating Subsurface Va	apor In	ntrusion into Bui	ildinas.	June 19.																									_				
71	USEPA, 2002, Supplemental Guidan	ce for Developing So	oil Scre	eenina Levels fa	or Supe	erfund Sites. Office	of Solid V	Waste an	d Emerge	ncy Respon	se. OSWER	9355.4-2	24. December.																						
				g							1																								
Notos:																																			
****	* Adjusted Henry's law constant is adjust	sted from the reference	ce tem	perature in the	indicat	ted source to a grou	ndwater t	temperati	ire of 16 7	°C for Et S	mith Arkans	28		+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +					1 1		_			1	+						
20	ENVIRON used Equation (70) from Re	eference 44 to calcula	ate Kor	c value using L		v value from indicat	ed referer	nce.		0 101 1 1. 0	nini, Aikdila					+							+							+					
02									-	+	1	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +		<u> </u>			+ +		_			1	+						
92	ENVIRON used Equation (71) from P	eference 44 to calcula	ate Kov	c value using L	og Kov	v value from indicat	ed referor	nce	-		1	+	+ +	+	<u> </u>	+		+ +				-	+ +		_			1	+						
111	A value of 1 is conservatively used be		doos -	not provide a d	lofault v					-			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	+		+				-													
114	ENVIRON calculated Kn value using a	cause EFA guiuance	a refer	not provide a de	a Kov 4	from the indicated r	eference	and the M		ted in table		-	<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	+	+	+					+		_				-						
115	ZENIVIRON derived the CA beautier	vhibit A 4 in the india	nielefe	ence oz with IO	y NOW 1	nom the mulcated r	ererence:	anutriell	ww.prese	neu III table	1	+	<u>├</u>	+	<u> </u>	+		+					+						+						
117	EINVIRON derived the FA based on E	a the Henrie Lew O	aled fe	t for Soil Tomas	orot	.	+		-	+	+	+	<u>├</u>	+	<u> </u>	+		+					+						+						
118	S From the 2001 Fact Sheet, "Correcting	g the Henry's Law Co	nstant	LIOI SOILLEMPE	erature"	·	_	_					<u> </u>					+								_		I							
123	3 value has been assigned a default ref	erence temperature.		0.50.01(a la alla			_					<u> </u>					+								_		I							
133	3 EINVIRON used the value for cis-1,2-D	Jichloroethene [CASF	≺N 156	6-59-2J from the	e indica	ated reference as a	surrogate). 			1	1	1 1	1	1 1			1 1		1								1	1			1	1		

	Attachment 1: Occup Whirlpool, For	oational Inha rt Smith, Ark	alation Limit ansas	ts	
Chem			Occupation	al Inhalatic	on Limits
Group	Chemical	CASRN	Value	Ref	Note
			(mg/m ³)		Note
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	2.4E+03	97	
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	3.2E+00	97	368
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4			
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	5.0E+00	97	426
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	3.9E+00	47	
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	5.9E+02	97	
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	6.2E+01	97	
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	6.3E+01	97	
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	3.5E+02	97	
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	2.6E+03	97	
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	4 9E+01	47	
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	2 1E+02	97	
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	2.12102	51	
VOC	1 1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	1 0E±02	97	
	1,1-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	2 0E+02	97	
	1,2-Dichloroothono	75 25 4	2.02+02	37	
	1, 1-Dichloroethene (total)	F 40 50 0	Z.0E+01	47	
	r,2-Dichloroethene	156 50 2	7.9E+02	97	400
VOC	trans 1.2 Dichloroothana	150-59-2	7.9E+02	97	409
	1.2 Dichlerenzenene	100-00-0	7.9E+02	97	409
	1,2-Dichloropropane	10-01-3 E40 7E 6	3.5E+02	97	
		342-73-0	4.3E+00	47	
		100-41-4	4.4E+02	97	
	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	4.1E+02	97	
	4-Methylene Chleride	75.00.0	4.1E+02	97	
	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	8.7E+01	97	
	Styrene	100-42-5	4.3E+02	97	400
	1,1,2,2-1 etrachioroethane	79-34-5	3.5E+01	97	426
	I etrachioroethene	127-18-4	6.8E+02	97	
VOC	loluene	108-88-3	7.5E+02	97	
	1,1,1-I richlesset	/1-55-6	1.9E+03	97	400
VOC	1,1,2-I richloroethane	79-00-5	4.5E+01	97	426
VOC	I richloroethene	79-01-6	5.4E+02	97	
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	/5-01-4	2.6E+00	97	368
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	4.4E+02	97	
Poforono	06:				
	to.	t Inductrial Live:	aniata 2010 0		
47	ISBN: 978-1-607260-48-6.	t industrial Hygi	eniisis. 2012. 2		
97	Occupational Safety and Health Stan Code of Federal Regulations, Pt. 191	dards — Toxic 0 Subpart Z. 20	and Hazardous)07 ed.	s Substance	es, Title 29
Notes:					
	Complex criterion: source document	review required	_	1	1
400	ENVIRON used 1 2-Dichloroethene (total) [CASRN #	540-59-01 value	from the in	dicated
-03	reference as a surrogate				aloalou
100	Skin Designation assigned: wear and				
420	on a signation assigned, wear app	nophale PPE.			

	Attac	hment 1: H Whirlpool,	igh-End Ex Fort Smith	(posure Fa	ictors						
		Resident Age 0-2	Resident Age 2-6	Resident Age 6-16	Resident Age 16-30	Routine Worker		Maintenance Worker	•	Construction Worker	
Soil Ingestion									1		
Ingestion Rate (mg/d)	IR					50	b	100	f	200	f
Conversion Factor (kg/mg)	CF					1E-06		1E-06	_	1E-06	_
Fraction Contacted (unitless)	FC					1.0	t	1.0	1	1.0	1
Exposure Frequency (a/yr)					+	250	b	10	1	<u>∠</u> ⊃∪ 1	D f
Exposure Duration (yr) Rody Weight (ka-bw)	BW					20	u a	70	е э	70	-
Averaging Time, care (d)	ΔT.					25 550	3	25 550	2	25 550	а а
	AT				+	0.125	4	20,000	a 2	20,000	4
Averaging Time, noncarc (d)	Ainc					9,120	a	3,000	a	305	а
Intake, carc (kg-soii/kg-bw per d)	<u> </u>					1./5E-0/	-	1.685-08	+	2.80E-08	-
Soil Dermal Contact									+		-
Adherence Factor (mg/cm ²)	AD					0.2	с	0.2	с	0.2	с
Skin Surface Area (cm ² /d)	SA					3.300	c	3.300	c	3.300	c
Conversion Factor (kg/mg)	CF					1E-06		1E-06	1	1E-06	Ť
Fraction Contacted (unitless)	FC					1.0	f	1.0	f	1.0	f
Exposure Frequency (d/yr)	EF					250	b	30	f	250	b
Exposure Duration (yr)	ED					25	b	10	е	1	f
Body Weight (kg-bw)	BW					70	а	70	а	70	а
Averaging Time, carc (d)	AT _c					25,550	а	25,550	а	25,550	а
Averaging Time, noncarc (d)	AT _{nc}					9,125	a	3,650	а	365	а
Outdoor Air Inhalation of Vapor and Particulates								++	+		-
Exposure Time (h/d)	ET	24	24	24	24 d	8	d	8	d	8	d
Exposure Frequency (d/yr)	EF	0	0	0	0 b	250	b	30	f	250	b
Exposure Duration (yr)	ED	0	0	0	0 b	25	b	10	е	1	f
Averaging Time, carc (h)	AT _c	613,200	613,200	613,200	613,200 a	613,200	а	613,200	а	613,200	а
Averaging Time, noncarc (h)	AT _{nc}	0	0	0	0 a	219,000	а	87,600	а	8,760	а
Incidental Groundwater Ingestion	-							+	+		4
Drinking Rate (L/hr per event)	DR				1			0.005	f	0.005	f
Exposure Time (h)	ET							2	f	2	f
Exposure Frequency (d/yr)	EF							5	f	5	f
Exposure Duration (yr)	ED							10	е	1	f
Body Weight (kg-bw)	BW							70	а	70	а
Averaging Time, canc (d)	AT _c							25,550	а	25,550	а
Averaging Time, noncanc (d)	AT _{nc}							3,650	a	365	а
Groundwater Dermal Contact	<u> </u>					<u> </u>			1		
Event Time (hr)	t							2	f	2	f
Skin Surface Area (cm ²)	SA					<u> </u>		3,300	с	3,300	с
Events per Day (event/d)	EV							1	f	1	f
Exposure Frequency (d/yr)	EF							5	f	5	f
Exposure Duration (yr)	ED							10	е	1	f
Body Weight (kg)	BW							70	а	70	а
Averaging Time, cancer (days)	AT _c							25,550	а	25,550	а
Averaging Time, noncancer (days)	AT _{nc}							3,650	a	365	а
Groundwater Outdoor Vapor Inhalation									+		
Exposure Time (h/d)	ET	0	0	0	0 d	0	d	8	d	8	d
Exposure Frequency (d/yr)	EF	0	0	0	0 b	0	b	5	f	5	f
Exposure Duration (yr)	ED	2	4	10	14 b	0	b	10	е	1	f
Averaging Time, carc (h)	AT _c	613,200	613,200	613,200	613,200 a	613,200	а	613,200	а	613,200	а
Averaging Time, noncarc (h)	AT _{nc}	262,800	262,800	262,800	262,800 a	0	а	87,600	а	8,760	а
Groundwater Indoor Vapor Inhalation									-		-
Exposure Time (hours/day)	ET	24	24	24	24 d	8	d				
Exposure Frequency (d/yr)	EF	350	350	350	350 b	250	b	-	_		
Exposure Duration (yr)	ED	2	4	10	14 b	25	b	L			
Averaging Time, carc (hours)	AT _c	613,200	613,200	613,200	613,200 a	613,200	а				
Averaging Time, noncarc (hours)	AT _{nc}	262,800	262,800	262,800	262,800 a	219,000	а	├	+		
Soil Indoor Vapor Inhalation									-		
Exposure Time (hours/day)	ET					8	d	ļ	_		
Exposure Frequency (d/yr)	EF					250	b	 	_		
Exposure Duration (yr)	ED					25	b	ļ	_		
Averaging Time, carc (hours)						613,200	a	<u> </u>	_		_
Averaging Time, noncarc (nours)	Al _{nc}					219,000	а		+		-
References:	L								土		

a. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A) Interim Final (EPA 1989).
b. Standard default exposure factors. OSWER Directive 9285.6-03 (EPA 1991).
c. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual: Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment (EPA 2004).
d. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual: Part F, Supplemental Guidance for Inhalation Risk Assessment (EPA 2004).

e. The 90th to 95th percentile job tenure for workers in construction (Burmaster 2000).
f. Based on professional judgment and site-specific considerations discussed in the text.
g. Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (USEPA 2005).

				Attachment 1 Whirlp	Detected Sou	urce Concentratio th, Arkansas	ns			
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Carc Class	Groundwater Concentration (On-Site) (mg/L)	Groundwater Concentration (Off-Site) (mg/L)	Soil Concentration (mg/kg)	SV1 (mg/m ³)	SV2 (mg/m ³)	SV3 (mg/m ³)	SV4 (mg/m ³)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1.99E-01	8.50E-02	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	Α	6.53E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	6.79E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	7.67E-02	2.40E-02	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	6.13E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1.06E-01	9.50E-02	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		6.52E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	6.69E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	6.86E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	7.23E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	6.64E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	9.00E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С	7.13E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	6.59E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	6.61E-02	3.00E-03	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	2.50E-01	4.20E-03	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.00E+01	4.10E-02	1.20E-02	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.00E+01	4.10E-02	1.20E-02	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID	5.99E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2	6.52E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1.42E-01	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D	6.89E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1.20E-01	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	1.20E-01	4.00E-03	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	3.10E-01	ND	7.00E-03	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5		6.83E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC	6.40E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC	7.67E-02	ND	ND	NA	1.1E-01	NA	NA
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID	6.54E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID	1.10E-01	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С	6.59E-02	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	8.10E+01	1.60E+00	1.86E-01	ND	2.3E-01	2.9E-03	4.0E-02
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	А	2.50E+00	3.00E-03	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID	1.99E-01	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA
Netco										
ND Not	Detected			<u> </u>						
IND - INOT										
INA - NOt	Analyzea.									

Attachment 2

Routine Worker Risk Calculations

Contents:

- Vapor Flux from Soil to Outdoor Air
- Soil PM10 Emission from Wind Erosion
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Routine Workers to On-Site Soil
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Routine Workers to On-Site Soil
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of Off-Site Routine Workers to On-Site Soil
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of Off-Site Routine Workers to On-Site Soil
- Soil Moisture Profile for Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade)
- Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Subsurface Soil
- Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Groundwater
- Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for On-Site Routine Workers due to Soil Vapor Intrusion into a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade)
- Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for On-Site Routine Workers due to Groundwater Vapor Intrusion into a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade)
- Vapor Intrusion Contribution to Occupational Inhalation Exposure Limits Calculations for On-Site Routine Workers Exposure in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade)
- Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for Off-Site Routine Workers due to Groundwater Vapor Intrusion into a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade)
- Vapor Flux to Outdoor Air from Groundwater
- Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Routine Workers to Groundwater-derived Vapors in Outdoor Air
- Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of Off-Site Routine Workers to Groundwater-derived Vapors in Outdoor Air

				Attac	chment 2	: Vapor F	lux from	Soil to O	utdoor A	lir					
					Whi	Ipool, Fo	rt Smith,	Arkansa	S						
Chem			Koc	н	Dair	Dwater	R,	D _G	DL	DE	Infinite J _v	Finite depth	Finite depth Z2	Finite J_v	J_v
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(L/kg)	(unitless)	(m²/d)	(m²/d)	(unitless)	(m²/d)	(m²/d)	(m²/d)	(kg/m ² -s)	Z1 ERFC term	ERFC term	(kg/m ² -s)	(kg/m ² -s)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	5.81E-01	1.14E-03	1.07E+00	9.85E-05	3.17E-01	1.15E-02	9.10E-06	7.01E-05	1.58E-06	0.00E+00	4.48E-03	1.58E-06	1.58E-06
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	5.82E+01	1.59E-01	7.60E-01	8.47E-05	5.02E-01	8.19E-03	7.82E-06	2.61E-03	9.63E-06	0.00E+00	2.18E+00	5.08E-06	5.08E-06
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	5.51E+01	4.45E-02	2.57E-01	9.16E-05	4.75E-01	2.77E-03	8.46E-06	2.78E-04	3.14E-06	0.00E+00	3.82E-01	2.97E-06	2.97E-06
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	8.70E+01	1.34E-02	1.29E-01	8.90E-05	5.58E-01	1.39E-03	8.22E-06	4.80E-05	1.31E-06	0.00E+00	3.38E-04	1.31E-06	1.31E-06
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	1.05E+01	2.01E-01	6.29E-01	1.05E-04	3.78E-01	6.78E-03	9.65E-06	3.63E-03	1.14E-05	0.00E+00	2.39E+00	5.27E-06	5.27E-06
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	2.00E+00	1.96E-03	6.98E-01	8.47E-05	3.21E-01	7.52E-03	7.82E-06	7.03E-05	1.58E-06	0.00E+00	4.54E-03	1.58E-06	1.58E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	4.59E+01	9.26E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	5.96E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	1.51E-02	2.32E-05	0.00E+00	3.02E+00	5.84E-06	5.84E-06
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	1.74E+02	8.82E-01	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	9.41E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	6.81E-03	1.56E-05	0.00E+00	2.72E+00	5.57E-06	5.57E-06
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	2.20E+02	9.77E-02	6.31E-01	7.52E-05	9.40E-01	6.79E-03	6.94E-06	7.13E-04	5.04E-06	0.00E+00	1.14E+00	4.01E-06	4.01E-06
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	1.62E+01	3.25E-01	2.34E+00	9.94E-05	4.14E-01	2.52E-02	9.18E-06	1.98E-02	2.66E-05	0.00E+00	3.10E+00	5.91E-06	5.91E-06
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	3.97E+01	1.07E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	4.43E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	2.37E-03	9.18E-06	0.00E+00	2.11E+00	5.02E-06	5.02E-06
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	3.97E+01	3.33E-01	1.09E+00	5.62E-05	4.80E-01	1.17E-02	5.19E-06	8.14E-03	1.70E-05	0.00E+00	2.79E+00	5.64E-06	5.64E-06
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	6.26E+01	2.38E-02	1.69E-01	9.07E-05	4.92E-01	1.82E-03	8.38E-06	1.05E-04	1.94E-06	0.00E+00	3.05E-02	1.93E-06	1.93E-06
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	3.13E+01	1.66E-01	6.41E-01	9.07E-05	4.29E-01	6.91E-03	8.38E-06	2.68E-03	9.77E-06	0.00E+00	2.20E+00	5.10E-06	5.10E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	1.75E+01	2.74E-02	8.99E-01	8.55E-05	3.68E-01	9.68E-03	7.90E-06	7.42E-04	5.14E-06	0.00E+00	1.17E+00	4.05E-06	4.05E-06
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	5.82E+01	8.10E-01	7.78E-01	8.99E-05	6.10E-01	8.38E-03	8.30E-06	1.11E-02	1.99E-05	0.00E+00	2.92E+00	5.75E-06	5.75E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	3.56E+01	1.19E-01	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	4.33E-01	6.85E-03	9.02E-06	1.90E-03	8.21E-06	0.00E+00	1.95E+00	4.86E-06	4.86E-06
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.56E+01	1.19E-01	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	4.33E-01	6.85E-03	9.02E-06	1.90E-03	8.21E-06	0.00E+00	1.95E+00	4.86E-06	4.86E-06
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	5.22E+01	2.81E-01	6.11E-01	1.03E-04	5.06E-01	6.58E-03	9.49E-06	3.68E-03	1.14E-05	0.00E+00	2.40E+00	5.28E-06	5.28E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	4.35E+01	7.82E-02	6.76E-01	7.54E-05	4.48E-01	7.28E-03	6.97E-06	1.28E-03	6.76E-06	0.00E+00	1.65E+00	4.56E-06	4.56E-06
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	4.59E+01	4.83E-01	5.41E-01	8.64E-05	5.22E-01	5.83E-03	7.98E-06	5.40E-03	1.39E-05	0.00E+00	2.61E+00	5.47E-06	5.47E-06
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	3.67E+02	2.04E-01	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	1.36E+00	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.05E-03	6.11E-06	0.00E+00	1.48E+00	4.38E-06	4.38E-06
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	1.48E+01	3.23E-03	7.45E-01	7.57E-05	3.57E-01	8.02E-03	6.99E-06	9.23E-05	1.81E-06	0.00E+00	1.76E-02	1.81E-06	1.81E-06
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	1.05E+01	4.71E-03	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	3.45E-01	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.13E-04	2.01E-06	0.00E+00	4.02E-02	2.00E-06	2.00E-06
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.17E+01	6.60E-02	8.73E-01	1.01E-04	3.59E-01	9.40E-03	9.33E-06	1.76E-03	7.90E-06	0.00E+00	1.90E+00	4.81E-06	4.81E-06
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	7.77E+02	7.04E-02	6.13E-01	6.91E-05	2.47E+00	6.61E-03	6.38E-06	1.91E-04	2.61E-06	0.00E+00	1.83E-01	2.54E-06	2.54E-06
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	9.35E+01	8.74E-03	6.13E-01	6.83E-05	5.75E-01	6.61E-03	6.30E-06	1.11E-04	1.99E-06	0.00E+00	3.77E-02	1.98E-06	1.98E-06
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.56E+02	4.90E-01	6.22E-01	7.08E-05	8.27E-01	6.70E-03	6.54E-06	3.98E-03	1.19E-05	0.00E+00	2.44E+00	5.32E-06	5.32E-06
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	1.80E+02	1.80E-01	7.52E-01	7.43E-05	8.43E-01	8.10E-03	6.86E-06	1.74E-03	7.87E-06	0.00E+00	1.89E+00	4.80E-06	4.80E-06
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	1.10E+02	4.97E-01	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	7.02E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	5.15E-03	1.35E-05	0.00E+00	2.58E+00	5.45E-06	5.45E-06
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	5.03E+01	2.43E-02	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	4.58E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	4.00E-04	3.77E-06	0.00E+00	6.44E-01	3.39E-06	3.39E-06
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.68E+02	2.88E-01	6.83E-01	7.86E-05	8.26E-01	7.35E-03	7.26E-06	2.57E-03	9.57E-06	0.00E+00	2.17E+00	5.07E-06	5.07E-06

				Atta	chment 2	: Vapor F	-lux from	Soil to C	utdoor A	ir					
	Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas Imm K _{oc} H D _{air} D _{water} R _L D _G D _E Infinite J _v Finite depth Finite depth Z2 Finite J _v J _v														
Chem			K _{oc}	н	D _{air}	D _{water}	RL	D_{G}	DL	DE	Infinite J _v	Finite depth	Finite depth Z2	Finite J_{ν}	J_v
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(L/kg)	(unitless)	(m²/d)	(m²/d)	(unitless)	(m²/d)	(m²/d)	(m²/d)	(kg/m ² -s)	Z1 ERFC term	ERFC term	(kg/m ² -s)	(kg/m ² -s)
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.85E+01	9.00E-01	9.16E-01	1.06E-04	5.15E-01	9.87E-03	9.81E-06	1.72E-02	2.48E-05	0.00E+00	3.06E+00	5.88E-06	5.88E-06
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	3.86E+02	1.73E-01	6.74E-01	7.56E-05	1.41E+00	7.26E-03	6.98E-06	8.97E-04	5.65E-06	0.00E+00	1.34E+00	4.24E-06	4.24E-06
Notes:	Soil bulk density	kg/L	ρ _b	1.38											
	Soil porosity	L/L-soil	θ	0.48											
	Soil water content	L/L-soil	θ _w	0.32											
	Soil air-filled porosity	L/L-soil	θa	0.17											
	Soil organic carbon fraction	unitless	f _{oc}	0.002											
	Averaging period (Exposure Duration)	vear	т	25											
		days	т	9125											
		S	Т	7.9E+08											
		L-mmHg/													
	Molar Gas Constant	mole-⁰K	R	62.411											
	Temperature	°C	Temp	16.7											
		К	Temp	289.7											
	Clean soil above source	m	Z ₁	0.00											
	Bottom of source depth	m	Z ₂	3.66											

Attachment 2: Soil PM10 Emission	from Wind Erc	osion	
Whirlpool, Fort Smith, A	rkansas		
Unlimited Reservoir Model			
Aerodynamic particle size multiplier			0.036
Ground cover fraction		G	0.5
Mode of aggregate size distribution	mm		0.50
Threshold friction velocity	m/s	u' _t	0.50
Correction factor			1.25
Corrected friction velocity	m/s	u* _t	0.6252
Roughness height	m	z ₀	0.005
Anemometer height	m		10.0
Friction velocity at anemometer height	m/s	u _t	11.9
Mean annual wind speed	mph	u _m	7.6
Mean annual wind speed	m/s	u _m	3.40
u _m /u _t			0.286
$x = 0.886 u_t / u_m$			3.10
F(x)			0.003
Annual average PM ₁₀ flux	kg-soil/m ² -s	J _{10,w}	3.93E-13

			Atta	chment 2	2: Cancer Ri	isk Calcul	ations for	Exposu	re of On-	Site Routi	ine Work	ers to On	-Site Soi	l				
					Sc	oil Ingestion			Soil Dern	nal Contact		Soil	Vapor Inh	alation	Soil Pa	rticulate Ir	halation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{oral} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	ABS _{derm}	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{derm} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	Risk
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID															
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	Α			5.5E-02				5.5E-02			7.8E-03			7.8E-03		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			6.2E-02				6.2E-02								
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			7.9E-03				7.9E-03			1.1E-03			1.1E-03		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID															
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID															
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0																
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			7.0E-02				7.0E-02			6.0E-03			6.0E-03		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D															
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC															
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1.9E-02				1.9E-02			2.3E-02			2.3E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D															
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С			8.4E-02				8.4E-02								
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC															
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			9.1E-02				9.1E-02			2.6E-02			2.6E-02		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С															
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	2.10E-09							1.98E-06			1.60E-13			
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	2.10E-09							1.98E-06			1.60E-13			
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID															
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			3.6E-02				3.6E-02								
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			1.0E-01				1.0E-01			4.0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D															
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID															
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID															
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	1.22E-09	2.0E-03	2.4E-12			2.0E-03		1.14E-06	1.0E-05	9.3E-13	9.35E-14	1.0E-05	7.6E-20	3.4E-12
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5																
VOC	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			2.0E-01				2.0E-01								
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			2.1E-03				2.1E-03			2.6E-04			2.6E-04		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID															
VOC	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID															
VOC	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			5.7E-02				5.7E-02			1.6E-02			1.6E-02		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	3.25E-08	4.6E-02	1.5E-09			4.6E-02		3.21E-05	4.1E-03	1.1E-08	2.48E-12	4.1E-03	8.3E-16	1.2E-08
VOC	Vinvl Chloride	75-01-4	A			7.2E-01				7.2E-01		0.2.12.00	4.4E-03			4.4E-03		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7																
						1	+											
		Cumula	tive Risk:				1E-09	1						1E-08			8E-16	1E-08
Notes:								1								1		
The disper	sion coefficient to outdoor air (C/Q) is 34	.0 (kg/m3) / (k	(g/m2/s).															
This C/Q te	erm is estimated using the empirical corre	elation in USE	PA's Supp	plemental So	oil Screening G	uidance (200	2), conserva	tivelv assu	mina a sou	rce area of 1	53 acres (t	he site area)	and region	-specific mete	eorological pa	arameters.		

			Attach	nment 2: H	lazard Ind	dex Calc	ulations f	for Expos	ure of Or	n-Site Rou	tine Wo	rkers to O	n-Site So	oil				
	T	1					Whirlpoo	I, Fort Sm	ith, Arka	nsas		_						
					S	oil Ingestic	n		Soil Derm	al Contact		Soil V	/apor Inha	lation	Soil Pa	rticulate In	halation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD _{oral} (mg/kg/d)	HQ	ABS _{derm}	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD_{derm} (mg/kg/d)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID			9.0E-01				9.0E-01			3.1E+01			3.1E+01		
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A			4.0E-03				4.0E-03			3.0E-02			3.0E-02		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			2.0E-02				2.0E-02								
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			2.0E-02				2.0E-02								
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID			1.4E-03				1.4E-03			5.0E-03			5.0E-03		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID			6.0E-01				6.0E-01			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0				1.0E-01				1.0E-01			7.0E-01			7.0E-01		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			4.0E-03				4.0E-03			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D			2.0E-02				2.0E-02			5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC			1.0E-01				1.0E-01			1.0E+01			1.0E+01		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1.0E-02				1.0E-02			5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D										9.0E-02			9.0E-02		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С			2.0E-02				2.0E-02								
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC			2.0E-01				2.0E-01			5.0E-01			5.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			6.0E-03				6.0E-03			7.0E-03			7.0E-03		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С			5.0E-02				5.0E-02			2.0E-01			2.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	5.87E-09	2.0E-03	2.9E-06			2.0E-03		1.98E-06			1.60E-13			2.9E-06
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	5.87E-09	2.0E-03	2.9E-06			2.0E-03		1.98E-06			1.60E-13			2.9E-06
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID			2.0E-02				2.0E-02								
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			9.0E-02				9.0E-02			4.0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			3.0E-02				3.0E-02			2.0E-02			2.0E-02		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D			1.0E-01				1.0E-01			1.0E+00			1.0E+00		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID			5.0E-03				5.0E-03			3.0E-02			3.0E-02		
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID										3.0E+00			3.0E+00		
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	3.42E-09	6.0E-03	5.7E-07			6.0E-03		1.14E-06	6.0E-01	4.4E-07	9.35E-14	6.0E-01	3.6E-14	1.0E-06
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5				2.0E-01				2.0E-01			1.0E+00			1.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			2.0E-02				2.0E-02								
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			6.0E-03				6.0E-03			4.0E-02			4.0E-02		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID			8.0E-02				8.0E-02			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID			2.0E+00				2.0E+00			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			4.0E-03				4.0E-03			2.0E-04			2.0E-04		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	9.10E-08	5.0E-04	1.8E-04			5.0E-04		3.21E-05	2.0E-03	3.7E-03	2.48E-12	2.0E-03	2.8E-10	3.8E-03
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A			3.0E-03				3.0E-03			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID			2.0E-01				2.0E-01			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
		Haza	ard Index:				2E-04							4E-03			3E-10	4E-03
Notes:																		
The disper	sion coefficient to outdoor air (C	C/Q) is 34.0 (kg/m3) / (kg	g/m2/s).														
This C/Q to	erm is estimated using the emp	irical correlat	tion in USEF	A's Suppler	nental Soil S	Screening C	Guidance (2	002), conser	vatively as	suming a sou	irce area o	f 153 acres (the site are	and regi	on-specific n	neteorologi	cal paramete	ers.

	Attachment 2:	: Cancer Risk C	Calculatio	ons for Ex	cposure o	of Off-Site	Routine W	orkers to	On-Site	Soil	
	<u> </u>		Whi	rlpool, Fo	rt Smith,	Arkansas	5				
					Soil	Vapor Inh	alation	Soil Pa	rticulate Ir	nhalation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	Risk
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID								
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А			7.8E-03			7.8E-03		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2								
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			1.1E-03			1.1E-03		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID								
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID								
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0									
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			6.0E-03			6.0E-03		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D								
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC								
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			2.3E-02			2.3E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D								
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	C								
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC								
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			2.6E-02			2.6E-02		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С								
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	. =	1.20E-02	1.98E-06			1.60E-13			
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	1.98E-06			1.60E-13			
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID								
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	/8-8/-5	B2			1.05.00					
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			4.0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D								
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID								
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID				0.05.10				0.05.10
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	1.14E-06	1.0E-05	9.3E-13	9.35E-14	1.0E-05	7.6E-20	9.3E-13
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5									
VOC	1,1,2,2- I etrachloroethane	/9-34-5	LC						0.05.04		
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			2.6E-04			2.6E-04		
V0C	I oluene	108-88-3									
V0C	1,1,1-1 richloroethane	71-55-6	ID			1.05.00			1.05.00		
V0C		79-00-5		4.005.04	0.045.05	1.6E-02	4.45.00	0.405.40	1.6E-02	0.05.40	4 4 5 00
VOC	Viewl Chloride	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	3.21E-05	4.1E-03	1.1E-08	2.48E-12	4.1E-03	8.3E-16	1.1E-08
V0C	Vinyi Chionde	75-01-4	A			4.4E-03			4.4E-03		
VUC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	U								
		Cumula	ative Risk:				1E-08			8E-16	1E-08
Notes:											
The dispers	sion coefficient to outdoor air (C/Q)	is 34.0 (kg/m3) / (k	(g/m2/s).								
This C/Q te	rm is estimated using the empirical	l correlation in USE	PA's Supp	lemental So	il Screening	Guidance	(2002), conser	vatively ass	uming a so	urce area of 15	3 acres (the site
aica) anu n	egion-specific meteorological parall	notora.									

Т

	Attachment 2: Ha	azard Index	Calculat	ions for Ex	posure of	Off-Site	Routine \	Norkers to	o On-Site	e Soil	
			Whi	rlpool, For	t <mark>Smith, A</mark>	rkansas					
					Soil \	/apor Inha	lation	Soil Pa	rticulate In	halation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID			3.1E+01			3.1E+01		
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А			3.0E-02			3.0E-02		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2								
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2								
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID			5.0E-03			5.0E-03		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0				7.0E-01			7.0E-01		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D			5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC			1.0E+01			1.0E+01		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D			9.0E-02			9.0E-02		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С								
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC			5.0E-01			5.0E-01		-
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			7.0E-03			7.0E-03		-
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С			2.0E-01			2.0E-01		-
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	1.98E-06			1.60E-13			-
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	1.98E-06			1.60E-13			
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID								
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			4.0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			2.0E-02			2.0E-02		-
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D			1.0E+00			1.0E+00		-
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID			3.0E-02			3.0E-02		-
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID			3.0E+00			3.0E+00		
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	1.14E-06	6.0E-01	4.4E-07	9.35E-14	6.0E-01	3.6E-14	4.4E-07
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5				1.0E+00			1.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC								
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			4.0E-02			4.0E-02		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			2.0E-04			2.0E-04		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	3.21E-05	2.0E-03	3.7E-03	2.48E-12	2.0E-03	2.8E-10	3.7E-03
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	А			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
		Hor	ard Indox:				45.02			25-10	
Notes:		ΠdZ	ard muex:				46-03			JE-10	46-03
The dispe	rsion coefficient to outdoor air (C/Q) is 34.0 (ka/m3) / (ka	/m2/s).							+
This C/O 1	erm is estimated using the emr	pirical correlat	ion in USFP	A's Suppleme	ental Soil Scr	eenina Gui	dance (200	2), conserva	tivelv assu	ming a sourc	e area of 153
acres (the	site area) and region-specific r	meteorologica	l parameters	3.			(====	,,	.,	5	



Attachment 2: Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Subsurface Soil Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas

Chem			Dair	Dwater	н	Dcrack			К.,	K.	C _{s van}			Childre
Group	Ob and a st	04000	(m ² /day)	(m ² /day)	(unitless)	(m ² /day)	(m ² /day)	~	(L/ka)	(L/ka)	$(ka-soil/m^3)$	a	a	(ka-soil/m ³)
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(III / day)	(III /day)	(unitiess)	(III /day)	(III /day)	a∞	(L/Kg)	(Ľ/Kg)	(kg-30ii/11)	a ML	u	(kg=301/111)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	1.07E+00	9.85E-05	1.14E-03	1.72E-01	1.91E-02	8.26E-05	5.81E-01	1.16E-03	4.91E+00	9.22E-04	8.26E-05	4.05E-04
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	7.60E-01	8.47E-05	1.59E-01	1.22E-01	7.74E-03	8.25E-05	5.82E+01	1.16E-01	4.34E+02	1.04E-05	1.04E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	2.57E-01	9.16E-05	4.45E-02	4.13E-02	2.80E-03	8.23E-05	5.51E+01	1.10E-01	1.29E+02	3.52E-05	3.52E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	1.29E-01	8.90E-05	1.34E-02	2.07E-02	1.94E-03	8.22E-05	8.70E+01	1.74E-01	3.29E+01	1.38E-04	8.22E-05	2.70E-03
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	6.29E-01	1.05E-04	2.01E-01	1.01E-01	6.41E-03	8.25E-05	1.05E+01	2.10E-02	7.30E+02	6.20E-06	6.20E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	6.98E-01	8.47E-05	1.96E-03	1.12E-01	1.12E-02	8.25E-05	2.00E+00	3.99E-03	8.35E+00	5.42E-04	8.25E-05	6.89E-04
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	9.26E-01	1.44E-01	9.09E-03	8.25E-05	4.59E+01	9.19E-02	2.14E+03	2.11E-06	2.11E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	8.82E-01	1.08E-01	6.82E-03	8.25E-05	1.74E+02	3.48E-01	1.29E+03	3.50E-06	3.50E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	6.31E-01	7.52E-05	9.77E-02	1.01E-01	6.45E-03	8.25E-05	2.20E+02	4.41E-01	1.43E+02	3.17E-05	3.17E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	2.34E+00	9.94E-05	3.25E-01	3.76E-01	2.37E-02	8.26E-05	1.62E+01	3.25E-02	1.08E+03	4.20E-06	4.20E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	1.07E-01	1.44E-01	9.16E-03	8.25E-05	3.97E+01	7.94E-02	3.33E+02	1.36E-05	1.36E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	1.09E+00	5.62E-05	3.33E-01	1.75E-01	1.10E-02	8.25E-05	3.97E+01	7.94E-02	9.52E+02	4.75E-06	4.75E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	1.69E-01	9.07E-05	2.38E-02	2.72E-02	2.08E-03	8.22E-05	6.26E+01	1.25E-01	6.64E+01	6.82E-05	6.82E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	6.41E-01	9.07E-05	1.66E-01	1.03E-01	6.53E-03	8.25E-05	3.13E+01	6.27E-02	5.29E+02	8.56E-06	8.56E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	8.99E-01	8.55E-05	2.74E-02	1.44E-01	9.38E-03	8.25E-05	1.75E+01	3.50E-02	1.02E+02	4.44E-05	4.44E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	7.78E-01	8.99E-05	8.10E-01	1.25E-01	7.87E-03	8.25E-05	5.82E+01	1.16E-01	1.83E+03	2.47E-06	2.47E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	1.19E-01	1.02E-01	6.51E-03	8.25E-05	3.56E+01	7.12E-02	3.75E+02	1.21E-05	1.21E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	1.19E-01	1.02E-01	6.51E-03	8.25E-05	3.56E+01	7.12E-02	3.75E+02	1.21E-05	1.21E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	6.11E-01	1.03E-04	2.81E-01	9.80E-02	6.21E-03	8.25E-05	5.22E+01	1.04E-01	7.64E+02	5.93E-06	5.93E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	6.76E-01	7.54E-05	7.82E-02	1.08E-01	6.92E-03	8.25E-05	4.35E+01	8.70E-02	2.39E+02	1.89E-05	1.89E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	5.41E-01	8.64E-05	4.83E-01	8.68E-02	5.49E-03	8.25E-05	4.59E+01	9.19E-02	1.27E+03	3.56E-06	3.56E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	2.04E-01	1.04E-01	6.58E-03	8.25E-05	3.67E+02	7.35E-01	2.06E+02	2.19E-05	2.19E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	7.45E-01	7.57E-05	3.23E-03	1.20E-01	9.76E-03	8.25E-05	1.48E+01	2.97E-02	1.24E+01	3.65E-04	8.25E-05	1.02E-03
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	4.71E-03	1.04E-01	7.92E-03	8.25E-05	1.05E+01	2.10E-02	1.87E+01	2.42E-04	8.25E-05	1.54E-03
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	8.73E-01	1.01E-04	6.60E-02	1.40E-01	8.97E-03	8.25E-05	1.17E+01	2.34E-02	2.52E+02	1.80E-05	1.80E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	6.13E-01	6.91E-05	7.04E-02	9.84E-02	6.30E-03	8.25E-05	7.77E+02	1.55E+00	3.93E+01	1.15E-04	8.25E-05	3.24E-03
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	6.13E-01	6.83E-05	8.74E-03	9.84E-02	6.95E-03	8.25E-05	9.35E+01	1.87E-01	2.09E+01	2.17E-04	8.25E-05	1.72E-03
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	6.22E-01	7.08E-05	4.90E-01	9.98E-02	6.30E-03	8.25E-05	1.56E+02	3.12E-01	8.17E+02	5.54E-06	5.54E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	7.52E-01	7.43E-05	1.80E-01	1.21E-01	7.64E-03	8.25E-05	1.80E+02	3.61E-01	2.94E+02	1.54E-05	1.54E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	4.97E-01	1.08E-01	6.83E-03	8.25E-05	1.10E+02	2.20E-01	9.75E+02	4.64E-06	4.64E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	2.43E-02	1.08E-01	7.11E-03	8.25E-05	5.03E+01	1.01E-01	7.27E+01	6.23E-05	6.23E-05	4.53E-03
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	6.83E-01	7.86E-05	2.88E-01	1.10E-01	6.93E-03	8.25E-05	1.68E+02	3.35E-01	4.80E+02	9.43E-06	9.43E-06	4.53E-03

Attachment 2: Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Subsurface Soil Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas

			_	_		_	- T							
Chem			D _{air}	D _{water}	H	D _{crack}	D _{eff} '		K _{oc}	K _d	C _{s, vap}			C _{bldg}
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(m²/day)	(m²/day)	(unitless)	(m²/day)	(m²/day)	α∞	(L/kg)	(L/kg)	(kg-soil/m ³)	α_{ML}	α	(kg-soil/m ³)
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	9.16E-01	1.06E-04	9.00E-01	1.47E-01	9.27E-03	8.25E-05	1.85E+01	3.69E-02	2.41E+03	1.88E-06	1.88E-06	4.53E-03
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	6.74E-01	7.56E-05	1.73E-01	1.08E-01	6.85E-03	8.25E-05	3.86E+02	7.71E-01	1.69E+02	2.67E-05	2.67E-05	4.53E-03
					Vadaaa	Deen Vedees								
					vadose (bolow floor to	Deep vadose								
Notos	Soil and Building Characteristics			Crack		of contamin)								
Notes.	SCS Soil texture class			Sand	Silty Clay	Silty Clay								
	Bulk density	ka/l	0.	1.66	City City	1.38								
	Total porosity	1 /1 -soil	θ _T	0.375	0.481	0.481								
	Water-filled porosity		A.	0.054	0.319	0.319								
	Air-filled porosity		e.	0.321	0.010	0.010								
	Organic carbon fraction	unitless	f.	0.021	0.102	0.002								
	Posidual esturation			0.052		0.002								
	Hydraulic conductivity		0r K	7 4E-03										
	Dynamic viscosity of water	a/cm-s	<u>п</u>	0.01307										
	Density of water	g/cm ³	μw	0.01307										
	Gravitational acceleration	cm/s ²	Pw a	980.7										
		cm ²	y k	9 9E-08										
	Relative saturation	unitless	S.	0.004										
	van Genuchten N	unitless	N	1.32										
	van Genuchten M	unitless	M	0.243										
	Relative air permeability	unitless	k _{ra}	0.998										
	Permeability to vapor	cm ²	k.,	9.9E-08										
	Distance from building foundation to		v											
	source	m	L _{T-soil}	0.001										
	Bldg foundation thickness	m	L _{crack}	0.15										
	Bldg foundation length	m		19.29										
	Bldg foundation width	m		19.29										
	Bldg occupied height	m		2.44										
	Bldg occupied volume	m ³		907.93										
	Occupied depth below ground	m		0.0										
	Bldg area for vapor intrusion	m²	A _B	372.1										
	Ratio of A _{crack} to A _B		η	1E-04										
	Area of cracks	m²	Acrack	3.86E-02										
	Air exchange rate	hour ⁻¹	ach	2.0										
	Building ventilation rate	m³/day	Q _{bldg}	4.36E+04										
	Pressure difference between		-											
	outdoors-indoors	kg/m-s ²	ΔP	1.0										
	Viscosity of air	kg/m-s	μ _a	1.8E-05										
	Crack length (bldg perimeter)	m	Xcrack	77.16										
	Crack depth below ground	m	Zcrack	0.15										
	Crack radius	m	r _{crack}	5E-04										
	Soil gas flow rate into bldg	m ³ /day	Q _{soil}	3.60E+00										
	Averaging period	d	Т	9.13E+03										
	Contaminant thickness	m	ΔH	3.5066										

Attachment 2: Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Groundwater Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas D_{eff} Dair C_{blda} \mathbf{D}_{water} Dcrack Chem н (m²/day) (m²/day) (m²/day) (m²/day) Group (unitless) (L-water/m³) Chemical CASRN α_{soil} α∞ α_{slab} 1.07E+00 1.72E-01 VOC 67-64-1 9.85E-05 1.14E-03 1.87E-02 3.55E-01 2.93E-05 3.34E-05 Acetone 8.26E-05 VOC Benzene 71-43-2 7.60E-01 8.47E-05 1.59E-01 1.22E-01 8.00E-04 2.30E-02 8.26E-05 1.90E-06 3.02E-04 VOC Bromodichloromethane 75-27-4 2.57E-01 9.16E-05 4.45E-02 4.13E-02 1.06E-03 3.02E-02 8.26E-05 1.11E-04 2.50E-06 VOC Bromoform 75-25-2 1.29E-01 8.90E-05 1.34E-02 2.07E-02 1.64E-03 4.60E-02 8.26E-05 3.80E-06 5.08E-05 VOC 74-83-9 6.29E-01 7.29E-04 Bromomethane 1.05E-04 2.01E-01 1.01E-01 2.10E-02 8.26E-05 1.74E-06 3.49E-04 VOC 2-Butanone 78-93-3 6.98E-01 8.47E-05 1.96E-03 1.12E-01 1.00E-02 2.28E-01 8.26E-05 1.89E-05 3.70E-05 Carbon Disulfide VOC 75-15-0 8.99E-01 8.64E-05 9.26E-01 2.87E-04 8.39E-03 6.93E-07 6.42E-04 1.44E-01 8.26E-05 VOC Carbon Tetrachloride 56-23-5 6.74E-01 7.60E-05 8.82E-01 1.08E-01 2.47E-04 7.22E-03 5.96E-07 5.26E-04 8.26E-05 VOC Chlorobenzene 108-90-7 6.31E-01 7.52E-05 9.77E-02 1.01E-01 9.16E-04 2.63E-02 8.26E-05 2.17E-06 2.12E-04 VOC Chloroethane 75-00-3 2.34E+00 9.94E-05 3.25E-01 3.76E-01 8.68E-04 2.50E-02 8.26E-05 6.70E-04 2.06E-06 VOC Chloroform 67-66-3 8.99E-01 8.64E-05 1.07E-01 1.09E-03 2.57E-06 1.44E-01 3.11E-02 8.26E-05 2.76E-04 VOC Chloromethane 74-87-3 1.09E+00 5.62E-05 3.33E-01 1.75E-01 4.51E-04 1.31E-02 8.26E-05 1.08E-06 3.61E-04 VOC Dibromochloromethane 124-48-1 1.69E-01 9.07E-05 2.38E-02 2.72E-02 1.26E-03 3.58E-02 8.26E-05 2.96E-06 7.05E-05 VOC 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 6.41E-01 9.07E-05 1.66E-01 1.03E-01 7.58E-04 2.18E-02 8.26E-05 1.80E-06 2.99E-04 VOC 1.2-Dichloroethane 107-06-2 8.99E-01 8.55E-05 2.74E-02 1.44E-01 2.34E-03 6.44E-02 8.26E-05 5.32E-06 1.46E-04 VOC 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 7.78E-01 8.99E-05 8.10E-01 1.25E-01 3.06E-04 8.93E-03 8.26E-05 7.37E-07 5.97E-04 VOC 1,2-Dichloroethene (total) 540-59-0 6.36E-01 9.76E-05 1.19E-01 1.02E-01 9.55E-04 8.26E-05 2.26E-06 2.68E-04 2.74E-02 VOC cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 6.36E-01 9.76E-05 1.19E-01 1.02E-01 9.55E-04 2.74E-02 8.26E-05 2.26E-06 2.68E-04 VOC trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 6.11E-01 1.03E-04 2.81E-01 9.80E-02 5.85E-04 1.70E-02 8.26E-05 1.40E-06 3.94E-04 VOC 1,2-Dichloropropane 78-87-5 6.76E-01 7.54E-05 7.82E-02 1.08E-01 1.07E-03 3.06E-02 8.26E-05 2.53E-06 1.98E-04 4.21E-04 VOC 1,3-Dichloropropene (total) 542-75-6 5.41E-01 8.64E-05 4.83E-01 8.68E-02 3.62E-04 1.06E-02 8.26E-05 8.72E-07 VOC Ethyl Benzene 100-41-4 6.48E-01 6.74E-05 2.04E-01 1.04E-01 5.64E-04 1.63E-02 8.26E-05 1.35E-06 2.76E-04 VOC 2-Hexanone 591-78-6 7.45E-01 7.57E-05 3.23E-03 6.82E-03 1.38E-05 4.47E-05 1.20E-01 1.67E-01 8.26E-05 VOC 4-Methyl-2-pentanone 6.48E-01 6.74E-05 4.71E-03 1.04E-01 4.77E-03 1.23E-01 8.26E-05 1.02E-05 4.80E-05 108-10-1 VOC Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 8.73E-01 1.01E-04 6.60E-02 1.40E-01 1.56E-03 4.39E-02 8.26E-05 3.62E-06 2.39E-04 VOC 100-42-5 6.13E-01 6.91E-05 9.84E-02 2.97E-02 1.73E-04 Styrene 7.04E-02 1.04E-03 8.26E-05 2.45E-06 VOC 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 79-34-5 6.13E-01 6.83E-05 8.74E-03 3.27E-03 9.84E-02 8.79E-02 8.26E-05 7.26E-06 6.35E-05 VOC Tetrachloroethene 127-18-4 6.22E-01 4.90E-01 3.34E-04 9.74E-03 7.08E-05 9.98E-02 8.26E-05 8.04E-07 3.95E-04 VOC Toluene 108-88-3 7.52E-01 7.43E-05 1.80E-01 1.21E-01 6.83E-04 1.97E-02 8.26E-05 1.63E-06 2.94E-04 VOC 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 6.74E-01 7.60E-05 4.97E-01 1.08E-01 3.56E-04 1.04E-02 8.26E-05 8.58E-07 4.27E-04 VOC 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 79-00-5 6.74E-01 7.60E-05 2.43E-02 1.08E-01 2.06E-03 5.71E-02 8.26E-05 4.72E-06 1.15E-04 VOC Trichloroethene 79-01-6 6.83E-01 7.86E-05 2.88E-01 1.10E-01 5.13E-04 1.49E-02 8.26E-05 1.23E-06 3.54E-04 VOC Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 9.16E-01 1.06E-04 9.00E-01 1.47E-01 3.37E-04 9.84E-03 8.26E-05 8.12E-07 7.31E-04

Attachment 2: Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Groundwater Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas $\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{T}}$ \mathbf{D}_{air} \mathbf{D}_{water} C_{blda} Dcrack н Chem (m²/day) (m²/day) (m²/day) (m²/day) Group (unitless) (L-water/m³) CASRN Chemical α_{soil} α_{slab} α∞ VOC Xylenes (total) 1330-20-7 6.74E-01 7.56E-05 1.73E-01 1.08E-01 6.77E-04 1.95E-02 8.26E-05 1.61E-06 2.80E-04 **Crack Soil and Building Characteristics** Crack Soil Notes: SCS Soil texture class Sand Bulk density kg/L 1.66 ρ_{b} L/L-soil Total porosity θτ 0.375 0.054 Water-filled porosity L/L-soil θ., Air-filled porosity L/L-soil 0.321 θ, Residual saturation L/L-soil θr 0.053 Hydraulic conductivity κ 7.4E-03 cm/s Dynamic viscosity of water q/cm-s 0.01307 μ_w Density of water g/cm[°] 1.0 ρ_w Gravitational acceleration cm/s² 980.7 g Intrinsic permeability 9.9E-08 cm² k Relative saturation unitless S 0.004 van Genuchten N Ν 1.321 unitless van Genuchten M 0.243 unitless М unitless Relative air permeability k_{ra} 0.998 Permeability to vapor cm² 9.89E-08 k, Distance from building foundation 3.51 L_{T-aw} m Bldg foundation thickness 0.15 Lcrack m Bldg foundation length 19.29 m Bldg foundation width 19.29 m Bldg occupied height 2.44 m Bldg occupied volume m^3 907.93 Occupied depth below ground 0.0 m Bldg area for vapor intrusion m AB 372.1 Ratio of A_{crack} to A_B η 1E-04 Area of cracks m 4E-02 Acrack Air exchange rate hour⁻¹ ach 2.00 Building ventilation rate m°/day Q_{bldg} 4.36E+04 Pressure difference between ka/m-s² ΔP 1.0 Viscosity of air kg/m-s 1.8E-05 μ_a Crack length (bldg perimeter) m Xcrack 77.16 Crack depth below ground m Zcrack 0.15 Crack radius 5E-04 m r_{crack} Soil gas flow rate into bldg m°/day Q_{soil} 3.60

A	Attachment 2: Cancer Risk and	Hazard Inde	ex Calc	ulations f	for On-Site	Routine W	orkers due	e to Soil Va	por Intrusi	on
		into a C	Comm/I	nd Buildi	ing (Slab-o	n-Grade)				
		W	hirlpoo	ol, Fort Sr	nith, Arkan	sas				
					_	-	Car	ncer	Nonca	ncer
Chem	Chemical	CASRN	Carc	C _{soil}	C _{bldg}	C _{air}	URF	Risk	RfC	но
Group	Onennear	OAGAN	Class	(mg/kg)	(kg-soil/m ³)	(mg/m ³)	(m ³ /mg)	Nisk	(mg/m ³)	Πœ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID		4.05E-04				3.1E+01	
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А		4.53E-03		7.8E-03		3.0E-02	
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2		4.53E-03					
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2		2.70E-03		1.1E-03			
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID		4.53E-03				5.0E-03	
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID		6.89E-04				5.0E+00	
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0			4.53E-03				7.0E-01	
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC		4.53E-03		6.0E-03		1.0E-01	
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D		4.53E-03				5.0E-02	
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC		4.53E-03				1.0E+01	
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2		4.53E-03		2.3E-02		5.0E-02	
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D		4.53E-03				9.0E-02	
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С		4.53E-03					
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC		4.53E-03				5.0E-01	
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2		4.53E-03		2.6E-02		7.0E-03	
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С		4.53E-03				2.0E-01	
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	4.53E-03	5.43E-05				
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	4.53E-03	5.43E-05				
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID		4.53E-03					
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2		4.53E-03				4.0E-03	
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2		4.53E-03		4.0E-03		2.0E-02	
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D		4.53E-03				1.0E+00	
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID		1.02E-03				3.0E-02	
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID		1.54E-03				3.0E+00	
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	4.53E-03	3.17E-05	1.0E-05	2.6E-11	6.0E-01	1.2E-05
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5			3.24E-03				1.0E+00	
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC		1.72E-03					
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC		4.53E-03		2.6E-04		4.0E-02	
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID		4.53E-03				5.0E+00	
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID		4.53E-03				5.0E+00	
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С		4.53E-03		1.6E-02		2.0E-04	
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	4.53E-03	8.42E-04	4.1E-03	2.8E-07	2.0E-03	9.6E-02
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	Α		4.53E-03		4.4E-03		1.0E-01	
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID		4.53E-03				1.0E-01	
						Cumu	lative Risk:	3E-07	HI:	1E-01

Atta	chment 2: Cancer Risk and	Hazard Inde	x Calc	ulations f	or On-Site	e Routine	Workers (due to Gro	oundwater	Vapor
		Wł	nirlpoo	I. Fort Sn	nith. Arka	nsas	uuej			
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Car	ncer	Nonc	ancer
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Carc Class	C _{gw} (mg/L)	С _{ындд} (L- water/m ³)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1.99E-01	3.34E-05	6.65E-06			3.1E+01	4.9E-08
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	Α	6.53E-02	3.02E-04	1.97E-05	7.8E-03	1.3E-08	3.0E-02	1.5E-04
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	6.79E-02	1.11E-04	7.55E-06				
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	7.67E-02	5.08E-05	3.89E-06	1.1E-03	3.5E-10		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	6.13E-02	3.49E-04	2.14E-05			5.0E-03	9.8E-04
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1.06E-01	3.70E-05	3.92E-06			5.0E+00	1.8E-07
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		6.52E-02	6.42E-04	4.18E-05			7.0E-01	1.4E-05
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	6.69E-02	5.26E-04	3.52E-05	6.0E-03	1.7E-08	1.0E-01	8.0E-05
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	6.86E-02	2.12E-04	1.45E-05			5.0E-02	6.6E-05
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	7.23E-02	6.70E-04	4.85E-05			1.0E+01	1.1E-06
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	6.64E-02	2.76E-04	1.84E-05	2.3E-02	3.4E-08	5.0E-02	8.4E-05
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	9.00E-02	3.61E-04	3.25E-05			9.0E-02	8.2E-05
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С	7.13E-02	7.05E-05	5.03E-06				
VOC	1.1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	6.59E-02	2.99E-04	1.97E-05			5.0E-01	9.0E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	6.61E-02	1.46E-04	9.65E-06	2.6E-02	2.0E-08	7.0E-03	3.1E-04
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	2.50E-01	5.97E-04	1.49E-04			2.0E-01	1.7E-04
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.00E+01	2.68E-04	2.68E-03				
VOC	cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.00E+01	2.68E-04	2.68E-03				
VOC	trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID	5.99E-02	3.94E-04	2.36E-05				
VOC	1.2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2	6.52E-02	1.98E-04	1.29E-05			4.0E-03	7.4E-04
VOC	1.3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1.42E-01	4.21E-04	5.99E-05	4.0E-03	2.0E-08	2.0E-02	6.8E-04
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D	6.89E-02	2.76E-04	1.90E-05			1.0E+00	4.3E-06
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1.20E-01	4.47E-05	5.36E-06			3.0E-02	4.1E-05
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	1.20E-01	4.80E-05	5.75E-06			3.0E+00	4.4E-07
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	3.10E-01	2.39E-04	7.41E-05	1.0E-05	6.0E-11	6.0E-01	2.8E-05
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5		6.83E-02	1.73E-04	1.18E-05			1.0E+00	2.7E-06
VOC	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC	6.40E-02	6.35E-05	4.06E-06				
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC	7.67E-02	3.95E-04	3.03E-05	2.6E-04	6.4E-10	4.0E-02	1.7E-04
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID	6.54E-02	2.94E-04	1.92E-05			5.0E+00	8.8E-07
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID	1.10E-01	4.27E-04	4.69E-05			5.0E+00	2.1E-06
VOC	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	C	6.59E-02	1.15E-04	7.56E-06	1.6E-02	9.9E-09	2.0E-04	8.6E-03
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	8.10F+01	3.54F-04	2.87F-02	4.1E-03	9.6E-06	2.0F-03	3.3E+00
VOC	Vinvl Chloride	75-01-4	A	2.50F+00	7.31F-04	1.83F-03	4.4F-03	6.6E-07	1.0F-01	4.2F-03
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7		1.99F-01	2.80F-04	5.58F-05	00	0.02 01	1.0F-01	1.3F-04
						5.002.00				
						Cumu	ative Risk:	1E-05	HI:	3E+00

	Attachment 2: Vapor Intrusion Contribution to Occupational Inhalation Exposure Limits Calculations for On-Site Routine Workers Exposure in a Comm/Ind Building (Slab-on-Grade) Whirlpool Fort Smith Arkansas													
		0	n-Site F	Routine Wo	rkers Expos	sure in a C	Comm/Ind Bu	ilding (Slat	o-on-Grade	e)				
					Whirlpool	, Fort Smi	ith, Arkansas	i						
					Soil Indo	or Air Vapo	r Inhalation			Groundwater I	ndoor Air \	/apor Inhalatio	on 🛛	
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Carc Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	С_{ынд} (kg-soil/m ³)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	Occupational Inhalation Limit	Ratio of Conc To Occ Limits	C_{gw} (mg/l)	С_{ыdg} (L-water/m ³)	C_{air} (mg/m ³)	Occupational Inhalation Limit	Ratio of Conc To Occ Limits	
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	П		4.05E-04		2 4F±03		1 99E-01	3 34E-05	6.65E-06	2 4 E±03	2.8E-09	
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A		4.53E-03		3.2E+00		6.53E-02	3.02E-04	1 97E-05	3.2E+00	6.2E-06	
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2		4.53E-03		0.22100		6 79E-02	1 11F-04	7.55E-06	0.22100	0.22 00	
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2		2 70E-03		5.0E+00		7.67E-02	5.08E-05	3.89E-06	5.0E+00	7.8F-07	
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID		4.53E-03		3.9E+00		6 13E-02	3 49E-04	2 14E-05	3.9E+00	5.5E-06	
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID		6.89E-04		5.9E+02		1.06E-01	3.70E-05	3.92E-06	5.9E+02	6.7E-09	
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0			4.53E-03		6.2E+01		6.52E-02	6.42E-04	4.18E-05	6.2E+01	6.7E-07	
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC		4.53E-03		6.3E+01		6.69E-02	5.26E-04	3.52E-05	6.3E+01	5.6E-07	
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D		4.53E-03		3.5E+02		6.86E-02	2.12E-04	1.45E-05	3.5E+02	4.2E-08	
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC		4.53E-03		2.6E+03		7.23E-02	6.70E-04	4.85E-05	2.6E+03	1.9E-08	
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2		4.53E-03		4.9E+01		6.64E-02	2.76E-04	1.84E-05	4.9E+01	3.8E-07	
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D		4.53E-03		2.1E+02		9.00E-02	3.61E-04	3.25E-05	2.1E+02	1.6E-07	
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С		4.53E-03				7.13E-02	7.05E-05	5.03E-06			
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC		4.53E-03		4.0E+02		6.59E-02	2.99E-04	1.97E-05	4.0E+02	4.9E-08	
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2		4.53E-03		2.0E+02		6.61E-02	1.46E-04	9.65E-06	2.0E+02	4.8E-08	
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С		4.53E-03		2.0E+01		2.50E-01	5.97E-04	1.49E-04	2.0E+01	7.5E-06	
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	4.53E-03	5.43E-05	7.9E+02	6.9E-08	1.00E+01	2.68E-04	2.68E-03	7.9E+02	3.4E-06	
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	4.53E-03	5.43E-05	7.9E+02	6.9E-08	1.00E+01	2.68E-04	2.68E-03	7.9E+02	3.4E-06	
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID		4.53E-03		7.9E+02		5.99E-02	3.94E-04	2.36E-05	7.9E+02	3.0E-08	
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2		4.53E-03		3.5E+02		6.52E-02	1.98E-04	1.29E-05	3.5E+02	3.7E-08	
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2		4.53E-03		4.5E+00		1.42E-01	4.21E-04	5.99E-05	4.5E+00	1.3E-05	
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D		4.53E-03		4.4E+02		6.89E-02	2.76E-04	1.90E-05	4.4E+02	4.4E-08	
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID		1.02E-03		4.1E+02		1.20E-01	4.47E-05	5.36E-06	4.1E+02	1.3E-08	
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID		1.54E-03		4.1E+02		1.20E-01	4.80E-05	5.75E-06	4.1E+02	1.4E-08	
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	4.53E-03	3.17E-05	8.7E+01	3.6E-07	3.10E-01	2.39E-04	7.41E-05	8.7E+01	8.5E-07	
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5			3.24E-03		4.3E+02		6.83E-02	1.73E-04	1.18E-05	4.3E+02	2.8E-08	
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC		1.72E-03		3.5E+01		6.40E-02	6.35E-05	4.06E-06	3.5E+01	1.2E-07	
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC		4.53E-03		6.8E+02		7.67E-02	3.95E-04	3.03E-05	6.8E+02	4.5E-08	
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID		4.53E-03		7.5E+02		6.54E-02	2.94E-04	1.92E-05	7.5E+02	2.6E-08	
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID		4.53E-03		1.9E+03		1.10E-01	4.27E-04	4.69E-05	1.9E+03	2.5E-08	
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С		4.53E-03		4.5E+01		6.59E-02	1.15E-04	7.56E-06	4.5E+01	1.7E-07	
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	4.53E-03	8.42E-04	5.4E+02	1.6E-06	8.10E+01	3.54E-04	2.87E-02	5.4E+02	5.3E-05	
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A		4.53E-03		2.6E+00		2.50E+00	7.31E-04	1.83E-03	2.6E+00	7.2E-04	
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID		4.53E-03		4.4E+02		1.99E-01	2.80E-04	5.58E-05	4.4E+02	1.3E-07	
			Sum of F	Ratios:				2E-06					8E-04	

Atta	chment 2: Cancer Risk and I	Hazard Inde	x Calc o a Co	ulations f mm/Ind E	for Off-Site Building (S	e Routine Slab-on-Gr	Workers (ade)	due to Gro	oundwater	Vapor
		W	niripoo	I, Fort Sn	nith, Arka	nsas	•	1	N	
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Carc Class	C _{gw} (mg/L)	С _{ыldg} (L- water/m ³)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	8.50E-02	3.34E-05	2.84E-06			3.1E+01	2.1E-08
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	Α		3.02E-04		7.8E-03		3.0E-02	
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2		1.11E-04					
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	2.40E-02	5.08E-05	1.22E-06	1.1E-03	1.1E-10		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID		3.49E-04				5.0E-03	
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	9.50E-02	3.70E-05	3.52E-06			5.0E+00	1.6E-07
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0			6.42E-04				7.0E-01	
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC		5.26E-04		6.0E-03		1.0E-01	
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D		2.12E-04				5.0E-02	
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC		6.70E-04				1.0E+01	
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2		2.76E-04		2.3E-02		5.0E-02	
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D		3.61E-04				9.0E-02	
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С		7.05E-05					
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC		2.99E-04				5.0E-01	
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	3.00E-03	1.46E-04	4.38E-07	2.6E-02	9.3E-10	7.0E-03	1.4E-05
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	4.20E-03	5.97E-04	2.51E-06			2.0E-01	2.9E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		4.10E-02	2.68E-04	1.10E-05				
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	4.10E-02	2.68E-04	1.10E-05				
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID		3.94E-04					
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2		1.98E-04				4.0E-03	
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2		4.21E-04		4.0E-03		2.0E-02	
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D		2.76E-04				1.0E+00	
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID		4.47E-05				3.0E-02	
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	4.00E-03	4.80E-05	1.92E-07			3.0E+00	1.5E-08
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC		2.39E-04		1.0E-05		6.0E-01	
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5			1.73E-04				1.0E+00	
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC		6.35E-05					
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC		3.95E-04		2.6E-04		4.0E-02	
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID		2.94E-04				5.0E+00	
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID		4.27E-04				5.0E+00	
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С		1.15E-04		1.6E-02		2.0E-04	
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.60E+00	3.54E-04	5.67E-04	4.1E-03	1.9E-07	2.0E-03	6.5E-02
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	Α	3.00E-03	7.31E-04	2.19E-06	4.4E-03	7.9E-10	1.0E-01	5.0E-06
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID		2.80E-04				1.0E-01	
						Cumul	ative Risk:	2E-07	HI:	6E-02

Attachment 2: Vapor Flux to Outdoor Air from Groundwater													
Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas													
Chem			Н	\mathbf{D}_{eff}^{T}	J	C _{air}							
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(unitless)	(m²/day)	(L/m ² -s)	(L/m ³)							
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	1.14E-03	1.87E-02	6.75E-08	1.78E-06							
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	1.59E-01	8.31E-04	4.18E-07	1.10E-05							
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	4.45E-02	1.09E-03	1.53E-07	4.03E-06							
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	1.34E-02	1.65E-03	6.97E-08	1.84E-06							
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	2.01E-01	7.57E-04	4.81E-07	1.27E-05							
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	1.96E-03	1.01E-02	6.27E-08	1.65E-06							
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	9.26E-01	2.99E-04	8.76E-07	2.31E-05							
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	8.82E-01	2.57E-04	7.17E-07	1.89E-05							
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	9.77E-02	9.49E-04	2.93E-07	7.73E-06							
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	3.25E-01	9.04E-04	9.30E-07	2.45E-05							
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	1.07E-01	1.13E-03	3.85E-07	1.01E-05							
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	3.33E-01	4.70E-04	4.95E-07	1.30E-05							
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	2.38E-02	1.28E-03	9.66E-08	2.55E-06							
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	1.66E-01	7.86E-04	4.12E-07	1.09E-05							
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	2.74E-02	2.41E-03	2.09E-07	5.52E-06							
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	8.10E-01	3.18E-04	8.15E-07	2.15E-05							
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	1.19E-01	9.89E-04	3.71E-07	9.79E-06							
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.19E-01	9.89E-04	3.71E-07	9.79E-06							
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.81E-01	6.08E-04	5.41E-07	1.43E-05							
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	7.82E-02	1.11E-03	2.75E-07	7.25E-06							
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	4.83E-01	3.76E-04	5.75E-07	1.52E-05							
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	2.04E-01	5.86E-04	3.78E-07	9.98E-06							
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	3.23E-03	6.91E-03	7.07E-08	1.86E-06							
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	4.71E-03	4.85E-03	7.23E-08	1.91E-06							
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	6.60E-02	1.61E-03	3.36E-07	8.87E-06							
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	7.04E-02	1.08E-03	2.40E-07	6.32E-06							
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	8.74E-03	3.35E-03	9.26E-08	2.44E-06							
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	4.90E-01	3.47E-04	5.39E-07	1.42E-05							
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	1.80E-01	7.10E-04	4.05E-07	1.07E-05							
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	4.97E-01	3.71E-04	5.83E-07	1.54E-05							
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	2.43E-02	2.12E-03	1.63E-07	4.29E-06							
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.88E-01	5.33E-04	4.86E-07	1.28E-05							
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	9.00E-01	3.51E-04	9.99E-07	2.63E-05							
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	1.73E-01	7.02E-04	3.85E-07	1.02E-05							
	Parameters												
	Depth to groundwater	m	DTW	3.66									
		(kg/m ³) /											
	Dispersion coefficient	(kg/m²/s)	C/Q	26.4									

Attachment 2: Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Routine Workers to Groundwater-derived Vapors in Outdoor Air												
Whirlood Fort Smith Arkansas												
			Ca	incer	Noncancer							
Chem			Carc	C _{GW}	C _{air}	UR	F		RfC			
Group	Chemical	CASRN	Class	(ma/L)	(mq/m^3)	(m ³ /n	na)	Risk	(mq/m^3)	HQ		
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1.99E-01	3.54E-07	(,			3.1E+01	2.6E-09		
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	Α	6.53E-02	7.19E-07	7.8E	-03	4.6E-10	3.0E-02	5.5E-06		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	6.79E-02	2.74E-07							
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	7.67E-02	1.41E-07	1.1E	-03	1.3E-11				
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	6.13E-02	7.78E-07				5.0E-03	3.6E-05		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1.06E-01	1.75E-07				5.0E+00	8.0E-09		
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		6.52E-02	1.51E-06				7.0E-01	4.9E-07		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	6.69E-02	1.26E-06	6.0E	-03	6.2E-10	1.0E-01	2.9E-06		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	6.86E-02	5.30E-07				5.0E-02	2.4E-06		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	7.23E-02	1.77E-06				1.0E+01	4.1E-08		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	6.64E-02	6.74E-07	2.3E	-02	1.3E-09	5.0E-02	3.1E-06		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	9.00E-02	1.17E-06				9.0E-02	3.0E-06		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С	7.13E-02	1.82E-07							
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	6.59E-02	7.16E-07				5.0E-01	3.3E-07		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	6.61E-02	3.65E-07	2.6E	-02	7.7E-10	7.0E-03	1.2E-05		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	2.50E-01	5.37E-06				2.0E-01	6.1E-06		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.00E+01	9.79E-05							
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.00E+01	9.79E-05							
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID	5.99E-02	8.55E-07							
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2	6.52E-02	4.73E-07				4.0E-03	2.7E-05		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1.42E-01	2.16E-06	4.0E	-03	7.0E-10	2.0E-02	2.5E-05		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D	6.89E-02	6.88E-07				1.0E+00	1.6E-07		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1.20E-01	2.24E-07				3.0E-02	1.7E-06		
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	1.20E-01	2.29E-07				3.0E+00	1.7E-08		
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	3.10E-01	2.75E-06	1.0E	-05	2.2E-12	6.0E-01	1.0E-06		
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5		6.83E-02	4.31E-07				1.0E+00	9.9E-08		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC	6.40E-02	1.56E-07							
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC	7.67E-02	1.09E-06	2.6E	-04	2.3E-11	4.0E-02	6.2E-06		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID	6.54E-02	6.99E-07				5.0E+00	3.2E-08		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID	1.10E-01	1.69E-06				5.0E+00	7.7E-08		
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С	6.59E-02	2.83E-07	1.6E	-02	3.7E-10	2.0E-04	3.2E-04		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	8.10E+01	1.04E-03	4.1E	-03	3.5E-07	2.0E-03	1.2E-01		
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	А	2.50E+00	6.59E-05	4.4E	-03	2.4E-08	1.0E-01	1.5E-04		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID	1.99E-01	2.02E-06				1.0E-01	4.6E-06		
								45.07		45.04		
Nata					Cun	nulative R	ISK:	4E-07	HI:	1E-01		
NOte:												
The dispersion coefficient to outdoor air (C/Q) is 11.6 (kg/m3) / (kg/m2/s).												

Attachment 2: Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of Off-Site Routine Workers to												
Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas												
				Ca	ncer	Noncancer						
Chem			Carc	C _{GW}	C _{air}	URF		RfC				
Group	Chemical	CASRN	Class	(ma/L)	(mq/m^3)	(m ³ /ma)	Risk	$(m\alpha/m^3)$				
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	8.50E-02	1.51E-07	(,		3.1E+01	1.1E-09			
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A			7.8E-03		3.0E-02				
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2									
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	2.40E-02	4.41E-08	1.1E-03	4.0E-12					
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID				_	5.0E-03				
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	9.50E-02	1.57E-07			5.0E+00	7.2E-09			
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0						7.0E-01				
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			6.0E-03		1.0E-01				
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D					5.0E-02				
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC					1.0E+01				
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			2.3E-02		5.0E-02				
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D					9.0E-02				
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	C									
VOC	1.1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC					5.0E-01				
VOC	1.2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	3.00E-03	1.66E-08	2.6E-02	3.5E-11	7.0E-03	5.4E-07			
VOC	1.1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	<u>C</u>	4.20E-03	9.03E-08		0.02	2.0E-01	1.0E-07			
VOC	1.2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		4.10E-02	4.01E-07							
VOC	cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	4.10E-02	4.01E-07							
VOC	trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID									
VOC	1.2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2					4.0E-03				
VOC	1 3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			4 0E-03		2 0F-02				
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	 D					1.0E+00				
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6						3 0F-02				
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	4 00E-03	7.63E-09			3 0E+00	5 8E-10			
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2			1.002.00	1 0E-05		6.0E-01	0.02.10			
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5				1.02 00		1 0E+00				
VOC	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	IC					1102100				
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4				2 6E-04		4 0E-02				
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3				2.02 01		5 0E+00				
VOC	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6						5 0E+00				
VOC	1 1 2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	<u>С</u>			1.6E-02		2 0F-04				
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	НС	1.60E+00	2 05E-05	4 1E-03	6.9E-09	2.0E-03	2.3E-03			
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A	3.00E-03	7.90E-08	4 4E-03	2 8F-11	1 0F-01	1.8E-07			
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7		0.002 00	7.002.00	1. TE 00	2.02 11	1 0F-01	1.02 07			
		1000 20 7	.U					1.02 01				
					Cun	Cumulative Risk:		HI:	2E-03			
Note:												
The dispersion coefficient to outdoor air (C/Q) is 11.6 (kg/m3) / (kg/m2/s).												

Attachment 3

Maintenance Worker Risk Calculations

Contents:

- Vapor Flux from Soil to Outdoor Air
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Maintenance Workers to Soil
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Maintenance Workers to Soil
- Nonsteady State Dermal Absorption from Water
- Normalized Vapor Flux to Outdoor Air from Exposed Groundwater in Excavations
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Maintenance Workers to Groundwater in Excavations
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Maintenance Workers to Groundwater in Excavations
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of Off-Site Maintenance Workers to Groundwater in Excavations
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of Off-Site Maintenance Workers to Groundwater in Excavations

Attachment 3: Vapor Flux from Soil to Outdoor Air																	
	Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas																
Chem			Koc	н	Dair	D _{water}	R	D _G	DL	D _E	Infinite J _v	Finite depth	Finite depth Z2	Finite J_v	J_v		
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(L/ka)	(unitless)	(m ² /d)	(m ² /d)	(unitless)	(m ² /d)	(m ² /d)	(m²/d)	(ka/m ² -s)	Z1 ERFC term	ERFC term	(ka/m ² -s)	(ka/m ² -s)		
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	5.81F-01	1.14E-03	1.07E+00	9.85E-05	3.17E-01	1.15E-02	9.10E-06	7.01E-05	2.50E-06	0.00F+00	1.17E-06	2.50E-06	2.50E-06		
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	5.82E+01	1.59E-01	7.60E-01	8.47E-05	5.02E-01	8.19E-03	7.82E-06	2.61E-03	1.52E-05	0.00E+00	1.47E+00	1.09E-05	1.09E-05		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	5.51E+01	4.45E-02	2.57E-01	9.16E-05	4.75E-01	2.77E-03	8.46E-06	2.78E-04	4.97E-06	0.00E+00	3.74E-02	4.95E-06	4.95E-06		
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	8.70E+01	1.34E-02	1.29E-01	8.90E-05	5.58E-01	1.39E-03	8.22E-06	4.80E-05	2.07E-06	0.00E+00	2.32E-09	2.07E-06	2.07E-06		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	1.05E+01	2.01E-01	6.29E-01	1.05E-04	3.78E-01	6.78E-03	9.65E-06	3.63E-03	1.80E-05	0.00E+00	1.75E+00	1.17E-05	1.17E-05		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	2.00E+00	1.96E-03	6.98E-01	8.47E-05	3.21E-01	7.52E-03	7.82E-06	7.03E-05	2.50E-06	0.00E+00	1.20E-06	2.50E-06	2.50E-06		
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	4.59E+01	9.26E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	5.96E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	1.51E-02	3.66E-05	0.00E+00	2.66E+00	1.38E-05	1.38E-05		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	1.74E+02	8.82E-01	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	9.41E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	6.81E-03	2.46E-05	0.00E+00	2.21E+00	1.28E-05	1.28E-05		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	2.20E+02	9.77E-02	6.31E-01	7.52E-05	9.40E-01	6.79E-03	6.94E-06	7.13E-04	7.97E-06	0.00E+00	3.99E-01	7.51E-06	7.51E-06		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	1.62E+01	3.25E-01	2.34E+00	9.94E-05	4.14E-01	2.52E-02	9.18E-06	1.98E-02	4.20E-05	0.00E+00	2.78E+00	1.41E-05	1.41E-05		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	3.97E+01	1.07E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	4.43E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	2.37E-03	1.45E-05	0.00E+00	1.39E+00	1.07E-05	1.07E-05		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	3.97E+01	3.33E-01	1.09E+00	5.62E-05	4.80E-01	1.17E-02	5.19E-06	8.14E-03	2.69E-05	0.00E+00	2.32E+00	1.30E-05	1.30E-05		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	6.26E+01	2.38E-02	1.69E-01	9.07E-05	4.92E-01	1.82E-03	8.38E-06	1.05E-04	3.06E-06	0.00E+00	1.11E-04	3.06E-06	3.06E-06		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	3.13E+01	1.66E-01	6.41E-01	9.07E-05	4.29E-01	6.91E-03	8.38E-06	2.68E-03	1.55E-05	0.00E+00	1.49E+00	1.10E-05	1.10E-05		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	1.75E+01	2.74E-02	8.99E-01	8.55E-05	3.68E-01	9.68E-03	7.90E-06	7.42E-04	8.13E-06	0.00E+00	4.25E-01	7.62E-06	7.62E-06		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	5.82E+01	8.10E-01	7.78E-01	8.99E-05	6.10E-01	8.38E-03	8.30E-06	1.11E-02	3.15E-05	0.00E+00	2.51E+00	1.34E-05	1.34E-05		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	3.56E+01	1.19E-01	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	4.33E-01	6.85E-03	9.02E-06	1.90E-03	1.30E-05	0.00E+00	1.19E+00	1.02E-05	1.02E-05		
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.56E+01	1.19E-01	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	4.33E-01	6.85E-03	9.02E-06	1.90E-03	1.30E-05	0.00E+00	1.19E+00	1.02E-05	1.02E-05		
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	5.22E+01	2.81E-01	6.11E-01	1.03E-04	5.06E-01	6.58E-03	9.49E-06	3.68E-03	1.81E-05	0.00E+00	1.76E+00	1.17E-05	1.17E-05		
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	/8-87-5	4.35E+01	7.82E-02	6.76E-01	7.54E-05	4.48E-01	7.28E-03	6.97E-06	1.28E-03	1.07E-05	0.00E+00	8.50E-01	9.17E-06	9.17E-06		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	4.59E+01	4.83E-01	5.41E-01	8.64E-05	5.22E-01	5.83E-03	7.98E-06	5.40E-03	2.19E-05	0.00E+00	2.05E+00	1.24E-05	1.24E-05		
VOC	Etnyl Benzene	100-41-4	3.67E+02	2.04E-01	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	1.36E+00	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.05E-03	9.67E-06	0.00E+00	6.82E-01	8.61E-06	8.61E-06		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	1.48E+01	3.23E-03	7.45E-01	7.57E-05	3.57E-01	8.02E-03	6.99E-06	9.23E-05	2.87E-06	0.00E+00	3.05E-05	2.87E-06	2.87E-06		
VOC	4-Methylana Chlorida	108-10-1	1.05E+01	4.71E-03	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	3.45E-01	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.13E-04	3.18E-06	0.00E+00	2.12E-04	3.18E-06	3.18E-06		
VOC	Sturopo	100 42 5	1.17E+01	0.00E-02	6.13E-01	1.01E-04	3.59E-01	9.40E-03	9.332-00	1.76E-03	1.25E-05	0.00E+00	7.10E.02	9.99E-06	9.99E-06		
VOC	Stylene	100-42-5	0.25E+01	7.04E-02	6.13E-01	6.91E-05	2.47E+00	6.61E-03	6.30E-00	1.91E-04	4.12E-06	0.00E+00	7.10E-03	4.12E-06	4.12E-06		
VOC	Tetrachloroethone	19-34-3	9.35E+01	0.74E-03	6.13E-01	0.03E-05	5.75E-01	6.70E-03	6.54E-06	1.11E-04	3.15E-06	0.00E+00	1.03E-04	3.15E-06	3.15E-06		
VOC	Toluono	108-88-3	1.30E+02	4.90E-01	0.22E-01	7.08E-05	8.43E-01	0.70E-03	6.86E-06	3.98E-03	1.00E-05	0.00E+00	1.02E+00	1.18E-05	0.07E-06		
VOC	1 1 1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	1.00L+02	1.00E-01	6.74E-01	7.43L-05	7.02E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-00	5 15E-03	2 14E-05	0.00E+00	2.01E+00	9.97 E-00	1.23E-05		
VOC	1 1 2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	5.03E+01	2.43E-02	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	4 58E-01	7.20E-03	7.02E-00	4 00E-04	5.97E-06	0.00E+00	1 18E-01	5.88E-06	5.88E-06		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.68E+02	2.40E 02	6.83E-01	7.86E-05	8 26E-01	7.20E 00	7.02E 00	2.57E-03	1.51E-05	0.00E+00	1.10E 01	1.09E-05	1.09E-05		
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.85E+01	9.00E-01	9 16E-01	1.06E-04	5 15E-01	9.87E-03	9.81E-06	1 72E-02	3.92E-05	0.00E+00	2 72E+00	1.39E-05	1.39E-05		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	3.86E+02	1.73E-01	6.74E-01	7.56E-05	1.41E+00	7.26E-03	6.98E-06	8.97E-04	8.94E-06	0.00E+00	5.60E-01	8.17E-06	8.17E-06		
Notes:	Soil bulk density	kg/L	ρь	1.38													
	Soil porosity	L/L-soil	θ	0.48													
	Soil water content	L/L-soil	θ _w	0.32													
	Soil air-filled porosity	L/L-soil	θa	0.17													
	Soil organic carbon fraction	unitless	f _{oc}	0.002													
	Averaging period (Exposure Duration)	year	Т	25													
		days	T	9125													
		S	T	7.9E+08													
		L-mmHg/mole-															
	Molar Gas Constant	°K	R	62.411													
	Temperature	°C	Temp	16.7													
		К	Temp	289.7													
	Clean soil above source	m	Z ₁	0.00													
	Bottom of source depth	m	Ζ ₂	3.66													
			At	tachment	3: Cancer F	Risk Calcı W	ulations fo	or Expos Fort Smi	ure of On-Site Mai th. Arkansas	intenance	e Workers	to Soil					
---------------	---	----------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	-------------------	---	---------------	----------------------	--	--------------	--	-----------------------------	---------------	--	-----------------------------	----------	------------
					Sc	il Ingestion			Soil Dermal Contact		Soil	Vapor Inh	alation	Soil Pa	rticulate Ir	halation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{oral} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	ABS _{derm}	LADD SF _{derm} (mg/kg/d) (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	Risk
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID														
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A			5.5E-02			5.5E-02			7.8E-03			7.8E-03		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			6.2E-02			6.2E-02								
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			7.9E-03			7.9E-03			1.1E-03			1.1E-03		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID														
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID														
V0C	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	10						7.05.00			0.05.00			0.05.00		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachioride	50-23-5				7.0E-02			7.0E-02			6.0E-03			6.0E-03		
VOC	Chloroothana	75 00 2															
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1 0E-02			1.0E-02			2 3E-02			2 3E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3				1.32-02		-	1.32-02		-	2.32-02			2.36-02		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	C			84E-02			8 4F-02								
VOC	1 1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC			0.42 02			0.42 02								
VOC	1.2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			9.1E-02			9.1E-02			2.6E-02			2.6E-02		
VOC	1.1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	C														
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	-	1.20E-02	2.01E-10						4.15E-06			6.00E-10			
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	2.01E-10						4.15E-06			6.00E-10			
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID														
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			3.6E-02			3.6E-02								
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			1.0E-01			1.0E-01			4.0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D														
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID														
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID														
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	1.17E-10	2.0E-03	2.3E-13		2.0E-03		2.38E-06	1.0E-05	9.3E-14	3.50E-10	1.0E-05	1.4E-17	3.3E-13
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5							_								
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			2.0E-01			2.0E-01								
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			2.1E-03			2.1E-03			2.6E-04			2.6E-04		
VOC	I oluene	108-88-3	ID														
VOC	1,1,1-I richloroethane	71-55-6	ID 0			F 7F 00			5 75 00			4.05.00			4.05.00		
V0C	Trichlereethene	79-00-5	0	4.005.04	2.405.00	5.7E-02	4 45 40		5.7E-02		0.005.05	1.6E-02	4.45.00	0.005.00	1.6E-02	4.55.40	4.05.00
V0C	Vinul Chlorido	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	3.12E-09	4.6E-02	1.4E-10		4.6E-02		6.90E-05	4.1E-03	1.1E-09	9.30E-09	4.1E-03	1.5E-13	1.3E-09
V0C	Villyi Chionde Xulonos (total)	1220.20.7				7.2E-01			7.2E-01			4.4E-03			4.4E-03		
000		1330-20-7	טו	l	+			+							+		
		Cumula	tivo Pick				1E-10						1E.00			1E-12	15.00
Notes:		Cumula	uve RISK:				15-10						1E-09	l		12-13	1E-09
The dianars	ion coefficient to outdoor or (C/O) is	24.0 (kg/m2) / //	(a/m2/c)	+ +	+			+							+		
This C/C to	som coentrient to outdoor alf (C/Q) is	5 34.0 (Kg/113) / (F	(y/11/2/5).	lomental C-	I Corooning O	idanaa (000	2)	tively oc		F2 coros (**	he eite er)	and real-	opeoifie rest		romotor-		
	im is esumated using the empirical of	correlation in USE	FAS SUPP	nemental So	ii Screening Gl	liuance (200	∠), conserva	auvely assu	ming a source area of 1	So acres (t	ne site area)	and region	-specific met	eorological pa	arameters.	1	
The concer	itration of particulates in the air is as	sumed to be no m	nore than t	he former an	nual National A	Ambient Air C	Juality Stand	ards (NAA	QS) for PM ₁₀ of 50 ug/m	n~.					1		

			Atta	chment 3:	Hazard I	ndex Cal	culation	s for Expo	sure of C	On-Site Ma	aintenan	nce	Worker	s to Soil					
		1			S	oil Ingestic	wniripoo	oi, Fort Sm	Soil Derm	INSAS		н	Soil V	/anor Inha	lation	Soil Pa	rticulato In	halation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD _{oral} (mg/kg/d)	HQ	ABS _{derm}	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD _{derm} (mg/kg/d)	HQ		C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID			9.0E-01				9.0E-01				3.1E+01			3.1E+01		
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	Α			4.0E-03				4.0E-03				3.0E-02			3.0E-02		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			2.0E-02				2.0E-02									
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			2.0E-02				2.0E-02									
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID			1.4E-03				1.4E-03				5.0E-03			5.0E-03		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID			6.0E-01				6.0E-01				5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0				1.0E-01				1.0E-01				7.0E-01			7.0E-01		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			4.0E-03				4.0E-03				1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D			2.0E-02				2.0E-02				5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC			1.0E-01				1.0E-01				1.0E+01			1.0E+01		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1.0E-02				1.0E-02				5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D											9.0E-02			9.0E-02		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С			2.0E-02				2.0E-02									
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC			2.0E-01				2.0E-01				5.0E-01			5.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			6.0E-03				6.0E-03				7.0E-03			7.0E-03		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С			5.0E-02				5.0E-02				2.0E-01			2.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	1.41E-09	2.0E-03	7.0E-07			2.0E-03			4.15E-06			6.00E-10			7.0E-07
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	1.41E-09	2.0E-03	7.0E-07			2.0E-03			4.15E-06			6.00E-10			7.0E-07
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID			2.0E-02				2.0E-02									
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			9.0E-02				9.0E-02				4.0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			3.0E-02				3.0E-02				2.0E-02			2.0E-02		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D			1.0E-01				1.0E-01				1.0E+00			1.0E+00		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID			5.0E-03				5.0E-03				3.0E-02			3.0E-02		
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID											3.0E+00			3.0E+00		
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	8.22E-10	6.0E-03	1.4E-07			6.0E-03			2.38E-06	6.0E-01	1.1E-07	3.50E-10	6.0E-01	1.6E-11	2.5E-07
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5				2.0E-01				2.0E-01				1.0E+00			1.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			2.0E-02				2.0E-02									
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			6.0E-03				6.0E-03				4.0E-02			4.0E-02		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID			8.0E-02				8.0E-02				5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID			2.0E+00				2.0E+00				5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			4.0E-03				4.0E-03				2.0E-04			2.0E-04		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	2.18E-08	5.0E-04	4.4E-05			5.0E-04		1	6.90E-05	2.0E-03	9.5E-04	9.30E-09	2.0E-03	1.3E-07	9.9E-04
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A			3.0E-03				3.0E-03				1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID			2.0E-01				2.0E-01				1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
				_				_											
		Haza	ard Index:				5E-05					\vdash			9F-04			1F-07	1F-03
Notes:		11020					JE-0J					+			36-04			12-07	12-03
The disper	sion coefficient to outdoor air (0	C/Q) is 34.0 (ka/m3) / (ka	a/m2/s).															
This C/O to	erm is estimated using the emp	irical correlat	tion in USFI	PA's Suppler	nental Soil S	Screening (Guidance (2	2002), consei	vatively as	suming a sou	irce area o	of 1	53 acres (the site are	a) and regi	on-specific r	neteorologi	cal paramet	ers.
The conce	ntration of particulates in the air	r is assumed	to he no m	ore than the	former annu	al National	Ambient A	ir Quality Sta	indards (NA	AOS) for PM	Le of 50	a/m	³	0.10 010	, a				
THE CONCE	incluion of particulates in the all	is assumed	IO DE HO III			annauonai	/ unbient A	in Quanty Old			10 01 00 U	9/11	• •						

	Attac	hment 3: N	onstead	y State D	ermal A	bsorptio	n from W	ater			
		v	Vhirlpoo	l, Fort Sn	nith, Ark	ansas					
Chem			MW	FA	Kp	В	τ			ts	DA
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(g/mole)	(unitless)	(cm/hr)	(unitless)	(hr)	с	b	(hr)	(L/cm ² -event)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	5.8E+01	1.0E+00	5.2E-04	1.5E-03	2.2E-01	3.3E-01	3.0E-01	5.3E-01	1.27E-06
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	7.8E+01	1.0E+00	1.5E-02	5.0E-02	2.9E-01	3.7E-01	3.3E-01	6.9E-01	3.70E-05
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	1.6E+02	1.0E+00	4.7E-03	2.3E-02	8.7E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	2.1E+00	1.70E-05
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	2.5E+02	1.0E+00	2.2E-03	1.3E-02	2.7E+00	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	6.6E+00	1.40E-05
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	9.5E+01	1.0E+00	2.8E-03	1.1E-02	3.6E-01	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	8.6E-01	7.68E-06
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	7.2E+01	1.0E+00	9.6E-04	3.1E-03	2.7E-01	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	6.4E-01	2.42E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	7.6E+01	1.0E+00	1.2E-02	4.2E-02	2.8E-01	3.6E-01	3.3E-01	6.7E-01	3.11E-05
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	1.5E+02	1.0E+00	1.4E-02	6.6E-02	7.6E-01	3.8E-01	3.4E-01	1.8E+00	4.84E-05
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	1.1E+02	1.0E+00	2.9E-02	1.2E-01	4.5E-01	4.2E-01	3.8E-01	1.1E+00	8.00E-05
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	6.5E+01	1.0E+00	6.1E-03	1.9E-02	2.4E-01	3.5E-01	3.1E-01	5.8E-01	1.49E-05
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	1.2E+02	1.0E+00	6.3E-03	2.6E-02	4.9E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	1.2E+00	1.86E-05
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	5.0E+01	1.0E+00	1.5E-02	4.2E-02	2.0E-01	3.6E-01	3.3E-01	4.8E-01	3.58E-05
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	2.1E+02	1.0E+00	2.9E-03	1.6E-02	1.5E+00	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	3.7E+00	1.42E-05
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	9.9E+01	1.0E+00	6.7E-03	2.6E-02	3.8E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	9.0E-01	1.83E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	9.9E+01	1.0E+00	4.1E-03	1.6E-02	3.8E-01	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	9.0E-01	1.13E-05
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	9.7E+01	1.0E+00	1.2E-02	4.4E-02	3.7E-01	3.6E-01	3.3E-01	8.8E-01	3.10E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	9.7E+01	1.0E+00	7.7E-03	2.9E-02	3.7E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	8.8E-01	2.07E-05
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	9.7E+01	1.0E+00	7.7E-03	2.9E-02	3.7E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	8.8E-01	2.07E-05
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	9.7E+01	1.0E+00	1.1E-02	4.0E-02	3.7E-01	3.6E-01	3.3E-01	8.8E-01	2.83E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	1.1E+02	1.0E+00	7.4E-03	3.0E-02	4.5E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	1.1E+00	2.12E-05
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	1.1E+02	1.0E+00	7.9E-03	3.2E-02	4.4E-01	3.6E-01	3.2E-01	1.1E+00	2.25E-05
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	1.1E+02	1.0E+00	4.8E-02	1.9E-01	4.1E-01	4.7E-01	4.3E-01	9.9E-01	1.27E-04
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	1.0E+02	1.0E+00	3.5E-03	1.4E-02	3.8E-01	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	9.2E-01	9.75E-06
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	1.0E+02	1.0E+00	2.7E-03	1.0E-02	3.8E-01	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	9.2E-01	7.32E-06
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	8.5E+01	1.0E+00	3.5E-03	1.3E-02	3.1E-01	3.4E-01	3.1E-01	7.5E-01	9.25E-06
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	1.0E+02	1.0E+00	3.6E-02	1.4E-01	4.0E-01	4.3E-01	4.0E-01	9.7E-01	9.63E-05
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	1.7E+02	1.0E+00	6.9E-03	3.4E-02	9.2E-01	3.6E-01	3.2E-01	2.2E+00	2.57E-05
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.7E+02	1.0E+00	1.1E-02	5.4E-02	8.9E-01	3.7E-01	3.4E-01	2.1E+00	3.99E-05
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	9.2E+01	1.0E+00	3.2E-02	1.2E-01	3.5E-01	4.2E-01	3.8E-01	8.3E-01	8.08E-05
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	1.3E+02	1.0E+00	1.2E-02	5.5E-02	5.9E-01	3.7E-01	3.4E-01	1.4E+00	3.85E-05
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	1.3E+02	1.0E+00	6.4E-03	2.8E-02	5.9E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	1.4E+00	2.02E-05
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.3E+02	1.0E+00	1.8E-02	7.9E-02	5.7E-01	3.9E-01	3.5E-01	1.4E+00	5.53E-05
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	6.3E+01	1.0E+00	6.9E-03	2.1E-02	2.4E-01	3.5E-01	3.2E-01	5.7E-01	1.69E-05
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	1.1E+02	1.0E+00	5.0E-02	2.0E-01	4.1E-01	4.8E-01	4.4E-01	9.9E-01	1.32E-04
Notes:											
	Event Time	hours	t	2							
	K _p capped at 1 cm/hr (USEPA 1992).										

	Attachment 3: Normalized Va	apor Flux t	to Outdoo	or Air fror	n Expose	d Ground	water in Ex	cavations	5
		Whirlp	ool, Fort	Smith, A	rkansas				
Chem			Н	MW	k _G	k L	1/K _L	KL	J_{L}
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(unitless)	(g/mol)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(s/cm)	(cm/s)	(L/m ² -s)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	1.1E-03	5.8E+01	5.47E-01	1.44E-03	2.30E+03	4.35E-04	4.35E-03
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	1.6E-01	7.8E+01	4.95E-01	1.24E-03	8.16E+02	1.23E-03	1.23E-02
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	4.5E-02	1.6E+02	3.86E-01	8.59E-04	1.22E+03	8.18E-04	8.18E-03
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	1.3E-02	2.5E+02	3.34E-01	6.92E-04	1.67E+03	5.99E-04	5.99E-03
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	2.0E-01	9.5E+01	4.64E-01	1.13E-03	8.97E+02	1.12E-03	1.12E-02
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	2.0E-03	7.2E+01	5.09E-01	1.30E-03	1.77E+03	5.64E-04	5.64E-03
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	9.3E-01	7.6E+01	4.99E-01	1.26E-03	7.96E+02	1.26E-03	1.26E-02
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	8.8E-01	1.5E+02	3.95E-01	8.87E-04	1.13E+03	8.85E-04	8.85E-03
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	9.8E-02	1.1E+02	4.38E-01	1.04E-03	9.88E+02	1.01E-03	1.01E-02
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	3.3E-01	6.5E+01	5.28E-01	1.37E-03	7.36E+02	1.36E-03	1.36E-02
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	1.1E-01	1.2E+02	4.30E-01	1.01E-03	1.02E+03	9.85E-04	9.85E-03
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	3.3E-01	5.0E+01	5.73E-01	1.55E-03	6.51E+02	1.54E-03	1.54E-02
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	2.4E-02	2.1E+02	3.57E-01	7.62E-04	1.43E+03	6.99E-04	6.99E-03
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	1.7E-01	9.9E+01	4.57E-01	1.11E-03	9.18E+02	1.09E-03	1.09E-02
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	2.7E-02	9.9E+01	4.57E-01	1.11E-03	9.84E+02	1.02E-03	1.02E-02
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	8.1E-01	9.7E+01	4.61E-01	1.12E-03	8.98E+02	1.11E-03	1.11E-02
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	1.2E-01	9.7E+01	4.61E-01	1.12E-03	9.13E+02	1.09E-03	1.09E-02
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.2E-01	9.7E+01	4.61E-01	1.12E-03	9.13E+02	1.09E-03	1.09E-02
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.8E-01	9.7E+01	4.61E-01	1.12E-03	9.03E+02	1.11E-03	1.11E-02
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	7.8E-02	1.1E+02	4.38E-01	1.03E-03	9.96E+02	1.00E-03	1.00E-02
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	4.8E-01	1.1E+02	4.40E-01	1.04E-03	9.63E+02	1.04E-03	1.04E-02
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	2.0E-01	1.1E+02	4.47E-01	1.07E-03	9.48E+02	1.06E-03	1.06E-02
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	3.2E-03	1.0E+02	4.56E-01	1.10E-03	1.59E+03	6.29E-04	6.29E-03
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	4.7E-03	1.0E+02	4.56E-01	1.10E-03	1.38E+03	7.27E-04	7.27E-03
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	6.6E-02	8.5E+01	4.82E-01	1.19E-03	8.69E+02	1.15E-03	1.15E-02
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	7.0E-02	1.0E+02	4.50E-01	1.08E-03	9.59E+02	1.04E-03	1.04E-02
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	8.7E-03	1.7E+02	3.83E-01	8.49E-04	1.48E+03	6.77E-04	6.77E-03
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	4.9E-01	1.7E+02	3.85E-01	8.54E-04	1.18E+03	8.50E-04	8.50E-03
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	1.8E-01	9.2E+01	4.69E-01	1.15E-03	8.85E+02	1.13E-03	1.13E-02
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	5.0E-01	1.3E+02	4.14E-01	9.52E-04	1.05E+03	9.48E-04	9.48E-03
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	2.4E-02	1.3E+02	4.14E-01	9.52E-04	1.15E+03	8.70E-04	8.70E-03
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.9E-01	1.3E+02	4.16E-01	9.60E-04	1.05E+03	9.52E-04	9.52E-03

	Attachment 3: Normalized Va	apor Flux	to Outdoo	or Air fror	n Expose	d Ground	water in Ex	cavations	5
		Whirlp	ool, Fort	Smith, A	rkansas				
Chem			Н	MW	k _G	k L	1/K _∟	KL	J_{L}
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(unitless)	(g/mol)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(s/cm)	(cm/s)	(L/m ² -s)
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	9.0E-01	6.3E+01	5.34E-01	1.39E-03	7.21E+02	1.39E-03	1.39E-02
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	1.7E-01	1.1E+02	4.47E-01	1.07E-03	9.50E+02	1.05E-03	1.05E-02
	Molecular Weight of Oxygen	g/mol	MW ₀₂	32					
	Molecular Weight of Water	g/mol	MW _{H20}	18					
	Temperature	K	Temp	289.7					
	Liquid-phase Mass Transfer Coefficient for Oxygen	cm/s	k _{L,02}	0.002					
	Gas-Phase Mass Transfer Coefficient for Water Vapor at 25 °C	cm/s	K _{G,H20}	0.833					
	Dispersion coefficient	(kg/m ³) / (kg/m ² /s)	C/Q	11.6					

	Attac	hment 3: Can	cer Risk	Calculatio	ons for Exp	osure of	On-Site	Maintena	nce Wor	kers to G	roundwat	er in Exca	avations			-
					Whi	ripool, Fo	rt Smith	, Arkansa	s							
					Incid	lental Inges	stion		Derma	Contact			Vapor I	nhalation		All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{gw} (mg/l)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{oral} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	DA (L/cm ² - event)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF_{derm} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	EC (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	Risk
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1.99E-01	5.56E-08			1.27E-06	2.33E-08			1.01E-02	6.56E-06			
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А	6.53E-02	1.83E-08	5.5E-02	1.0E-09	3.70E-05	2.23E-07	5.5E-02	1.2E-08	9.29E-03	6.06E-06	7.8E-03	4.7E-08	6.1E-08
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	6.79E-02	1.90E-08	6.2E-02	1.2E-09	1.70E-05	1.06E-07	6.2E-02	6.6E-09	6.45E-03	4.21E-06			7.8E-09
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	7.67E-02	2.14E-08	7.9E-03	1.7E-10	1.40E-05	9.91E-08	7.9E-03	7.8E-10	5.33E-03	3.48E-06	1.1E-03	3.8E-09	4.8E-09
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	6.13E-02	1.71E-08			7.68E-06	4.34E-08			7.94E-03	5.18E-06			
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1.06E-01	2.96E-08			2.42E-06	2.37E-08			6.94E-03	4.53E-06			
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		6.52E-02	1.82E-08			3.11E-05	1.87E-07			9.51E-03	6.21E-06			
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	6.69E-02	1.87E-08	7.0E-02	1.3E-09	4.84E-05	2.99E-07	7.0E-02	2.1E-08	6.87E-03	4.48E-06	6.0E-03	2.7E-08	4.9E-08
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	6.86E-02	1.92E-08			8.00E-05	5.06E-07			8.06E-03	5.26E-06			
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	7.23E-02	2.02E-08			1.49E-05	9.93E-08			1.14E-02	7.44E-06			
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	6.64E-02	1.86E-08	1.9E-02	3.5E-10	1.86E-05	1.14E-07	1.9E-02	2.2E-09	7.59E-03	4.95E-06	2.3E-02	1.1E-07	1.2E-07
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	9.00E-02	2.52E-08			3.58E-05	2.97E-07			1.60E-02	1.05E-05			
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С	7.13E-02	1.99E-08	8.4E-02	1.7E-09	1.42E-05	9.33E-08	8.4E-02	7.8E-09	5.79E-03	3.78E-06			9.5E-09
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	6.59E-02	1.84E-08			1.83E-05	1.11E-07			8.34E-03	5.44E-06			
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	6.61E-02	1.85E-08	9.1E-02	1.7E-09	1.13E-05	6.89E-08	9.1E-02	6.3E-09	7.80E-03	5.09E-06	2.6E-02	1.3E-07	1.4E-07
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	2.50E-01	6.99E-08			3.10E-05	7.15E-07			3.23E-02	2.11E-05			
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.00E+01	2.80E-06			2.07E-05	1.91E-05			1.27E+00	8.29E-04			
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.00E+01	2.80E-06			2.07E-05	1.91E-05			1.27E+00	8.29E-04			
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID	5.99E-02	1.67E-08			2.83E-05	1.57E-07			7.70E-03	5.02E-06			
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2	6.52E-02	1.82E-08	3.6E-02	6.6E-10	2.12E-05	1.27E-07	3.6E-02	4.6E-09	7.60E-03	4.96E-06			5.2E-09
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1.42E-01	3.98E-08	1.0E-01	4.0E-09	2.25E-05	2.96E-07	1.0E-01	3.0E-08	1.72E-02	1.12E-05	4.0E-03	4.5E-08	7.8E-08
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D	6.89E-02	1.93E-08			1.27E-04	8.06E-07			8.44E-03	5.50E-06			
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1.20E-01	3.35E-08			9.75E-06	1.08E-07			8.77E-03	5.72E-06			
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	1.20E-01	3.35E-08			7.32E-06	8.10E-08			1.01E-02	6.61E-06			
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	3.10E-01	8.67E-08	2.0E-03	1.7E-10	9.25E-06	2.65E-07	2.0E-03	5.3E-10	4.14E-02	2.70E-05	1.0E-05	2.7E-10	9.7E-10
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5		6.83E-02	1.91E-08			9.63E-05	6.07E-07			8.26E-03	5.39E-06			
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC	6.40E-02	1.79E-08	2.0E-01	3.6E-09	2.57E-05	1.52E-07	2.0E-01	3.0E-08	5.03E-03	3.28E-06			3.4E-08
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC	7.67E-02	2.14E-08	2.1E-03	4.5E-11	3.99E-05	2.82E-07	2.1E-03	5.9E-10	7.57E-03	4.94E-06	2.6E-04	1.3E-09	1.9E-09
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID	6.54E-02	1.83E-08			8.08E-05	4.88E-07			8.58E-03	5.60E-06			
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID	1.10E-01	3.08E-08			3.85E-05	3.91E-07			1.21E-02	7.90E-06			
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С	6.59E-02	1.84E-08	5.7E-02	1.1E-09	2.02E-05	1.23E-07	5.7E-02	7.0E-09	6.65E-03	4.34E-06	1.6E-02	6.9E-08	7.7E-08
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	8.10E+01	2.26E-05	4.6E-02	1.0E-06	5.53E-05	4.13E-04	4.6E-02	1.9E-05	8.95E+00	5.84E-03	4.1E-03	2.4E-05	4.4E-05
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A	2.50E+00	6.99E-07	7.2E-01	5.0E-07	1.69E-05	3.89E-06	7.2E-01	2.8E-06	4.03E-01	2.63E-04	4.4E-03	1.2E-06	4.5E-06
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID	1.99E-01	5.57E-08			1.32E-04	2.42E-06			2.44E-02	1.59E-05			
		Cumula	tive Risk:				2E-06				2E-05				3E-05	5E-05
Notes:															+	+
This C/C	term is estimated using USEPA's S	CREEN3 air disp	ersion mod	lel (USEPA 1	995) to estima	ate maximu	m 1-hour o	oncentration	s at aroun	d level. The	source area	for mainter	nance work	ers is based	d on a 15 bv 1	15 foot
excavatio	on.				,											

	Attachmen	t 3: Hazard Inc	dex Calc	ulations f	or Exposu	re of On-	Site Main	tenance V	Vorkers t	o Groun	dwater in	Excavation	ons		
				1 1	Whirlpoo	ol, Fort Sr	nith, Arka	nsas						-	
					Incic	lental Inge	stion	.	Dermal	Contact		Va	por Inhalat	ion	All Routes
Chem	Chamiaal	CASDN	Cancer	C _{gw}	ADD	RfD oral			ADD	RfD _{derm}		Cair	RfC		110
Group	Chemical	CASKN	Class	(mg/L)	(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	ΠQ	(L/Cm ⁻ -	(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	пч	(mg/m ³)	(mg/m ³)	Πų	ΠQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1.99E-01	3.89E-07	9.0E-01	4.3E-07	1.27E-06	1.63E-07	9.0E-01	1.8E-07	1.01E-02	3.1E+01	1.5E-06	2.1E-06
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A	6.53E-02	1.28E-07	4.0E-03	3.2E-05	3.70E-05	1.56E-06	4.0E-03	3.9E-04	9.29E-03	3.0E-02	1.4E-03	1.8E-03
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	6.79E-02	1.33E-07	2.0E-02	6.6E-06	1.70E-05	7.45E-07	2.0E-02	3.7E-05	6.45E-03			4.4E-05
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	7.67E-02	1.50E-07	2.0E-02	7.5E-06	1.40E-05	6.94E-07	2.0E-02	3.5E-05	5.33E-03			4.2E-05
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	6.13E-02	1.20E-07	1.4E-03	8.6E-05	7.68E-06	3.04E-07	1.4E-03	2.2E-04	7.94E-03	5.0E-03	7.2E-03	7.6E-03
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1.06E-01	2.07E-07	6.0E-01	3.5E-07	2.42E-06	1.66E-07	6.0E-01	2.8E-07	6.94E-03	5.0E+00	6.3E-06	7.0E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		6.52E-02	1.28E-07	1.0E-01	1.3E-06	3.11E-05	1.31E-06	1.0E-01	1.3E-05	9.51E-03	7.0E-01	6.2E-05	7.6E-05
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	6.69E-02	1.31E-07	4.0E-03	3.3E-05	4.84E-05	2.09E-06	4.0E-03	5.2E-04	6.87E-03	1.0E-01	3.1E-04	8.7E-04
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	6.86E-02	1.34E-07	2.0E-02	6.7E-06	8.00E-05	3.55E-06	2.0E-02	1.8E-04	8.06E-03	5.0E-02	7.4E-04	9.2E-04
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	7.23E-02	1.41E-07	1.0E-01	1.4E-06	1.49E-05	6.95E-07	1.0E-01	6.9E-06	1.14E-02	1.0E+01	5.2E-06	1.4E-05
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	6.64E-02	1.30E-07	1.0E-02	1.3E-05	1.86E-05	7.97E-07	1.0E-02	8.0E-05	7.59E-03	5.0E-02	6.9E-04	7.9E-04
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	9.00E-02	1.76E-07			3.58E-05	2.08E-06			1.60E-02	9.0E-02	8.1E-04	8.1E-04
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С	7.13E-02	1.40E-07	2.0E-02	7.0E-06	1.42E-05	6.53E-07	2.0E-02	3.3E-05	5.79E-03			4.0E-05
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	6.59E-02	1.29E-07	2.0E-01	6.4E-07	1.83E-05	7.78E-07	2.0E-01	3.9E-06	8.34E-03	5.0E-01	7.6E-05	8.1E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	6.61E-02	1.29E-07	6.0E-03	2.2E-05	1.13E-05	4.82E-07	6.0E-03	8.0E-05	7.80E-03	7.0E-03	5.1E-03	5.2E-03
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	2.50E-01	4.89E-07	5.0E-02	9.8E-06	3.10E-05	5.01E-06	5.0E-02	1.0E-04	3.23E-02	2.0E-01	7.4E-04	8.5E-04
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.00E+01	1.96E-05	2.0E-03	9.8E-03	2.07E-05	1.34E-04	2.0E-03	6.7E-02	1.27E+00			7.7E-02
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.00E+01	1.96E-05	2.0E-03	9.8E-03	2.07E-05	1.34E-04	2.0E-03	6.7E-02	1.27E+00			7.7E-02
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID	5.99E-02	1.17E-07	2.0E-02	5.9E-06	2.83E-05	1.10E-06	2.0E-02	5.5E-05	7.70E-03			6.1E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2	6.52E-02	1.28E-07	9.0E-02	1.4E-06	2.12E-05	8.91E-07	9.0E-02	9.9E-06	7.60E-03	4.0E-03	8.7E-03	8.7E-03
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1.42E-01	2.78E-07	3.0E-02	9.3E-06	2.25E-05	2.07E-06	3.0E-02	6.9E-05	1.72E-02	2.0E-02	3.9E-03	4.0E-03
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D	6.89E-02	1.35E-07	1.0E-01	1.3E-06	1.27E-04	5.64E-06	1.0E-01	5.6E-05	8.44E-03	1.0E+00	3.9E-05	9.6E-05
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1.20E-01	2.35E-07	5.0E-03	4.7E-05	9.75E-06	7.56E-07	5.0E-03	1.5E-04	8.77E-03	3.0E-02	1.3E-03	1.5E-03
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	1.20E-01	2.35E-07			7.32E-06	5.67E-07			1.01E-02	3.0E+00	1.5E-05	1.5E-05
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	3.10E-01	6.07E-07	6.0E-03	1.0E-04	9.25E-06	1.85E-06	6.0E-03	3.1E-04	4.14E-02	6.0E-01	3.2E-04	7.2E-04
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5		6.83E-02	1.34E-07	2.0E-01	6.7E-07	9.63E-05	4.25E-06	2.0E-01	2.1E-05	8.26E-03	1.0E+00	3.8E-05	6.0E-05
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC	6.40E-02	1.25E-07	2.0E-02	6.3E-06	2.57E-05	1.06E-06	2.0E-02	5.3E-05	5.03E-03			5.9E-05
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC	7.67E-02	1.50E-07	6.0E-03	2.5E-05	3.99E-05	1.98E-06	6.0E-03	3.3E-04	7.57E-03	4.0E-02	8.6E-04	1.2E-03
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID	6.54E-02	1.28E-07	8.0E-02	1.6E-06	8.08E-05	3.41E-06	8.0E-02	4.3E-05	8.58E-03	5.0E+00	7.8E-06	5.2E-05
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID	1.10E-01	2.15E-07	2.0E+00	1.1E-07	3.85E-05	2.74E-06	2.0E+00	1.4E-06	1.21E-02	5.0E+00	1.1E-05	1.3E-05
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С	6.59E-02	1.29E-07	4.0E-03	3.2E-05	2.02E-05	8.58E-07	4.0E-03	2.1E-04	6.65E-03	2.0E-04	1.5E-01	1.5E-01
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	8.10E+01	1.59E-04	5.0E-04	3.2E-01	5.53E-05	2.89E-03	5.0E-04	5.8E+00	8.95E+00	2.0E-03	2.0E+01	2.7E+01
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	Α	2.50E+00	4.89E-06	3.0E-03	1.6E-03	1.69E-05	2.72E-05	3.0E-03	9.1E-03	4.03E-01	1.0E-01	1.8E-02	2.9E-02
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID	1.99E-01	3.90E-07	2.0E-01	2.0E-06	1.32E-04	1.70E-05	2.0E-01	8.5E-05	2.44E-02	1.0E-01	1.1E-03	1.2E-03
		Haza	ard Index:				3E-01				6E+00			2E+01	3E+01
Notes:				(10554.45)				<u> </u>							451 45
I his C/Q t	erm is estimated using USEPA's SC	REEN3 air dispers	ion model	(USEPA 199	95) to estima	te maximur	n 1-hour cor	centrations	at ground l	evel. The s	source area	for maintena	ance workei	rs is based o	on a 15 by 15
toot excav	ation.														

	Atta	chment 3: Can	cer Risk	Calculatio	ons for Ex	posure of	Off-Site	Maintena	nce Worl	kers to G	roundwat	er in Exc	avations			-
					Whi	irlpool, Fo	ort Smith,	Arkansa	s							
					Inci	dental Inge	stion		Derma	Contact			Vapor I	nhalation		All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{gw} (mg/l)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{oral} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	DA (L/cm ² - event)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{derm} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	EC (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	Risk
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	8.50E-02	2.38E-08			1.27E-06	9.97E-09			4.29E-03	2.80E-06			
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А			5.5E-02		3.70E-05		5.5E-02				7.8E-03		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			6.2E-02		1.70E-05		6.2E-02						
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	2.40E-02	6.71E-09	7.9E-03	5.3E-11	1.40E-05	3.10E-08	7.9E-03	2.4E-10	1.67E-03	1.09E-06	1.1E-03	1.2E-09	1.5E-09
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID					7.68E-06								
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	9.50E-02	2.66E-08			2.42E-06	2.12E-08			6.22E-03	4.06E-06			
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0						3.11E-05								
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			7.0E-02		4.84E-05		7.0E-02				6.0E-03		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D					8.00E-05								
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC					1.49E-05								
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1.9E-02		1.86E-05		1.9E-02				2.3E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D					3.58E-05								
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С			8.4E-02		1.42E-05		8.4E-02						
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC					1.83E-05								
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	3.00E-03	8.39E-10	9.1E-02	7.6E-11	1.13E-05	3.13E-09	9.1E-02	2.8E-10	3.54E-04	2.31E-07	2.6E-02	6.0E-09	6.4E-09
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	4.20E-03	1.17E-09			3.10E-05	1.20E-08			5.43E-04	3.54E-07			
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		4.10E-02	1.15E-08			2.07E-05	7.83E-08			5.21E-03	3.40E-06			
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	4.10E-02	1.15E-08			2.07E-05	7.83E-08			5.21E-03	3.40E-06			
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID					2.83E-05								
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			3.6E-02		2.12E-05		3.6E-02						
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			1.0E-01		2.25E-05		1.0E-01				4.0E-03		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D					1.27E-04								
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID					9.75E-06								
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	4.00E-03	1.12E-09			7.32E-06	2.70E-09			3.38E-04	2.20E-07			
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC			2.0E-03		9.25E-06		2.0E-03				1.0E-05		
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5						9.63E-05								
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			2.0E-01		2.57E-05		2.0E-01						
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			2.1E-03		3.99E-05		2.1E-03				2.6E-04		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID					8.08E-05								
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID					3.85E-05								
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			5.7E-02		2.02E-05		5.7E-02				1.6E-02		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.60E+00	4.47E-07	4.6E-02	2.1E-08	5.53E-05	8.16E-06	4.6E-02	3.8E-07	1.77E-01	1.15E-04	4.1E-03	4.7E-07	8.7E-07
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A	3.00E-03	8.39E-10	7.2E-01	6.0E-10	1.69E-05	4.67E-09	7.2E-01	3.4E-09	4.83E-04	3.15E-07	4.4E-03	1.4E-09	5.4E-09
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID					1.32E-04								
		Cumula	ative Risk:				2E-08				4E-07				5E-07	9E-07
Notoor															+	+
This Off					005) (llaural T'		for a secolar	<u> </u>		1	45 ()
excavati	term is estimated using USEPA's	SUREEN3 air disp	ersion mod	aei (USEPA 1	995) to estim	nate maximu	m 1-hour co	oncentration	is at ground	ievel. The	source area	i for mainter	hance work	ers is based	a on a 15 by '	15 100t

	Attachment	t 3: Hazard Ind	lex Calc	ulations for	or Exposu	re of Off	-Site Maint	tenance \	Norkers t	o Groun	dwater in	Excavation	ons		
	1			1	Whirlpoo	I, Fort Si	mith, Arka	nsas			1			1	1
					Incid	ental Inge	stion		Dermal	Contact		Va	por Inhalat	tion	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{gw} (mg/L)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD_{oral} (mg/kg/d)	HQ	DA (L/cm ² - event)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD _{derm} (mg/kg/d)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	8.50E-02	1.66E-07	9.0E-01	1.8E-07	1.27E-06	6.98E-08	9.0E-01	7.8E-08	4.29E-03	3.1E+01	6.4E-07	9.0E-07
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А			4.0E-03		3.70E-05		4.0E-03			3.0E-02		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			2.0E-02		1.70E-05		2.0E-02					
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	2.40E-02	4.70E-08	2.0E-02	2.3E-06	1.40E-05	2.17E-07	2.0E-02	1.1E-05	1.67E-03			1.3E-05
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID			1.4E-03		7.68E-06		1.4E-03			5.0E-03		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	9.50E-02	1.86E-07	6.0E-01	3.1E-07	2.42E-06	1.48E-07	6.0E-01	2.5E-07	6.22E-03	5.0E+00	5.7E-06	6.2E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0				1.0E-01		3.11E-05		1.0E-01			7.0E-01		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			4.0E-03		4.84E-05		4.0E-03			1.0E-01		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D			2.0E-02		8.00E-05		2.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC			1.0E-01		1.49E-05		1.0E-01			1.0E+01		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1.0E-02		1.86E-05		1.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D					3.58E-05					9.0E-02		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С			2.0E-02		1.42E-05		2.0E-02					
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC			2.0E-01		1.83E-05		2.0E-01			5.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	3.00E-03	5.87E-09	6.0E-03	9.8E-07	1.13E-05	2.19E-08	6.0E-03	3.6E-06	3.54E-04	7.0E-03	2.3E-04	2.4E-04
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	4.20E-03	8.22E-09	5.0E-02	1.6E-07	3.10E-05	8.41E-08	5.0E-02	1.7E-06	5.43E-04	2.0E-01	1.2E-05	1.4E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		4.10E-02	8.02E-08	2.0E-03	4.0E-05	2.07E-05	5.48E-07	2.0E-03	2.7E-04	5.21E-03			3.1E-04
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	4.10E-02	8.02E-08	2.0E-03	4.0E-05	2.07E-05	5.48E-07	2.0E-03	2.7E-04	5.21E-03			3.1E-04
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID			2.0E-02		2.83E-05		2.0E-02					
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			9.0E-02		2.12E-05		9.0E-02			4.0E-03		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			3.0E-02		2.25E-05		3.0E-02			2.0E-02		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D			1.0E-01		1.27E-04		1.0E-01			1.0E+00		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID			5.0E-03		9.75E-06		5.0E-03			3.0E-02		
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	4.00E-03	7.83E-09			7.32E-06	1.89E-08			3.38E-04	3.0E+00	5.1E-07	5.1E-07
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC			6.0E-03		9.25E-06		6.0E-03			6.0E-01		
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5				2.0E-01		9.63E-05		2.0E-01			1.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			2.0E-02		2.57E-05		2.0E-02					
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			6.0E-03		3.99E-05		6.0E-03			4.0E-02		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID			8.0E-02		8.08E-05		8.0E-02			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID			2.0E+00		3.85E-05		2.0E+00			5.0E+00		_
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			4.0E-03		2.02E-05		4.0E-03			2.0E-04		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.60E+00	3.13E-06	5.0E-04	6.3E-03	5.53E-05	5.71E-05	5.0E-04	1.1E-01	1.77E-01	2.0E-03	4.0E-01	5.2E-01
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A	3.00E-03	5.87E-09	3.0E-03	2.0E-06	1.69E-05	3.27E-08	3.0E-03	1.1E-05	4.83E-04	1.0E-01	2.2E-05	3.5E-05
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID			2.0E-01		1.32E-04		2.0E-01			1.0E-01		+
		Haza	ard Index:				6E-03				1E-01			4E-01	5E-01
Notes:															+
This C/Q te	erm is estimated using USEPA's SCF	REEN3 air dispers	ion model	(USEPA 199	95) to estimat	te maximur	m 1-hour con	centrations	at ground le	evel. The	source area	for maintena	ince worke	rs is based o	n a 15 by 15
foot excava	ation.														

Attachment 4

Construction Worker Risk Calculations

Contents:

- Vapor Flux from Soil to Outdoor Air
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Construction Workers to Soil
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Construction Workers to Soil
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Construction Workers to Groundwater in Excavations
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Construction Workers to Groundwater in Excavations

	Attachment 4: Vapor Flux from Soil to Outdoor Air														
					Whi	rlpool, Fo	ort Smith,	Arkansa	S						
Chem			K _{oc}	н	Dair	D _{water}	RL	D_{G}	DL	D _E	Infinite J_{v}	Finite depth	Finite depth Z2	Finite J_v	J_v
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(L/kg)	(unitless)	(m ² /d)	(m ² /d)	(unitless)	(m ² /d)	(m ² /d)	(m²/d)	(kg/m ² -s)	Z1 ERFC term	ERFC term	(ka/m ² -s)	(kg/m ² -s)
VOC.	Acetone	67-64-1	5.81E-01	1.14E-03	1.07E+00	9.85E-05	3.17E-01	1.15E-02	9.10E-06	7.01E-05	7.90E-06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.90E-06	7.90E-06
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	5.82E+01	1.59E-01	7.60E-01	8.47E-05	5.02E-01	8.19E-03	7.82E-06	2.61E-03	4.82E-05	0.00E+00	2.93E-02	4.80E-05	4.80E-05
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	5.51E+01	4.45E-02	2.57E-01	9.16E-05	4.75E-01	2.77E-03	8.46E-06	2.78E-04	1.57E-05	0.00E+00	1.68E-15	1.57E-05	1.57E-05
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	8.70E+01	1.34E-02	1.29E-01	8.90E-05	5.58E-01	1.39E-03	8.22E-06	4.80E-05	6.53E-06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.53E-06	6.53E-06
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	1.05E+01	2.01E-01	6.29E-01	1.05E-04	3.78E-01	6.78E-03	9.65E-06	3.63E-03	5.69E-05	0.00E+00	9.03E-02	5.62E-05	5.62E-05
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	2.00E+00	1.96E-03	6.98E-01	8.47E-05	3.21E-01	7.52E-03	7.82E-06	7.03E-05	7.91E-06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.91E-06	7.91E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	4.59E+01	9.26E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	5.96E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	1.51E-02	1.16E-04	0.00E+00	9.88E-01	9.60E-05	9.60E-05
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	1.74E+02	8.82E-01	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	9.41E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	6.81E-03	7.79E-05	0.00E+00	3.69E-01	7.37E-05	7.37E-05
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	2.20E+02	9.77E-02	6.31E-01	7.52E-05	9.40E-01	6.79E-03	6.94E-06	7.13E-04	2.52E-05	0.00E+00	1.47E-06	2.52E-05	2.52E-05
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	1.62E+01	3.25E-01	2.34E+00	9.94E-05	4.14E-01	2.52E-02	9.18E-06	1.98E-02	1.33E-04	0.00E+00	1.23E+00	1.03E-04	1.03E-04
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	3.97E+01	1.07E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	4.43E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	2.37E-03	4.59E-05	0.00E+00	1.97E-02	4.58E-05	4.58E-05
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	3.97E+01	3.33E-01	1.09E+00	5.62E-05	4.80E-01	1.17E-02	5.19E-06	8.14E-03	8.51E-05	0.00E+00	4.88E-01	7.89E-05	7.89E-05
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	6.26E+01	2.38E-02	1.69E-01	9.07E-05	4.92E-01	1.82E-03	8.38E-06	1.05E-04	9.68E-06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.68E-06	9.68E-06
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	/5-34-3	3.13E+01	1.66E-01	6.41E-01	9.07E-05	4.29E-01	6.91E-03	8.38E-06	2.68E-03	4.89E-05	0.00E+00	3.28E-02	4.87E-05	4.87E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	1.75E+01	2.74E-02	8.99E-01	8.55E-05	3.68E-01	9.68E-03	7.90E-06	7.42E-04	2.57E-05	0.00E+00	2.46E-06	2.57E-05	2.57E-05
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	5.82E+01	8.10E-01	7.78E-01	8.99E-05	6.10E-01	8.38E-03	8.30E-06	1.11E-02	9.95E-05	0.00E+00	7.29E-01	8.78E-05	8.78E-05
VOC	ric 1.2 Dichloroothono	240-59-0	3.50E+01	1.19E-01	6.30E-01	9.76E-05	4.33E-01	6.85E-03	9.02E-06	1.90E-03	4.11E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E-03	4.10E-05	4.10E-05
VOC	trans_1_2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	5.30E+01	2.81E-01	6.11E-01	9.70E-03	4.33E-01	6.58E-03	9.02E-00	1.90E-03	4.11E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E-03	4.10E-05	4.10E-05
VOC	1 2-Dichloropropage	78-87-5	4.35E+01	7.82E-02	6.76E-01	7.54E-05	4.48E-01	7.28E-03	6.97E-06	1.28E-03	3.38E-05	0.00E+00	5.82E-04	3.38E-05	3.38E-05
VOC	1.3-Dichloropropene (total)	5/2-75-6	4.53E+01	1.82E-02	5./1E-01	8.64E-05	5.22E-01	5.83E-03	7 98E-06	5.40E-03	6.93E-05	0.00E+00	2 30E-04	6.71E-05	6.71E-05
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	3.67E+02	2.04E-01	6.48E-01	6 74E-05	1.36E+00	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.05E-03	3.06E-05	0.00E+00	1.08E-04	3.06E-05	3.06E-05
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	1.48E+01	3.23E-03	7.45E-01	7.57E-05	3.57E-01	8.02E-03	6.99E-06	9.23E-05	9.06E-06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.06E-06	9.06E-06
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	1.05E+01	4.71E-03	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	3.45E-01	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.13E-04	1.00E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.00E-05	1.00E-05
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.17E+01	6.60E-02	8.73E-01	1.01E-04	3.59E-01	9.40E-03	9.33E-06	1.76E-03	3.95E-05	0.00E+00	4.51E-03	3.95E-05	3.95E-05
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	7.77E+02	7.04E-02	6.13E-01	6.91E-05	2.47E+00	6.61E-03	6.38E-06	1.91E-04	1.30E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.30E-05	1.30E-05
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	9.35E+01	8.74E-03	6.13E-01	6.83E-05	5.75E-01	6.61E-03	6.30E-06	1.11E-04	9.96E-06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.96E-06	9.96E-06
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.56E+02	4.90E-01	6.22E-01	7.08E-05	8.27E-01	6.70E-03	6.54E-06	3.98E-03	5.95E-05	0.00E+00	1.17E-01	5.87E-05	5.87E-05
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	1.80E+02	1.80E-01	7.52E-01	7.43E-05	8.43E-01	8.10E-03	6.86E-06	1.74E-03	3.94E-05	0.00E+00	4.30E-03	3.93E-05	3.93E-05
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	1.10E+02	4.97E-01	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	7.02E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	5.15E-03	6.77E-05	0.00E+00	2.17E-01	6.58E-05	6.58E-05
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	5.03E+01	2.43E-02	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	4.58E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	4.00E-04	1.89E-05	0.00E+00	4.84E-11	1.89E-05	1.89E-05
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.68E+02	2.88E-01	6.83E-01	7.86E-05	8.26E-01	7.35E-03	7.26E-06	2.57E-03	4.79E-05	0.00E+00	2.79E-02	4.77E-05	4.77E-05
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.85E+01	9.00E-01	9.16E-01	1.06E-04	5.15E-01	9.87E-03	9.81E-06	1.72E-02	1.24E-04	0.00E+00	1.11E+00	9.95E-05	9.95E-05
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	3.86E+02	1.73E-01	6.74E-01	7.56E-05	1.41E+00	7.26E-03	6.98E-06	8.97E-04	2.83E-05	0.00E+00	2.27E-05	2.83E-05	2.83E-05
Notes	Soil bulk density	ka/l	0	1 38											
Notes.	Soil porosity		Pb A	0.48											
	Soil water content		θ	0.32											
	Soil air-filled porosity	L/L-soil	θ	0.17											
	Soil organic carbon fraction	unitless	f _{oc}	0.002											
	Ŭ														
	Averaging period (Exposure Duration)	year	Т	25											
		days	т	9125											
		S	Т	7.9E+08											
-	Molar Gas Constant	L-mmHg/	R	62.411											
	Temperature	°C	Temp	16.7											
		K	Temp	289.7											
	Clean soil above source	m	Z ₁	0.00											
	Bottom of source depth	m	Z ₂	3.66											

			Att	achment	4: Cancer F	Risk Calcı W	ulations fo	or Expos Fort Smi	ure of On-Site Cor th. Arkansas	nstructio	n Workers	s to Soil					
					Sc	il Ingestion	1		Soil Dermal Contact		Soil	Vapor Inh	alation	Soil Pa	rticulate Ir	halation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{oral} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	ABS _{derm}	LADD SF _{derm} (mg/kg/d) (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	Risk
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID														
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A			5.5E-02			5.5E-02			7.8E-03			7.8E-03		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			6.2E-02			6.2E-02								
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			7.9E-03			7.9E-03			1.1E-03			1.1E-03		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID														
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID														
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0															
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			7.0E-02		_	7.0E-02			6.0E-03			6.0E-03		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D					-			_						
V0C	Chloroetnane	75-00-3	LC			4.05.00		_	4.05.00			0.05.00			0.05.00		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1.9E-02		-	1.9E-02		_	2.3E-02			2.3E-02		
V0C	Dibromochloromothono	14-01-3	0			0.45.00			0.45.00								
V0C	1 1 Disblarasthans	124-48-1				8.4E-02			8.4E-02								
V0C	1, 1-Dichloroethane	107.06.0	30			0.45.00		-	0.45.00			2 65 02			2.65.02		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	75.25.4	<u>Б</u> 2			9.1E-02			9.1E-02			2.0E-02			2.0E-02		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	U	1 20E-02	3 35E-10						1.67E-05			6.00E-10			
VOC	cis-1 2-Dichloroothono	156-59-2	П	1.20E-02	3.35E-10						1.07E-05			6.00E-10			
VOC	trans_1_2-Dichloroethene	156 60 5		1.202-02	3.33L-10						1.07 -03			0.002-10			
VOC	1 2-Dichloropropage	78-87-5	B2	<u> </u>		3.6E-02		-	3.6E-02		-				-		
VOC	1.3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			1.0E-02			1.0E-02			4 0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D2 D			1.02-01			1.02-01			4.0L-03			4.02-03		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ם ו														
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1															
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2		7.00E-03	1 96E-10	2.0E-03	3.9E-13		2.0E-03		9 40 E-06	1.0E-05	3 1E-13	3 50E-10	1.0E-05	1 1E-17	7.0E-13
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	20	1.002 00	1.002 10	2.02 00	0.02 10		2.02 00		0.402 00	1.02 00	0.12 10	0.002 10	1.02 00	1.12 17	1.0E 10
VOC	1 1 2 2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			2.0E-01			2.0E-01								
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			2.1E-03			2.1E-03			2.6E-04			2.6E-04		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID														
VOC	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID														
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			5.7E-02			5.7E-02			1.6E-02			1.6E-02		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	5.20E-09	4.6E-02	2.4E-10		4.6E-02		3.02E-04	4.1E-03	4.0E-09	9.30E-09	4.1E-03	1.2E-13	4.3E-09
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A			7.2E-01			7.2E-01			4.4E-03			4.4E-03		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID														
								İ									
		Cumula	tive Risk:				2E-10						4E-09			1E-13	4E-09
Notes:														1			
The dispers	sion coefficient to outdoor air (C/O) is	34.0 (kg/m3) / (l	(a/m2/s)												1		
This C/Q te	rm is estimated using the empirical c	orrelation in USF	PA's Sunr	lemental So	il Screening G	idance (200	2) conserv	atively assu	ming a source area of 1	53 acres (t	he site area)	and region	-specific met	eorological pa	arameters	п.	1
	tration of particulates in the air is ass	umed to be no n	ore than t	he former an	nual National /	Ambient Air (Juality Star	darde (NIAA)	OS for PM of 50 µg/m	3 ³		and region	0,00000000000				
The concer	in anon or particulates in the all is ass	umeu to be 10 fi	iore man li	ne ionnei an	nual manorial F		audiity Stand	Jaius (INAA	ug/II	1.							

			Atta	chment 4:	Hazard I	ndex Cal	culations	for Expo	sure of C	On-Site Co	nstructi	on Wor	ers to So	i				
		1				oil Ingo otic	Whirlpoo	I, Fort Sm	ith, Arka	nsas			l Vener Inh	lation	Coll Do		holotion	
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD _{oral} (mg/kg/d)	HQ	ABS _{derm}	ADD (mg/kg/d)	RfD _{derm} (mg/kg/d)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID			2.0E+00				2.0E+00			3.1E+01			3.1E+01		
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A			1.0E-02				1.0E-02			9.0E-02			9.0E-02		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2			2.0E-02				2.0E-02			2.0E-02			2.0E-02		
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			3.0E-02				3.0E-02								
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID			5.0E-03				5.0E-03			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID			2.0E+00				2.0E+00			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0				1.0E-01				1.0E-01			7.0E-01			7.0E-01		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			1.0E-02				1.0E-02			1.9E-01			1.9E-01		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D			7.0E-02				7.0E-02			5.0E-01			5.0E-01		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC			1.0E-01				1.0E-01			1.0E+01			1.0E+01		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			1.0E-01				1.0E-01			5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D										4.1E-01			4.1E-01		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С			7.0E-02				7.0E-02								
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC			2.0E+00				2.0E+00			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			2.0E-02				2.0E-02			7.0E-02			7.0E-02		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С			5.0E-02				5.0E-02			2.0E-01			2.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	2.35E-08	2.0E-02	1.2E-06			2.0E-02		1.67E-)5		6.00E-10			1.2E-06
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	2.35E-08	2.0E-02	1.2E-06			2.0E-02		1.67E-)5		6.00E-10			1.2E-06
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID			2.0E-01				2.0E-01								
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			7.0E-02				7.0E-02			1.3E-02			1.3E-02		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			4.0E-02				4.0E-02			3.6E-02			3.6E-02		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D			1.0E-01				1.0E-01			9.0E+00			9.0E+00		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID			5.0E-03				5.0E-03			3.0E-01			3.0E-01		
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID										3.0E+00			3.0E+00		
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	1.37E-08	6.0E-02	2.3E-07			6.0E-02		9.40E-	06 1.0E+00	2.1E-06	3.50E-10	1.0E+00	7.7E-11	2.3E-06
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5				2.0E-01				2.0E-01			3.0E+00			3.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC			5.0E-02				5.0E-02								
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			1.0E-01				1.0E-01			4.0E-02			4.0E-02		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID			8.0E-01				8.0E-01			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID			7.0E+00				7.0E+00			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			4.0E-03				4.0E-03			2.0E-03			2.0E-03		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	3.64E-07	5.0E-04	7.3E-04			5.0E-04		3.02E-	04 5.4E-01	1.3E-04	9.30E-09	5.4E-01	4.0E-09	8.6E-04
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A			3.0E-03				3.0E-03			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID			2.0E-01				2.0E-01			3.0E-01			3.0E-01		
								_					_					
		Haza	ard Index:				7E-04					-	_	1E-04			4E-09	9E-04
Notes:		1.020			1		4	1						4				52 54
The disper	sion coefficient to outdoor air (0	C/Q) is 34.0 (ka/m3) / (ka	g/m2/s).														
This C/O to	C/Q term is estimated using the empirical correlation in LISEPA's Supplemental Soil Screening Guidance (2002) conservatively assuming a source area of 153 acres (f											s (the site an	ea) and req	ion-specific r	neteorologi	cal paramete	ers.	
The conce	ntration of particulates in the air	is assumed	to he no m	ore than the	former annu	al National	Amhient Ai	r Quality Sta	ndarde (NIA	AOS) for PM	1.0 of 50 ur	1/m ³				l	paramot	
THE COILE	incluion of particulates in the all	is assumed	IO DE HO III			annauonai	A HOIGHT AI	a Guanty Ola	nualus (INP		10 01 00 UU	y/111 .			1 1	1		

	Attachment 4: Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Construction Workers to Groundwater in Excavations															
					Whi	ripool, Fo	rt Smith	, Arkansa	s							
					Incid	lental Inges	stion		Derma	I Contact			Vapor I	nhalation	_	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{gw} (mg/l)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF _{oral} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	DA (L/cm ² - event)	LADD (mg/kg/d)	SF_{derm} (mg/kg/d) ⁻¹	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	EC (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	Risk	Risk
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1.99E-01	5.56E-09			1.27E-06	2.33E-09			1.01E-02	6.56E-07			
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А	6.53E-02	1.83E-09	5.5E-02	1.0E-10	3.70E-05	2.23E-08	5.5E-02	1.2E-09	9.29E-03	6.06E-07	7.8E-03	4.7E-09	6.1E-09
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	6.79E-02	1.90E-09	6.2E-02	1.2E-10	1.70E-05	1.06E-08	6.2E-02	6.6E-10	6.45E-03	4.21E-07			7.8E-10
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	7.67E-02	2.14E-09	7.9E-03	1.7E-11	1.40E-05	9.91E-09	7.9E-03	7.8E-11	5.33E-03	3.48E-07	1.1E-03	3.8E-10	4.8E-10
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	6.13E-02	1.71E-09			7.68E-06	4.34E-09			7.94E-03	5.18E-07			
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1.06E-01	2.96E-09			2.42E-06	2.37E-09			6.94E-03	4.53E-07			
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		6.52E-02	1.82E-09			3.11E-05	1.87E-08			9.51E-03	6.21E-07			
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	6.69E-02	1.87E-09	7.0E-02	1.3E-10	4.84E-05	2.99E-08	7.0E-02	2.1E-09	6.87E-03	4.48E-07	6.0E-03	2.7E-09	4.9E-09
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	6.86E-02	1.92E-09			8.00E-05	5.06E-08			8.06E-03	5.26E-07			
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	7.23E-02	2.02E-09			1.49E-05	9.93E-09			1.14E-02	7.44E-07			
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	6.64E-02	1.86E-09	1.9E-02	3.5E-11	1.86E-05	1.14E-08	1.9E-02	2.2E-10	7.59E-03	4.95E-07	2.3E-02	1.1E-08	1.2E-08
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	9.00E-02	2.52E-09			3.58E-05	2.97E-08			1.60E-02	1.05E-06			
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	C	7.13E-02	1.99E-09	8.4E-02	1.7E-10	1.42E-05	9.33E-09	8.4E-02	7.8E-10	5.79E-03	3.78E-07			9.5E-10
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	6.59E-02	1.84E-09			1.83E-05	1.11E-08			8.34E-03	5.44E-07			
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	6.61E-02	1.85E-09	9.1E-02	1.7E-10	1.13E-05	6.89E-09	9.1E-02	6.3E-10	7.80E-03	5.09E-07	2.6E-02	1.3E-08	1.4E-08
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	2.50E-01	6.99E-09			3.10E-05	7.15E-08			3.23E-02	2.11E-06			_
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.00E+01	2.80E-07			2.07E-05	1.91E-06			1.27E+00	8.29E-05			
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.00E+01	2.80E-07			2.07E-05	1.91E-06			1.27E+00	8.29E-05			
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID Do	5.99E-02	1.67E-09	0.05.00	0.05.44	2.83E-05	1.57E-08	0.05.00	105 10	7.70E-03	5.02E-07			5 05 40
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	/8-8/-5	B2	6.52E-02	1.82E-09	3.6E-02	6.6E-11	2.12E-05	1.27E-08	3.6E-02	4.6E-10	7.60E-03	4.96E-07	4.05.00	1.55.00	5.2E-10
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1.42E-01	3.98E-09	1.0E-01	4.0E-10	2.25E-05	2.96E-08	1.0E-01	3.0E-09	1.72E-02	1.12E-06	4.0E-03	4.5E-09	7.8E-09
VOC	Etnyi Benzene	100-41-4	D	6.89E-02	1.93E-09			1.27E-04	8.06E-08			8.44E-03	5.50E-07			-
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1.20E-01	3.35E-09			9.75E-06	1.08E-08			8.77E-03	5.72E-07			
VOC	4-Methylene Chloride	108-10-1		1.20E-01	3.35E-09	2 OF 02	175 11	7.32E-06	8.10E-09	2.05.02	5 0E 11	1.01E-02	0.01E-07	1 05 05	0.7E 11	0.75.11
VOC	Sturene	75-09-2	LC	3.10E-01	8.67E-09	2.0E-03	1.7E-11	9.25E-06	2.05E-08	2.0E-03	5.3E-11	4.14E-02	2.70E-00	1.0E-05	2.7E-11	9.7E-11
VOC	1 1 2 2 Totrachloroothana	100-42-5	10	6.03E-02	1.91E-09	2 OF 01	2 CE 10	9.63E-05	0.07E-00	2.05.01	2.05.00	0.20E-03	3.39E-07			2.45.00
VOC	Totrachloroothono	19-34-3		0.40E-02	1.79E-09	2.0E-01	3.02-10	2.57E-05	1.32E-00	2.0E-01	5.0E-09	5.03E-03	3.20E-07	265.04	1 25 10	3.4E-09
VOC		127-10-4		7.07E-02	2.14E-09	2.1E-03	4.3E-12	3.99E-05	2.02E-00	2.1E-03	5.9E-11	7.57E-03	4.94E-07	2.00-04	1.3E-10	1.92-10
VOC	1 1 1-Trichloroothano	71 55 6		0.34E-02	1.03E-09			2.00E-05	4.00E-00			0.30E-03	3.00E-07			
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	71-55-6		6.50E-02	3.08E-09	5 7E-02	1 1E-10	2.02E-05	1.23E-08	5.7E-02	7.0E-10	6.65E-02	1.90E-07	1 6E-02	6.9E-09	7.7E-00
VOC	Trichloroothono	79-00-5		0.39E-02	1.04E-09	3.7E-02	1.12-10	2.02E-03	1.232-00	3.7E-02	1.0E-10	0.05E-03	4.34E-07	1.00-02	0.9E-09	1.7E-09
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-0		2.50E+00	6.99E-08	7.2E-01	5.0E-08	1.69E-05	3 80E-07	4.0L-02	2.8E-07	4.03E-01	2.63E-05	4.1E-03	2.4L-00	4.4L-00
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7		2.30L+00	5.57E-09	1.22-01	J.0L-00	1.09E-03	2.42E-07	7.2L-01	2.02-07	2.44E-02	1.59E-06	4.42-03	1.22-07	4.50-07
		1000 20-1		1.002 01	0.07 - 03			1.022 04	2.722 07			2.776 02	1.001 00			+
		Cumula	ative Risk:				2E-07				2E-06				3E-06	5E-06
Notes																
This C/C	term is estimated using USEPA's S	CREEN3 air disp	ersion mor	el (USEPA 1	995) to estima	ate maximu	m 1-hour c	oncentration	s at group	d level The	source area	for mainter	hance work	ers is based	l on a 15 by 1	15 foot
excavatio								onoonaalon	o at groun						a on a to by	101000

	Attachment 4: Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of On-Site Construction Workers to Groundwater in Excavations														
					Whirlpoo	l, Fort Sr	nith, Arka	insas							
					Incic	lental Inge	stion		Dermal	Contact		Va	por Inhalat	ion	All Routes
Chem			Cancer	Con	ADD	RfDoral		DA	ADD	RfDdorm		Cair	RfC		
Group	Chemical	CASRN	Class	(mg/L)	(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	HQ	(L/cm ² -	(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	HQ	(mg/m ³)	(mg/m ³)	HQ	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	1.99E-01	3.89E-07	2.0E+00	1.9E-07	1.27E-06	1.63E-07	2.0E+00	8.2E-08	1.01E-02	3.1E+01	1.5E-06	1.8E-06
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A	6.53E-02	1.28E-07	1.0E-02	1.3E-05	3.70E-05	1.56E-06	1.0E-02	1.6E-04	9.29E-03	9.0E-02	4.7E-04	6.4E-04
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2	6.79E-02	1.33E-07	2.0E-02	6.6E-06	1.70E-05	7.45E-07	2.0E-02	3.7E-05	6.45E-03	2.0E-02	1.5E-03	1.5E-03
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	7.67E-02	1.50E-07	3.0E-02	5.0E-06	1.40E-05	6.94E-07	3.0E-02	2.3E-05	5.33E-03			2.8E-05
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID	6.13E-02	1.20E-07	5.0E-03	2.4E-05	7.68E-06	3.04E-07	5.0E-03	6.1E-05	7.94E-03	1.0E-01	3.6E-04	4.5E-04
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	1.06E-01	2.07E-07	2.0E+00	1.0E-07	2.42E-06	1.66E-07	2.0E+00	8.3E-08	6.94E-03	5.0E+00	6.3E-06	6.5E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0		6.52E-02	1.28E-07	1.0E-01	1.3E-06	3.11E-05	1.31E-06	1.0E-01	1.3E-05	9.51E-03	7.0E-01	6.2E-05	7.6E-05
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC	6.69E-02	1.31E-07	1.0E-02	1.3E-05	4.84E-05	2.09E-06	1.0E-02	2.1E-04	6.87E-03	1.9E-01	1.7E-04	3.9E-04
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D	6.86E-02	1.34E-07	7.0E-02	1.9E-06	8.00E-05	3.55E-06	7.0E-02	5.1E-05	8.06E-03	5.0E-01	7.4E-05	1.3E-04
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC	7.23E-02	1.41E-07	1.0E-01	1.4E-06	1.49E-05	6.95E-07	1.0E-01	6.9E-06	1.14E-02	1.0E+01	5.2E-06	1.4E-05
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2	6.64E-02	1.30E-07	1.0E-01	1.3E-06	1.86E-05	7.97E-07	1.0E-01	8.0E-06	7.59E-03	5.0E-02	6.9E-04	7.0E-04
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D	9.00E-02	1.76E-07			3.58E-05	2.08E-06			1.60E-02	4.1E-01	1.8E-04	1.8E-04
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С	7.13E-02	1.40E-07	7.0E-02	2.0E-06	1.42E-05	6.53E-07	7.0E-02	9.3E-06	5.79E-03			1.1E-05
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC	6.59E-02	1.29E-07	2.0E+00	6.4E-08	1.83E-05	7.78E-07	2.0E+00	3.9E-07	8.34E-03	5.0E+00	7.6E-06	8.1E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	6.61E-02	1.29E-07	2.0E-02	6.5E-06	1.13E-05	4.82E-07	2.0E-02	2.4E-05	7.80E-03	7.0E-02	5.1E-04	5.4E-04
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	2.50E-01	4.89E-07	5.0E-02	9.8E-06	3.10E-05	5.01E-06	5.0E-02	1.0E-04	3.23E-02	2.0E-01	7.4E-04	8.5E-04
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.00E+01	1.96E-05	2.0E-02	9.8E-04	2.07E-05	1.34E-04	2.0E-02	6.7E-03	1.27E+00			7.7E-03
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.00E+01	1.96E-05	2.0E-02	9.8E-04	2.07E-05	1.34E-04	2.0E-02	6.7E-03	1.27E+00			7.7E-03
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID	5.99E-02	1.17E-07	2.0E-01	5.9E-07	2.83E-05	1.10E-06	2.0E-01	5.5E-06	7.70E-03			6.1E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2	6.52E-02	1.28E-07	7.0E-02	1.8E-06	2.12E-05	8.91E-07	7.0E-02	1.3E-05	7.60E-03	1.3E-02	2.7E-03	2.7E-03
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2	1.42E-01	2.78E-07	4.0E-02	7.0E-06	2.25E-05	2.07E-06	4.0E-02	5.2E-05	1.72E-02	3.6E-02	2.2E-03	2.2E-03
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D	6.89E-02	1.35E-07	1.0E-01	1.3E-06	1.27E-04	5.64E-06	1.0E-01	5.6E-05	8.44E-03	9.0E+00	4.3E-06	6.2E-05
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID	1.20E-01	2.35E-07	5.0E-03	4.7E-05	9.75E-06	7.56E-07	5.0E-03	1.5E-04	8.77E-03	3.0E-01	1.3E-04	3.3E-04
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	1.20E-01	2.35E-07			7.32E-06	5.67E-07			1.01E-02	3.0E+00	1.5E-05	1.5E-05
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	3.10E-01	6.07E-07	6.0E-02	1.0E-05	9.25E-06	1.85E-06	6.0E-02	3.1E-05	4.14E-02	1.0E+00	1.8E-04	2.2E-04
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5		6.83E-02	1.34E-07	2.0E-01	6.7E-07	9.63E-05	4.25E-06	2.0E-01	2.1E-05	8.26E-03	3.0E+00	1.3E-05	3.4E-05
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC	6.40E-02	1.25E-07	5.0E-02	2.5E-06	2.57E-05	1.06E-06	5.0E-02	2.1E-05	5.03E-03			2.4E-05
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC	7.67E-02	1.50E-07	1.0E-01	1.5E-06	3.99E-05	1.98E-06	1.0E-01	2.0E-05	7.57E-03	4.0E-02	8.6E-04	8.9E-04
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID	6.54E-02	1.28E-07	8.0E-01	1.6E-07	8.08E-05	3.41E-06	8.0E-01	4.3E-06	8.58E-03	5.0E+00	7.8E-06	1.2E-05
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID	1.10E-01	2.15E-07	7.0E+00	3.1E-08	3.85E-05	2.74E-06	7.0E+00	3.9E-07	1.21E-02	5.0E+00	1.1E-05	1.1E-05
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С	6.59E-02	1.29E-07	4.0E-03	3.2E-05	2.02E-05	8.58E-07	4.0E-03	2.1E-04	6.65E-03	2.0E-03	1.5E-02	1.5E-02
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	8.10E+01	1.59E-04	5.0E-04	3.2E-01	5.53E-05	2.89E-03	5.0E-04	5.8E+00	8.95E+00	5.4E-01	7.6E-02	6.2E+00
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A	2.50E+00	4.89E-06	3.0E-03	1.6E-03	1.69E-05	2.72E-05	3.0E-03	9.1E-03	4.03E-01	1.0E-01	1.8E-02	2.9E-02
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID	1.99E-01	3.90E-07	2.0E-01	2.0E-06	1.32E-04	1.70E-05	2.0E-01	8.5E-05	2.44E-02	3.0E-01	3.7E-04	4.6E-04
		Haza	ard Index:				3E-01	_			6E+00			1E-01	6E+00
Materi															_
NOTES:	arm is actimated using LICEDA's CODEE		امه معمادا			te mender:	a 1 haur		ot group -L	aval The		an mainte			
This C/Q t	erm is esumated using USEPA'S SCREE	ing air dispers	sion model	(USEPA 199	o) to estima	ie maximur	II I-NOUL COL	icentrations	al ground l	evel. The s	source area f	or maintena	Ince worke	IS IS DASED	on a 15 by 15
100t excav	auon.														

Attachment 5

Off-Site Resident Risk Calculations

Contents:

- Vapor Flux from On-Site Soil to Outdoor Air
- Soil PM10 Emission from Wind Erosion
- Cancer Risk Calculations for Exposure of Residents to On-Site Soil
- Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of Residents to On-Site Soil
- Soil Moisture Profile for Residential Building (Slab-on-Grade)
- Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Residential Building (Slab-on-Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Groundwater
- Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations Residents due to Groundwater Vapor Intrusion into a Residential Building (Slab-on-Grade)
- Vapor Flux to Outdoor Air from Groundwater
- Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of Resident to Groundwater-Derived Vapors in Outdoor Air

				Attachm	ent 5: Va	por Flux	from On-	Site Soil	to Outdo	or Air					
					Whi	rlpool, Fo	ort Smith	Arkansa	S						
Chem			K _{oc}	н	Dair	D _{water}	RL	D _G	DL	D _E	Infinite J _v	Finite depth	Finite depth Z2	Finite J_v	J_v
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(L/kg)	(unitless)	(m ² /d)	(m ² /d)	(unitless)	(m ² /d)	(m ² /d)	(m²/d)	(kg/m ² -s)	Z1 ERFC term	ERFC term	(kg/m ² -s)	(kg/m ² -s)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	5.81E-01	1.14E-03	1.07E+00	9.85E-05	3.17E-01	1.15E-02	9.10E-06	7.01E-05	1.44E-06	0.00E+00	1.16E-02	1.44E-06	1.44E-06
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	5.82E+01	1.59E-01	7.60E-01	8.47E-05	5.02E-01	8.19E-03	7.82E-06	2.61E-03	8.79E-06	0.00E+00	2.30E+00	4.32E-06	4.32E-06
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	5.51E+01	4.45E-02	2.57E-01	9.16E-05	4.75E-01	2.77E-03	8.46E-06	2.78E-04	2.87E-06	0.00E+00	5.05E-01	2.65E-06	2.65E-06
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	8.70E+01	1.34E-02	1.29E-01	8.90E-05	5.58E-01	1.39E-03	8.22E-06	4.80E-05	1.19E-06	0.00E+00	1.31E-03	1.19E-06	1.19E-06
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	1.05E+01	2.01E-01	6.29E-01	1.05E-04	3.78E-01	6.78E-03	9.65E-06	3.63E-03	1.04E-05	0.00E+00	2.49E+00	4.47E-06	4.47E-06
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	2.00E+00	1.96E-03	6.98E-01	8.47E-05	3.21E-01	7.52E-03	7.82E-06	7.03E-05	1.44E-06	0.00E+00	1.17E-02	1.44E-06	1.44E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	4.59E+01	9.26E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	5.96E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	1.51E-02	2.11E-05	0.00E+00	3.07E+00	4.91E-06	4.91E-06
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	1.74E+02	8.82E-01	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	9.41E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	6.81E-03	1.42E-05	0.00E+00	2.80E+00	4.70E-06	4.70E-06
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	2.20E+02	9.77E-02	6.31E-01	7.52E-05	9.40E-01	6.79E-03	6.94E-06	7.13E-04	4.60E-06	0.00E+00	1.30E+00	3.49E-06	3.49E-06
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	1.62E+01	3.25E-01	2.34E+00	9.94E-05	4.14E-01	2.52E-02	9.18E-06	1.98E-02	2.43E-05	0.00E+00	3.15E+00	4.96E-06	4.96E-06
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	3.97E+01	1.07E-01	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	4.43E-01	9.68E-03	7.98E-06	2.37E-03	8.38E-06	0.00E+00	2.24E+00	4.28E-06	4.28E-06
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	3.97E+01	3.33E-01	1.09E+00	5.62E-05	4.80E-01	1.17E-02	5.19E-06	8.14E-03	1.55E-05	0.00E+00	2.87E+00	4.76E-06	4.76E-06
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	6.26E+01	2.38E-02	1.69E-01	9.07E-05	4.92E-01	1.82E-03	8.38E-06	1.05E-04	1.77E-06	0.00E+00	5.87E-02	1.76E-06	1.76E-06
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	/5-34-3	3.13E+01	1.66E-01	6.41E-01	9.07E-05	4.29E-01	6.91E-03	8.38E-06	2.68E-03	8.92E-06	0.00E+00	2.32E+00	4.34E-06	4.34E-06
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	1.75E+01	2.74E-02	8.99E-01	8.55E-05	3.68E-01	9.68E-03	7.90E-06	7.42E-04	4.69E-06	0.00E+00	1.33E+00	3.53E-06	3.53E-06
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	5.82E+01	8.10E-01	7.78E-01	8.99E-05	6.10E-01	8.38E-03	8.30E-06	1.11E-02	1.82E-05	0.00E+00	2.98E+00	4.84E-06	4.84E-06
VOC	ric 1.2 Dichloroothono	240-59-0	3.50E+01	1.19E-01	6.30E-01	9.76E-05	4.33E-01	6.85E-03	9.02E-06	1.90E-03	7.50E-06	0.00E+00	2.09E+00	4.16E-06	4.16E-06
VOC	trans_1_2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	5.30E+01	2.81E-01	6.11E-01	9.70E-03	4.33E-01	6.58E-03	9.02E-00	1.90E-03	1.04E-05	0.00E+00	2.09E+00	4.10E-00	4.10E-00
VOC	1 2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	4 35E+01	7.82E-02	6.76E-01	7.54E-05	1 / 8E-01	7.28E-03	6.97E-06	1.28E-03	6 17E-06	0.00E+00	2.30L+00	3.92E-06	3.92E-06
VOC	1.3-Dichloropropene (total)	5/2-75-6	4.53E+01	1.82E-02	5.41E-01	8.64E-05	5.22E-01	5.83E-03	7 98E-06	5.40E-03	1.27E-05	0.00E+00	2.69E±00	1.63E-06	1.63E-06
VOC	Fthyl Benzene	100-41-4	3.67E+02	2.04E-01	6 48E-01	6 74E-05	1.36E+00	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.05E-03	5.58E-06	0.00E+00	1.63E+00	3 79E-06	3 79E-06
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	1.48E+01	3.23E-03	7.45E-01	7.57E-05	3.57E-01	8.02E-03	6.99E-06	9.23E-05	1.65E-06	0.00E+00	3.69E-02	1.65E-06	1.65E-06
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	1.05E+01	4.71E-03	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	3.45E-01	6.98E-03	6.22E-06	1.13E-04	1.83E-06	0.00E+00	7.41E-02	1.82E-06	1.82E-06
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.17E+01	6.60E-02	8.73E-01	1.01E-04	3.59E-01	9.40E-03	9.33E-06	1.76E-03	7.22E-06	0.00E+00	2.03E+00	4.11E-06	4.11E-06
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	7.77E+02	7.04E-02	6.13E-01	6.91E-05	2.47E+00	6.61E-03	6.38E-06	1.91E-04	2.38E-06	0.00E+00	2.69E-01	2.29E-06	2.29E-06
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	9.35E+01	8.74E-03	6.13E-01	6.83E-05	5.75E-01	6.61E-03	6.30E-06	1.11E-04	1.82E-06	0.00E+00	7.02E-02	1.80E-06	1.80E-06
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	1.56E+02	4.90E-01	6.22E-01	7.08E-05	8.27E-01	6.70E-03	6.54E-06	3.98E-03	1.09E-05	0.00E+00	2.54E+00	4.51E-06	4.51E-06
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	1.80E+02	1.80E-01	7.52E-01	7.43E-05	8.43E-01	8.10E-03	6.86E-06	1.74E-03	7.19E-06	0.00E+00	2.02E+00	4.11E-06	4.11E-06
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	1.10E+02	4.97E-01	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	7.02E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	5.15E-03	1.24E-05	0.00E+00	2.67E+00	4.61E-06	4.61E-06
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	5.03E+01	2.43E-02	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	4.58E-01	7.26E-03	7.02E-06	4.00E-04	3.45E-06	0.00E+00	7.93E-01	3.00E-06	3.00E-06
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	1.68E+02	2.88E-01	6.83E-01	7.86E-05	8.26E-01	7.35E-03	7.26E-06	2.57E-03	8.74E-06	0.00E+00	2.29E+00	4.32E-06	4.32E-06
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	1.85E+01	9.00E-01	9.16E-01	1.06E-04	5.15E-01	9.87E-03	9.81E-06	1.72E-02	2.26E-05	0.00E+00	3.11E+00	4.94E-06	4.94E-06
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	3.86E+02	1.73E-01	6.74E-01	7.56E-05	1.41E+00	7.26E-03	6.98E-06	8.97E-04	5.16E-06	0.00E+00	1.50E+00	3.67E-06	3.67E-06
Notes	Soil bulk density	ka/l	0	1 38											
Notes.	Soil porosity		Pb A	0.48											
	Soil water content		θ	0.40											
	Soil air-filled porosity	L/L-soil	θ	0.17											
	Soil organic carbon fraction	unitless	f _{oc}	0.002											
	0														
	Averaging period (Exposure Duration)	year	Т	25											
		days	Т	9125											
		S	Т	7.9E+08											
	Molar Gas Constant	L-mmHg/	R	62.411											
	Temperature	°C	Temp	16.7											
		K	Temp	289.7											
	Clean soil above source	m	Z ₁	0.00											
	Bottom of source depth	m	Z ₂	3.66											

Attachment 5: Soil PM10 Emission from Wind Erosion										
Whirlpool, Fort Smith, A	rkansas									
Unlimited Reservoir Model										
Aerodynamic particle size multiplier			0.036							
Ground cover fraction		G	0.5							
Mode of aggregate size distribution	mm		0.50							
Threshold friction velocity	m/s	u' _t	0.50							
Correction factor			1.25							
Corrected friction velocity	m/s	u* _t	0.6252							
Roughness height	m	z ₀	0.005							
Anemometer height	m		10.0							
Friction velocity at anemometer height	m/s	u _t	11.9							
Mean annual wind speed	mph	u _m	7.6							
Mean annual wind speed	m/s	u _m	3.40							
u _m /u _t			0.286							
$x = 0.886 u_t / u_m$			3.10							
F(x)			0.003							
Annual average PM ₁₀ flux	kg-soil/m ² -s	J _{10,w}	3.93E-13							

	Atta	chment 5: (Cancer F	Risk Calc	ulations fo	or Expos	ure of	Residents t	o On-Site	e Soil					
				whirip	ool, Fort S	Soil Vapor Inhalation Soil Particulate Inhalation All Route									
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	f _{inh}	Risk	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	f _{inh}	Risk	Risk		
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID												
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А			7.8E-03				7.8E-03					
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2												
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2			1.1E-03				1.1E-03					
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID												
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID												
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0													
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			6.0E-03				6.0E-03					
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D												
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC												
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			2.3E-02				2.3E-02					
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D												
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С												
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC												
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			2.6E-02				2.6E-02					
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С												
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	1.70E-06				1.60E-13						
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	1.70E-06				1.60E-13						
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID												
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2												
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			4.0E-03				4.0E-03					
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D												
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID												
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID												
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	9.79E-07	1.0E-05	1	1.0E-11	9.35E-14	1.0E-05	1	9.7E-19	1.0E-11		
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5													
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC												
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			2.6E-04				2.6E-04					
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID												
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID												
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			1.6E-02				1.6E-02					
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	2.73E-05	4.1E-03	0.244	6.3E-08	2.48E-12	4.1E-03	0.244	5.7E-15	6.3E-08		
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	А			4.4E-03				4.4E-03					
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID												
		Cumula	tive Risk:					6E-08				6E-15	6E-08		
Notes:															
The dispers	ion coefficient to outdoor air (C/O) is 34	0 (ka/m3) / (ka/m3)	a/m2/s)												
This C/O to	rm is estimated using the empirical corr		DA'e Quee	lomontal S	oil Screening	Guidanco	(2002)	onservativolu	accumina o	SOURCE are	a of 152	acres (the site	area) and region		
specific mot	toorological parameters		i A s Supp	nemental 30	on ocreening	Guiuance	(2002), 0	onservatively i	assuming a	source ale	a 01 103	ออาธิจ (เกษ ริเเต	a caj anu region-		
				han ta							1 1				
ITinh IS the fra	action of the inhalation toxicity values i	that USEPA ide	entified as	naving a m	utagenic mo	de of actio	n.		1	1			1		

	Attachme	nt 5: Hazar	d Index C	alculation	s for Expo	osure of	Residents	s to On-S	ite Soil		
	T	1	Whi	rlpool, For	t Smith, A	rkansas					-
					Soil \	/apor Inha	lation	Soil Pa	rticulate In	halation	All Routes
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Cancer Class	C _{soil} (mg/kg)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID			3.1E+01			3.1E+01		
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А			3.0E-02			3.0E-02		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2								
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2								
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID			5.0E-03			5.0E-03		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0				7.0E-01			7.0E-01		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D			5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC			1.0E+01			1.0E+01		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2			5.0E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D			9.0E-02			9.0E-02		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С								
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC			5.0E-01			5.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2			7.0E-03			7.0E-03		
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С			2.0E-01			2.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		1.20E-02	1.70E-06			1.60E-13			2.2E-05
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	1.20E-02	1.70E-06			1.60E-13			2.2E-05
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID								
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2			4.0E-03			4.0E-03		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2			2.0E-02			2.0E-02		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D			1.0E+00			1.0E+00		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID			3.0E-02			3.0E-02		
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID			3.0E+00			3.0E+00		
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC	7.00E-03	9.79E-07	6.0E-01	1.6E-06	9.35E-14	6.0E-01	1.5E-13	5.8E-06
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5				1.0E+00			1.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC								
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC			4.0E-02			4.0E-02		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID			5.0E+00			5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С			2.0E-04			2.0E-04		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.86E-01	2.73E-05	2.0E-03	1.3E-02	2.48E-12	2.0E-03	1.2E-09	1.4E-02
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	Α			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID			1.0E-01			1.0E-01		
		Ната	rd Index:				1E-02			1E-09	1F-0
Notes:					+						0.
The disne	rsion coefficient to outdoor air ((C/Q) is 34.0 (ka/m3) / (ka	1/m2/s)				-			
This C/O +	erm is estimated using the em	nirical correlat		A's Sunnlem	ental Soil Sc	reening Gu	lidance (200)2) conserv	atively ass	iming a sou	rce area of



Attachment 5: Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Residential Building (Slab on Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Groundwater											
				Whirlpool	, Fort Smi	th, Arkans	as				
Chem			D _{air}	D _{water}	Н	D _{crack}	D _{eff} ^T				C _{bldg}
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(m²/day)	(m²/day)	(unitless)	(m²/day)	(m²/day)	α _{soil}	α_{slab}	α∞	(L-water/m ³)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	1.07E+00	9.85E-05	1.14E-03	1.72E-01	1.87E-02	6.80E-02	2.73E-03	1.86E-04	2.12E-04
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	7.60E-01	8.47E-05	1.59E-01	1.22E-01	8.15E-04	3.17E-03	2.73E-03	8.67E-06	1.38E-03
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	2.57E-01	9.16E-05	4.45E-02	4.13E-02	1.07E-03	4.17E-03	2.73E-03	1.14E-05	5.07E-04
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	1.29E-01	8.90E-05	1.34E-02	2.07E-02	1.64E-03	6.37E-03	2.73E-03	1.74E-05	2.33E-04
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	6.29E-01	1.05E-04	2.01E-01	1.01E-01	7.43E-04	2.89E-03	2.73E-03	7.90E-06	1.59E-03
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	6.98E-01	8.47E-05	1.96E-03	1.12E-01	1.01E-02	3.78E-02	2.73E-03	1.03E-04	2.03E-04
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	9.26E-01	1.44E-01	2.93E-04	1.14E-03	2.73E-03	3.12E-06	2.89E-03
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	8.82E-01	1.08E-01	2.52E-04	9.82E-04	2.73E-03	2.68E-06	2.37E-03
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	6.31E-01	7.52E-05	9.77E-02	1.01E-01	9.32E-04	3.63E-03	2.73E-03	9.91E-06	9.68E-04
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	2.34E+00	9.94E-05	3.25E-01	3.76E-01	8.86E-04	3.45E-03	2.73E-03	9.42E-06	3.06E-03
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	8.99E-01	8.64E-05	1.07E-01	1.44E-01	1.11E-03	4.32E-03	2.73E-03	1.18E-05	1.27E-03
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	1.09E+00	5.62E-05	3.33E-01	1.75E-01	4.61E-04	1.80E-03	2.73E-03	4.90E-06	1.63E-03
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	1.69E-01	9.07E-05	2.38E-02	2.72E-02	1.27E-03	4.94E-03	2.73E-03	1.35E-05	3.21E-04
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	6.41E-01	9.07E-05	1.66E-01	1.03E-01	7.72E-04	3.00E-03	2.73E-03	8.21E-06	1.36E-03
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	8.99E-01	8.55E-05	2.74E-02	1.44E-01	2.37E-03	9.19E-03	2.73E-03	2.51E-05	6.88E-04
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	7.78E-01	8.99E-05	8.10E-01	1.25E-01	3.12E-04	1.22E-03	2.73E-03	3.32E-06	2.69E-03
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	1.19E-01	1.02E-01	9.72E-04	3.78E-03	2.73E-03	1.03E-05	1.22E-03
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	6.36E-01	9.76E-05	1.19E-01	1.02E-01	9.72E-04	3.78E-03	2.73E-03	1.03E-05	1.22E-03
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	6.11E-01	1.03E-04	2.81E-01	9.81E-02	5.96E-04	2.32E-03	2.73E-03	6.35E-06	1.79E-03
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	6.76E-01	7.54E-05	7.82E-02	1.08E-01	1.09E-03	4.24E-03	2.73E-03	1.16E-05	9.07E-04
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	5.41E-01	8.64E-05	4.83E-01	8.68E-02	3.69E-04	1.44E-03	2.73E-03	3.93E-06	1.90E-03
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	2.04E-01	1.04E-01	5.75E-04	2.24E-03	2.73E-03	6.12E-06	1.25E-03
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	7.45E-01	7.57E-05	3.23E-03	1.20E-01	6.86E-03	2.61E-02	2.73E-03	7.13E-05	2.31E-04
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	6.48E-01	6.74E-05	4.71E-03	1.04E-01	4.81E-03	1.84E-02	2.73E-03	5.03E-05	2.37E-04
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	8.73E-01	1.01E-04	6.60E-02	1.40E-01	1.58E-03	6.14E-03	2.73E-03	1.68E-05	1.11E-03
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	6.13E-01	6.91E-05	7.04E-02	9.85E-02	1.06E-03	4.11E-03	2.73E-03	1.12E-05	7.90E-04
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	6.13E-01	6.83E-05	8.74E-03	9.85E-02	3.31E-03	1.28E-02	2.73E-03	3.48E-05	3.05E-04
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	6.22E-01	7.08E-05	4.90E-01	9.99E-02	3.40E-04	1.33E-03	2.73E-03	3.63E-06	1.78E-03
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	7.52E-01	7.43E-05	1.80E-01	1.21E-01	6.97E-04	2.71E-03	2.73E-03	7.41E-06	1.34E-03
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	4.97E-01	1.08E-01	3.64E-04	1.42E-03	2.73E-03	3.87E-06	1.92E-03
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	6.74E-01	7.60E-05	2.43E-02	1.08E-01	2.09E-03	8.08E-03	2.73E-03	2.21E-05	5.37E-04
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	6.83E-01	7.86E-05	2.88E-01	1.10E-01	5.23E-04	2.04E-03	2.73E-03	5.57E-06	1.60E-03
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	9.16E-01	1.06E-04	9.00E-01	1.47E-01	3.44E-04	1.34E-03	2.73E-03	3.66E-06	3.30E-03

Attachment 5: Normalized Indoor Air Concentration in a Residential Building (Slab on Grade) due to Vapor Intrusion from Groundwater Whirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas $\mathbf{D}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{T}}$ D_{air} \mathbf{D}_{water} C_{blda} Dcrack н Chem (m²/day) (m²/day) (m²/day) (m²/day) Group (unitless) (L-water/m³) CASRN Chemical α_{soil} α_{slab} α∞ VOC Xylenes (total) 1330-20-7 6.74E-01 7.56E-05 1.73E-01 1.08E-01 6.90E-04 2.68E-03 2.73E-03 7.34E-06 1.27E-03 **Crack Soil and Building Characteristics** Crack Soil Notes: SCS Soil texture class Sand Bulk density kg/L 1.66 ρ_{b} L/L-soil Total porosity θτ 0.375 0.054 Water-filled porosity L/L-soil θ., Air-filled porosity L/L-soil 0.321 θ, Residual saturation L/L-soil θr 0.053 Hydraulic conductivity κ 7.4E-03 cm/s Dynamic viscosity of water q/cm-s 0.01307 μ_w Density of water g/cm[°] 1.0 ρ_w Gravitational acceleration cm/s² 980.7 g Intrinsic permeability 9.9E-08 cm² k Relative saturation unitless S 0.004 van Genuchten N Ν 3.177 unitless van Genuchten M 0.685 unitless М unitless Relative air permeability k_{ra} 0.998 Permeability to vapor cm² 9.89E-08 k, Distance from building foundation 3.56 L_{T-aw} m Bldg foundation thickness 0.1 Lcrack m Bldg foundation length 10.00 m Bldg foundation width 10.00 m Bldg occupied height 2.44 m Bldg occupied volume m^3 244.00 Occupied depth below ground 0.0 m Bldg area for vapor intrusion m AB 100.0 Ratio of A_{crack} to A_B 4E-04 η Area of cracks m 4E-02 Acrack Air exchange rate hour⁻¹ ach 0.45 Building ventilation rate m°/day Q_{bldg} 2.64E+03 Pressure difference between ka/m-s² ΔP 1.0 Viscosity of air kg/m-s 1.8E-05 μ_a Crack length (bldg perimeter) m Xcrack 40 Crack depth below ground m Zcrack 0.10 Crack radius 1E-03 m r_{crack} Soil gas flow rate into bldg m°/day Q_{soil} 7.20

A	ttachment 5: Cancer Risk a	and Hazard Inc Re	dex Ca siden	alculation tial Build	s for Resi	idents du on-Grade	e to Grour)	ndwate	er Vapor Ir	ntrusion ir	ito a
			Whirlp	ool, Fort	Smith, A	rkansas	,				
			•	,	,			Cance	r	Nonc	ancer
Chem Group	Chemical	CASRN	Carc Class	C _{gw} (mg/L)	C _{bldg} (L- water/m ³)	C _{air} (mg/m ³)	URF (m ³ /mg)	f _{inh}	Risk	RfC (mg/m ³)	HQ
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	8.50E-02	2.12E-04	1.80E-05				3.1E+01	5.6E-07
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	А		1.38E-03		7.8E-03			3.0E-02	
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2		5.07E-04						
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	2.40E-02	2.33E-04	5.58E-06	1.1E-03		2.5E-09		
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID		1.59E-03					5.0E-03	
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	9.50E-02	2.03E-04	1.93E-05				5.0E+00	3.7E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0			2.89E-03					7.0E-01	
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC		2.37E-03		6.0E-03			1.0E-01	
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D		9.68E-04					5.0E-02	
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC		3.06E-03					1.0E+01	
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2		1.27E-03		2.3E-02			5.0E-02	
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D		1.63E-03					9.0E-02	
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	C		3.21E-04					0.01 01	
VOC	1.1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC		1.36E-03					5.0E-01	
VOC	1.2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	3.00E-03	6.88E-04	2.06E-06	2.6E-02		2.2E-08	7.0E-03	2.8E-04
VOC	1.1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	4.20E-03	2.69E-03	1.13E-05				2.0E-01	5.4E-05
VOC	1.2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	-	4.10E-02	1.22E-03	5.02E-05					
VOC	cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	4.10E-02	1.22E-03	5.02E-05					
VOC	trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID		1.79E-03	0.011 00					
VOC	1.2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2		9.07E-04					4.0E-03	
VOC	1.3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2		1.90E-03		4.0E-03			2.0E-02	
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D		1.25E-03					1.0E+00	
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID		2.31E-04					3.0E-02	
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	4.00E-03	2.37E-04	9.49E-07				3.0E+00	3.0E-07
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC		1.11E-03		1.0E-05	1		6.0E-01	
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5			7.90E-04					1.0E+00	
VOC	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC		3.05E-04						
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC		1.78E-03		2.6E-04			4.0E-02	
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID		1.34E-03					5.0E+00	
VOC	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID		1.92E-03					5.0E+00	
VOC	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	C		5.37E-04		1.6E-02			2.0E-04	
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.60F+00	1.60E-03	2.57E-03	4.1E-03	0.244	5.9E-06	2.0E-03	1.2E+00
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	A	3.00E-03	3.30E-03	9.89E-06	4.4E-03	0.211	6.1E-08	1.0E-01	9.5E-05
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID	0.002.00	1.27E-03	0.002.00			0.12.00	1.0E-01	0.02 00
	,,										
							Cumulativ	e Risk:	6E-06	HI:	1E+00
Note:											
f _{inh} is the	fraction of the inhalation toxicity v	alue that USEPA	identifie	d as having	a mutageni	c mode of a	ction.				

	Attachment 5: Vapor Flux	to Outdo	or Air fro	m Groun	dwater	
	Whirlpool, I	apor Flux to Outdoor Air from Groundwater hirlpool, Fort Smith, Arkansas H D_{eff}^T J CASRN (unitless) (m^2/day) (L/m^2-s) (67-64-1 1.14E-03 1.87E-02 6.75E-08 1. 75-27-4 4.45E-02 1.09E-03 1.53E-07 4.1 75-25-2 1.34E-02 1.65E-03 6.97E-08 1.1 74-83-9 2.01E-01 7.57E-04 4.81E-07 1.2 78-93-3 1.96E-03 1.01E-02 6.27E-08 1.1 75-15-0 9.26E-01 2.99E-04 8.76E-07 2.3 108-90-7 9.77E-02 9.49E-04 9.30E-07 2.4 108-90-7 9.77E-02 9.49E-04 9.30E-07 2.4 1108-90-7 9.77E-02 9.49E-04 9.30E-07 2.4 108-90-7 9.77E-02 9.49E-04 4.95E-07 1.3 108-90-7 9.77E-02 2.41E-03 2.09E-07 5.3 124-48-1 2.38E-02 1.28E-03 9.66E-08 <th></th>				
Chem			н	\mathbf{D}_{eff}^{T}	J	C _{air}
Group	Chemical	CASRN	(unitless)	(m²/day)	(L/m ² -s)	(L/m ³)
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	1.14E-03	1.87E-02	6.75E-08	1.78E-06
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	1.59E-01	8.31E-04	4.18E-07	1.10E-05
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	4.45E-02	1.09E-03	1.53E-07	4.03E-06
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	1.34E-02	1.65E-03	6.97E-08	1.84E-06
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	2.01E-01	7.57E-04	4.81E-07	1.27E-05
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	1.96E-03	1.01E-02	6.27E-08	1.65E-06
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	9.26E-01	2.99E-04	8.76E-07	2.31E-05
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	8.82E-01	2.57E-04	7.17E-07	1.89E-05
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	9.77E-02	9.49E-04	2.93E-07	7.73E-06
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	3.25E-01	9.04E-04	9.30E-07	2.45E-05
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	1.07E-01	1.13E-03	3.85E-07	1.01E-05
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	3.33E-01	4.70E-04	4.95E-07	1.30E-05
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	2.38E-02	1.28E-03	9.66E-08	2.55E-06
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	1.66E-01	7.86E-04	4.12E-07	1.09E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	2.74E-02	2.41E-03	2.09E-07	5.52E-06
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	8.10E-01	3.18E-04	8.15E-07	2.15E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0	1.19E-01	9.89E-04	3.71E-07	9.79E-06
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	1.19E-01	9.89E-04	3.71E-07	9.79E-06
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	2.81E-01	6.08E-04	5.41E-07	1.43E-05
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	7.82E-02	1.11E-03	2.75E-07	7.25E-06
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	4.83E-01	3.76E-04	5.75E-07	1.52E-05
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	2.04E-01	5.86E-04	3.78E-07	9.98E-06
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	3.23E-03	6.91E-03	7.07E-08	1.86E-06
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	4.71E-03	4.85E-03	7.23E-08	1.91E-06
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	6.60E-02	1.61E-03	3.36E-07	8.87E-06
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5	7.04E-02	1.08E-03	2.40E-07	6.32E-06
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	8.74E-03	3.35E-03	9.26E-08	2.44E-06
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	4.90E-01	3.47E-04	5.39E-07	1.42E-05
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	1.80E-01	7.10E-04	4.05E-07	1.07E-05
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	4.97E-01	3.71E-04	5.83E-07	1.54E-05
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	2.43E-02	2.12E-03	1.63E-07	4.29E-06
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	2.88E-01	5.33E-04	4.86E-07	1.28E-05
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	9.00E-01	3.51E-04	9.99E-07	2.63E-05
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	1.73E-01	7.02E-04	3.85E-07	1.02E-05
	Parameters					
	Depth to groundwater	m	DTW	3.66		
		(kg/m ³) /				
	Dispersion coefficient	(kg/m ² /s)	C/Q	26.4		

Attachment 5: Cancer Risk and Hazard Index Calculations for Exposure of Residents to Groundwater-derived Vapors in												
Outdoor Air												
		W	hirlpoo	I. Fort Sn	nith. Arkai	ns	as					
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Cance	r	Nonca	incer	
Chem			Carc	CGW	C _{air}		URF			RfC		
Group	Chemical	CASRN	Class	(mg/L)	(mq/m^3)		(m ³ /ma)	† _{inh}	Risk	$(m\alpha/m^3)$	HQ	
VOC	Acetone	67-64-1	ID	8.50E-02	1.51E-07		(iii /iiig)			3 1F+01	4 7E-09	
VOC	Benzene	71-43-2	A	0.002 02			7.8E-03			3.0E-02		
VOC	Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	B2							0.02.02		
VOC	Bromoform	75-25-2	B2	2.40E-02	4.41E-08		1.1E-03		2.0E-11			
VOC	Bromomethane	74-83-9	ID							5.0E-03		
VOC	2-Butanone	78-93-3	ID	9.50E-02	1.57E-07					5.0E+00	3.0E-08	
VOC	Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0								7.0E-01		
VOC	Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	LC				6.0E-03			1.0E-01		
VOC	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	D							5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloroethane	75-00-3	LC							1.0E+01		
VOC	Chloroform	67-66-3	B2				2.3E-02			5.0E-02		
VOC	Chloromethane	74-87-3	D							9.0E-02		
VOC	Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	С									
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	SC							5.0E-01		
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	B2	3.00E-03	1.66E-08		2.6E-02		1.8E-10	7.0E-03	2.3E-06	
VOC	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	С	4.20E-03	9.03E-08					2.0E-01	4.3E-07	
VOC	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	540-59-0		4.10E-02	4.01E-07							
VOC	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ID	4.10E-02	4.01E-07							
VOC	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	ID									
VOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	B2							4.0E-03		
VOC	1,3-Dichloropropene (total)	542-75-6	B2				4.0E-03			2.0E-02		
VOC	Ethyl Benzene	100-41-4	D							1.0E+00		
VOC	2-Hexanone	591-78-6	ID							3.0E-02		
VOC	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	ID	4.00E-03	7.63E-09					3.0E+00	2.4E-09	
VOC	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	LC				1.0E-05	1		6.0E-01		
VOC	Styrene	100-42-5								1.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	LC									
VOC	Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	LC				2.6E-04			4.0E-02		
VOC	Toluene	108-88-3	ID							5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ID							5.0E+00		
VOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	С				1.6E-02			2.0E-04		
VOC	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	HC	1.60E+00	2.05E-05		4.1E-03	0.244	4.7E-08	2.0E-03	9.8E-03	
VOC	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	А	3.00E-03	7.90E-08		4.4E-03		4.9E-10	1.0E-01	7.6E-07	
VOC	Xylenes (total)	1330-20-7	ID							1.0E-01		
							Cumulativ	e Risk:	5E-08	HI:	1E-02	
Note:												
t _{inh} is the	traction of the inhalation toxicity valu	ue that USEPA	identified	as having a	a mutagenic	mo	de of actior	۱.				
The dispe	ersion coefficient to outdoor air (C/Q) is 11.6 (kg/m3) / (kg/m	2/s).								